

Question	Hypothesis	Sampling plan (e.g. power analysis)	Analysis Plan	Interpretation given to different outcomes
1. Do objective and subjective measures of performance reflect an increase in task load with increasing n-back level?	1a) The signal detection measure d' declines with increasing n-back level.	<p>F tests - ANOVA: Repeated measures, within factors</p> <p>Analysis: A priori: Compute required sample size</p> <p><u>Input:</u></p> <p>Effect size $f = 0.8685540$</p> <p>α err prob = 0.05</p> <p>Power ($1-\beta$ err prob) = 0.95</p> <p>Number of groups = 1</p> <p>Number of measurements = 4</p> <p>Corr among rep measures = 0.5</p> <p>Nonsphericity correction $\epsilon = 1$</p> <p><u>Output:</u></p> <p>Noncentrality parameter $\lambda = 30.1754420$</p> <p>Critical F = 3.4902948</p> <p>Numerator df = 3.0000000</p> <p>Denominator df = 12.0000000</p> <p>Total sample size = 5</p> <p>Actual power = 0.9824202</p>	<p>Repeated measures ANOVA with three linear contrasts, comparing the d' value of two n-back levels (2, 3, 4) at a time.</p> <p>The ANOVA is calculated using <code>aov_ez()</code> of the <code>afex</code>-package, estimated marginal means are calculated using <code>emmeans()</code> from the <code>emmeans</code>-package, and pairwise contrasts are calculated using <code>pairs()</code>.</p> <p>Bayes factors are computed for the ANOVA and each contrast using the <code>BayesFactor</code>-package.</p>	<p>ANOVA yields $p < .05$ is interpreted as d' changing significantly with n-back levels. Values of d' are interpreted as equal between n-back levels if $p > .05$.</p> <p>Each contrast yielding $p < .05$ is interpreted as d' being different between those levels, magnitude and direction are inferred from the respective estimate. Values of d' are interpreted as equal between n-back levels if $p > .05$.</p> <p>The Bayes factor BF10 is reported alongside every p-value to assess the strength of evidence.</p>
	1b) Reaction time increases with increasing n-back level.	<p>F tests - ANOVA: Repeated measures, within factors</p> <p>Analysis: A priori: Compute required sample size</p> <p><u>Input:</u></p> <p>Effect size $f = 0.2041241$</p> <p>α err prob = 0.05</p> <p>Power ($1-\beta$ err prob) = 0.95</p> <p>Number of groups = 1</p>	<p>Repeated measures ANOVA with three linear contrasts, comparing the median reaction time of two n-back levels (2, 3, 4) at a time.</p> <p>The ANOVA is calculated using <code>aov_ez()</code> of the <code>afex</code>-package, estimated marginal means are</p>	<p>ANOVA yields $p < .05$ is interpreted as the median reaction time changing significantly with n-back levels. Median reaction times are interpreted as equal between n-back levels if $p > .05$.</p>

		<p>Number of measurements = 4 Corr among rep measures = 0.5 Nonsphericity correction $\epsilon = 1$ <u>Output:</u> Noncentrality parameter $\lambda = 17.6666588$ Critical F = 2.6625685 Numerator df = 3.0000000 Denominator df = 156 Total sample size = 53 Actual power = 0.9506921</p>	<p>calculated using emmeans() from the emmeans-package, and pairwise contrasts are calculated using pairs().</p> <p>Bayes factors are computed for the ANOVA and each contrast using the BayesFactor-package.</p>	<p>Each contrast yielding $p < .05$ is interpreted as the median reaction time being different between those levels, magnitude and direction are inferred from the respective estimate. Median reaction times are interpreted as equal between n-back levels if $p > .05$.</p> <p>The Bayes factor BF10 is reported alongside every p-value to assess the strength of evidence.</p>
	<p>1c) Ratings on all NTLX subscales increase with increasing n-back level.</p>	<p>From Kramer et al.:</p> <p>F tests - ANOVA: Repeated measures, within factors Analysis: A priori: Compute required sample size <u>Input:</u> Effect size $f = 0.7071068$ α err prob = 0.05 Power ($1 - \beta$ err prob) = 0.95 Number of groups = 1 Number of measurements = 4 Corr among rep measures = 0.5 Nonsphericity correction $\epsilon = 1$ <u>Output:</u> Noncentrality parameter $\lambda = 24.0000013$ Critical F = 3.2873821</p>	<p>A repeated measures ANOVA for each NASA-TLX subscale, with six linear contrasts comparing the subscale score of two n-back levels (1, 2, 3, 4) at a time.</p> <p>The ANOVA is calculated using aov_ez() of the afex-package, estimated marginal means are calculated using emmeans() from the emmeans-package, and pairwise contrasts are calculated using pairs().</p>	<p>ANOVA yields $p < .05$ is interpreted as the subscale score changing significantly with n-back levels. The subscale scores are interpreted as equal between n-back levels if $p > .05$.</p> <p>Each contrast yielding $p < .05$ is interpreted as the subscale score being different between those levels, magnitude and direction are inferred from the respective estimate. The subscale scores are interpreted</p>

		<p>Numerator df = 3.0000000 Denominator df = 15.0000000 Total sample size = 6 Actual power = 0.9620526</p>	<p>Bayes factors are computed for the ANOVA and each contrast using the BayesFactor-package.</p>	<p>as equal between n-back levels if $p > .05$.</p> <p>The Bayes factor BF10 is reported alongside every p-value to assess the strength of evidence.</p>
<p>2. Is the effort required for higher n-back levels less attractive, regardless of how well a person performs?</p>	<p>2a) Subjective values decline with increasing n-back level.</p>	<p>F tests - ANOVA: Repeated measures, within factors Analysis: A priori: Compute required sample size <u>Input:</u> Effect size $f = 0.9229582$ α err prob = 0.05 Power ($1 - \beta$ err prob) = 0.95 Number of groups = 1 Number of measurements = 4 Corr among rep measures = 0.5 Nonsphericity correction $\epsilon = 1$ <u>Output:</u> Noncentrality parameter $\lambda = 27.2592588$ Critical F = 3.8625484 Numerator df = 3.0000000 Denominator df = 9.0000000 Total sample size = 4 Actual power = 0.9506771</p>	<p>Repeated measures ANOVA with six linear contrasts, comparing the subjective values of two n-back levels (1, 2, 3, 4) at a time.</p> <p>The ANOVA is calculated using aov_ez() of the afex-package, estimated marginal means are calculated using emmeans() from the emmeans-package, and pairwise contrasts are calculated using pairs().</p> <p>Bayes factors are computed for the ANOVA and each contrast using the BayesFactor-package.</p>	<p>ANOVA yields $p < .05$ is interpreted as subjective values changing significantly with n-back levels. Subjective values are interpreted as equal between n-back levels if $p > .05$.</p> <p>Each contrast yielding $p < .05$ is interpreted as subjective values being different between those levels, magnitude and direction are inferred from the respective estimate. Subjective values are interpreted as equal between n-back levels if $p > .05$.</p> <p>The Bayes factor BF10 is reported alongside every p-value to assess the strength of evidence.</p>

	2b) Subjective values decline with increasing n-back level, even after controlling for declining task performance measured by signal detection d' and reaction time.	<p>t tests - Linear multiple regression: Fixed model, single regression coefficient</p> <p>Analysis: A priori: Compute required sample size</p> <p><u>Input:</u></p> <p>Tail(s) = One</p> <p>Effect size $f^2 = 0.34$</p> <p>α err prob = 0.05</p> <p>Power ($1-\beta$ err prob) = 0.95</p> <p>Number of predictors = 3</p> <p><u>Output:</u></p> <p>Noncentrality parameter $\delta = 3.4000000$</p> <p>Critical t = 1.6955188</p> <p>Df = 31</p> <p>Total sample size = 34</p> <p>Actual power = 0.9534767</p>	<p>[Cursive refers to 2c]</p> <p>Multilevel model of SVs with n-back load level as level-1-predictor <i>and NFC as level-2-predictor</i> controlling for d', reaction time, correct and post-correct trials using subject-specific intercepts and allowing random slopes for n-back level.</p> <p>The null model and the random slopes model are calculated using lmer() of the lmerTest-package. <i>Simple slopes analysis and Johnson-Neyman intervals are performed using the functions sim_slopes() and johnson_neyman() of the interactions-package.</i></p> <p>Bayes factors are computed for the MLM using the BayesFactor-package.</p>	<p>[Cursive refers to 2c]</p> <p>Fixed effects yield $p < .05$ are interpreted as subjective values changing significantly with n-back levels <i>and NFC-score, respectively</i>. Subjective values are interpreted as equal between n-back levels if $p > .05$.</p> <p><i>Simple slopes of level for values of NFC yield $p < .05$ are interpreted as subjective values changing significantly with n-back levels for the specific value of NFC. Subjective values are interpreted as equal between n-back levels for specific values of NFC if $p > .05$.</i></p> <p>The Bayes factor BF10 is reported alongside every p-value to assess the strength of evidence.</p>
	2c) SVs decline stronger with increasing task load for individuals with low compared to high NFC scores.			
3. Is there a discrepancy between perceived task load and subjective value of effort	3a) Subjective values positively predict individual NFC scores.	<p>t tests - Linear multiple regression: Fixed model, single regression coefficient</p> <p>Analysis: A priori: Compute required sample size</p> <p><u>Input:</u></p> <p>Tail(s) = One</p> <p>Effect size $f^2 = 0.33$</p>	Subjective values are regressed on NFC scores using the lm() function from the stats-package.	Subjective values are interpreted as predicting NFC scores if the slope yields $p < .05$. Direction and magnitude are inferred from the slope estimate.

depending on a person's Need for Cognition?		α err prob = 0.05 Power (1- β err prob) = 0.95 Number of predictors = 1 <u>Output:</u> Noncentrality parameter δ = 3.3985291 Critical t = 1.6923603 Df = 33 Total sample size = 35 Actual power = 0.9537894	Bayes factors are computed for the regression using the BayesFactor-package.	The Bayes factor BF10 is reported alongside every p-value to assess the strength of evidence.
	3b) NASA-TLX scores negatively predict individual NFC scores.	Westbrook et al. have only reported the p-value here, so we used the regression results of our pilot study, which included NASA-TLX scores and subjective values as predictors of NFC scores. t tests - Linear multiple regression: Fixed model, single regression coefficient Analysis: A priori: Compute required sample size <u>Input:</u> Tail(s) = One Effect size f^2 = 1.10 α err prob = 0.05 Power (1- β err prob) = 0.95 Number of predictors = 2 <u>Output:</u> Noncentrality parameter δ = 3.6331804 Critical t = 1.8331129 Df = 9 Total sample size = 12 Actual power = 0.9552071	Subjective values and the area under the curve of each subject's NASA-TLX scores are regressed on NFC scores using the lm() function from the stats-package. Bayes factors are computed for each predictor using the BayesFactor-package.	Subjective values and NASA-TLX scores are interpreted as predicting NFC scores if their slope yields $p < .05$. Direction and magnitude are inferred from the slope estimate. The Bayes factor BF10 is reported alongside every p-value to assess the strength of evidence.