The effect sizes for each hypothesis were taken from the corresponding analysis in Westbrook et al. (2013). There are two exceptions due to the fact that the information in Westbrook et al. (2013) was insufficient in that case: Hypothesis 1c was based on Kramer et al. (2021), and hypothesis 3b was based on our pilot data.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Hypothesis** | **Sampling plan (e.g. power analysis)** | **Analysis Plan** | **Interpretation given to different outcomes** |
| 1. Do objective and subjective measures of performance reflect an increase in task load with increasing n-back level? | 1a) The signal detection measure d’ declines with increasing n-back level. | F tests - ANOVA: Repeated measures, within factors  Analysis: A priori: Compute required sample size  Input:  Effect size f = 0.8685540  α err prob = 0.05  Power (1-β err prob) = 0.95  Number of groups = 1  Number of measurements = 4  Corr among rep measures = 0.5  Nonsphericity correction ε = 1  Output:  Noncentrality parameter λ = 30.1754420  Critical F = 3.4902948  Numerator df = 3.0000000  Denominator df = 12.0000000  Total sample size = 5  Actual power = 0.9824202 | Repeated measures ANOVA with three linear contrasts, comparing the d’ value of two n-back levels (2, 3, 4) at a time.  The ANOVA is calculated using aov\_ez() of the afex-package, estimated marginal means are calculated using emmeans() from the emmeans-package, and pairwise contrasts are calculated using pairs().  Bayes factors are computed for the ANOVA and each contrast using the BayesFactor-package. | ANOVA yields *p* < .05 is interpreted as d’ changing significantly with n-back levels. Values of d’ are interpreted as equal between n-back levels if *p* > .05.  Each contrast yielding *p* < .05 is interpreted as d’ being different between those levels, magnitude and direction are inferred from the respective estimate. Values of d’ are interpreted as equal between n-back levels if *p* > .05.  The Bayes factor *BF10* is reported alongside every *p*-value to assess the strength of evidence. |
| 1b) Reaction time increases with increasing n-back level. | F tests - ANOVA: Repeated measures, within factors  Analysis: A priori: Compute required sample size  Input:  Effect size f = 0.2041241  α err prob = 0.05  Power (1-β err prob) = 0.95  Number of groups = 1  Number of measurements = 4  Corr among rep measures = 0.5  Nonsphericity correction ε = 1  Output:  Noncentrality parameter λ = 17.6666588  Critical F = 2.6625685  Numerator df = 3.0000000  Denominator df = 156  Total sample size = 53  Actual power = 0.9506921 | Repeated measures ANOVA with three linear contrasts, comparing the median reaction time of two n-back levels (2, 3, 4) at a time.  The ANOVA is calculated using aov\_ez() of the afex-package, estimated marginal means are calculated using emmeans() from the emmeans-package, and pairwise contrasts are calculated using pairs().  Bayes factors are computed for the ANOVA and each contrast using the BayesFactor-package. | ANOVA yields *p* < .05 is interpreted as the median reaction time changing significantly with n-back levels. Median reaction times are interpreted as equal between n-back levels if *p* > .05.  Each contrast yielding *p* < .05 is interpreted as the median reaction time being different between those levels, magnitude and direction are inferred from the respective estimate. Median reaction times are interpreted as equal between n-back levels if *p* > .05.  The Bayes factor *BF10* is reported alongside every *p*-value to assess the strength of evidence. |
| 1c) Ratings on all NTLX subscales increase with increasing n-back level. | From Kramer et al. (2021):  F tests - ANOVA: Repeated measures, within factors  Analysis: A priori: Compute required sample size  Input:  Effect size f = 0.7071068  α err prob = 0.05  Power (1-β err prob) = 0.95  Number of groups = 1  Number of measurements = 4  Corr among rep measures = 0.5  Nonsphericity correction ε = 1  Output:  Noncentrality parameter λ = 24.0000013  Critical F = 3.2873821  Numerator df = 3.0000000  Denominator df = 15.0000000  Total sample size = 6  Actual power = 0.9620526 | A repeated measures ANOVA for each NASA-TLX subscale, with six linear contrasts comparing the subscale score of two n-back levels (1, 2, 3, 4) at a time.  The ANOVA is calculated using aov\_ez() of the afex-package, estimated marginal means are calculated using emmeans() from the emmeans-package, and pairwise contrasts are calculated using pairs().  Bayes factors are computed for the ANOVA and each contrast using the BayesFactor-package. | ANOVA yields *p* < .05 is interpreted as the subscale score changing significantly with n-back levels. The subscale scores are interpreted as equal between n-back levels if *p* > .05.  Each contrast yielding *p* < .05 is interpreted as the subscale score being different between those levels, magnitude and direction are inferred from the respective estimate. The subscale scores are interpreted as equal between n-back levels if *p* > .05.  The Bayes factor *BF10* is reported alongside every *p*-value to assess the strength of evidence. |
| 2. Is the effort required for higher n-back levels less attractive, regardless of how well a person performs? | 2a) Subjective values decline with increasing n-back level. | F tests - ANOVA: Repeated measures, within factors  Analysis: A priori: Compute required sample size  Input:  Effect size f = 0.9229582  α err prob = 0.05  Power (1-β err prob) = 0.95  Number of groups = 1  Number of measurements = 4  Corr among rep measures = 0.5  Nonsphericity correction ε = 1  Output:  Noncentrality parameter λ = 27.2592588  Critical F = 3.8625484  Numerator df = 3.0000000  Denominator df = 9.0000000  Total sample size = 4  Actual power = 0.9506771 | Repeated measures ANOVA with six linear contrasts, comparing the subjective values of two n-back levels (1, 2, 3, 4) at a time.  The ANOVA is calculated using aov\_ez() of the afex-package, estimated marginal means are calculated using emmeans() from the emmeans-package, and pairwise contrasts are calculated using pairs().  Bayes factors are computed for the ANOVA and each contrast using the BayesFactor-package. | ANOVA yields *p* < .05 is interpreted as subjective values changing significantly with n-back levels. Subjective values are interpreted as equal between n-back levels if *p* > .05.  Each contrast yielding *p* < .05 is interpreted as subjective values being different between those levels, magnitude and direction are inferred from the respective estimate. Subjective values are interpreted as equal between n-back levels if *p* > .05.  The Bayes factor *BF10* is reported alongside every *p*-value to assess the strength of evidence. |
| 2b) Subjective values decline with increasing n-back level, even after controlling for declining task performance measured by signal detection d’ and reaction time. | As there is no prior evidence on the size of a level\*NFC interaction effect, we assumed a small to medium effect, i.e. f = .175  F tests - ANOVA: Repeated measures, within-between interaction: A priori: Compute required sample size  Input:  Effect size f = 0.175  α err prob = 0.05  Power (1-β err prob) = 0.95  Number of groups = 2  Number of measurements = 4  Corr among rep measures = 0.5  Nonsphericity correction ε = 1  Output:  Noncentrality parameter λ = 17.64  Critical F = 2.6475951  Numerator df = 3  Denominator df = 210  Total sample size = 72 | Multilevel model of SVs with n-back load level as level-1-predictor controlling for d’, reaction time, correct and post-correct trials using subject-specific intercepts and allowing random slopes for n-back level.  The null model and the random slopes model are calculated using lmer() of the lmerTest-package.  Bayes factors are computed for the MLM using the BayesFactor-package. | Fixed effects yield p < .05 are interpreted as subjective values changing significantly with n-back levels*.* Subjective values are interpreted as equal between n-back levels if p > .05.  The Bayes factor BF10 is reported alongside every p-value to assess the strength of evidence. |
| 3. Is there a discrepancy between perceived task load and subjective value of effort depending on a person’s Need for Cognition? | 3a) Subjective values positively predict individual NFC scores. | t tests - Linear multiple regression: Fixed model, single regression coefficient  Analysis: A priori: Compute required sample size  Input:  Tail(s) = One  Effect size f² = 0.33  α err prob = 0.05  Power (1-β err prob) = 0.95  Number of predictors = 1  Output:  Noncentrality parameter δ = 3.3985291  Critical t = 1.6923603  Df = 33  Total sample size = 35  Actual power = 0.9537894 | Subjective values are regressed on NFC scores using the lm() function from the stats-package.  Bayes factors are computed for the regression using the BayesFactor-package. | Subjective values are interpreted as predicting NFC scores if the slope yields p < .05. Direction and magnitude are inferred from the slope estimate.  The Bayes factor BF10 is reported alongside every p-value to assess the strength of evidence. |
| 3b) NASA-TLX scores negatively predict individual NFC scores. | Westbrook et al. have only reported the p-value here, so we used the regression results of our pilot study, which included NASA-TLX scores and subjective values as predictors of NFC scores.  t tests - Linear multiple regression: Fixed model, single regression coefficient  Analysis: A priori: Compute required sample size  Input:  Tail(s) = One  Effect size f² = 1.10  α err prob = 0.05  Power (1-β err prob) = 0.95  Number of predictors = 2  Output:  Noncentrality parameter δ = 3.6331804  Critical t = 1.8331129  Df = 9  Total sample size = 12  Actual power = 0.9552071 | Subjective values and the area under the curve of each subject’s NASA-TLX scores are regressed on NFC scores using the lm() function from the stats-package.  Bayes factors are computed for each predictor using the BayesFactor-package. | Subjective values and NASA-TLX scores are interpreted as predicting NFC scores if their slope yields p < .05. Direction and magnitude are inferred from the slope estimate.  The Bayes factor BF10 is reported alongside every p-value to assess the strength of evidence. |