Lecture 9: Templates and smart pointers

Jonas Kusch and Martina Prugger

University of Innsbruck

April 25, 2023

Last goals: You are able to

- ✓ define your own operators
- ✓ use inheritance
- ✓ use multi arrays

Last goals: You are able to

- ✓ define your own operators
- ✓ use inheritance
- ✓ use multi arrays

Today's learning goals: Today we will learn about

- □ templates
- □ smart pointers
- ☐ inheritance

Last goals: You are able to

- ✓ define your own operators
- ✓ use inheritance
- ✓ use multi arrays

Today's learning goals: Today we will learn about

- □ templates
- ☐ smart pointers
- □ inheritance

Ask questions any time!

You can also define operations for classes!

```
Data a(1.234);
Data b(2.345);
a = a + b;
```

- We need to tell the compiler what it is supposed to do when adding (+) or assigning
 (=) objects of type data!
- The operator Data& operator=(const Data&) is defined per default, but might not do what we want.
 - → default behaviour copies all class data (dynamic memory not reallocated)
 - → Data& returns a reference.
 - \rightarrow Data c = a + b uses copy constructor!

You can also define operations for classes!

```
Data a(1.234);
Data b(2.345);
a = a + b;
```

- We need to tell the compiler what it is supposed to do when adding (+) or assigning
 (=) objects of type data!
- The operator Data& operator=(const Data&) is defined per default, but might not do what we want.
- Operators Data& operator+(const Data&) not defined per default.

```
class Data{
public:
   double* d:
    Data(double d): _d(new double) {*_d = d;}
    ~Data(){delete _d;}
    Data& operator=(const Data& a){
        if( this != &a ){
            delete _d; _d = new double; *_d = *a._d;
        return *this:
};
int main(){
   Data a(0.1): Data b(0.2):
    a = a:
   return 0;
```

```
class Data{
    double* _d;
public:
    Data(double d): _d(new double) {*_d = d;}
    ~Data(){delete _d;}
    Data& operator=(const Data& a){...}
    Data& operator+(const Data& a){
        *_d = *_d + *(a._d):
        return *this;
    void Print()const{std::cout<<*_d<<std::endl;}</pre>
};
Data a(0.1); Data b(0.2):
a = a + b:
a.Print();
```

```
class Vector{
    unsigned _dim;
    double* _v;
public:
    Vector(unsigned dim): _v(new double [dim]), _dim(dim) {}
    double& operator[](const unsigned i){
        return _v[i];
    double operator[](const unsigned i)const{
        return _v[i];
    void Print(unsigned int i)const{
        std::cout<<(*this)[i]<<std::endl;
};
```

Boost multiarrays - recap

```
#include <iostream>
#include <boost/multi_array.hpp>
int main(){
    // Create a 3D array of dimensions 3x4x2
    boost::multi_array<int, 3> myArray(boost::extents[3][4][2]);
    for (int i = 0; i < 3; i++) // Fill with some values
        for (int j = 0; j < 4; j++)
            for (int k = 0: k < 2: k++)
                myArray[i][j][k] = i + j + k;
    std::cout<<myArray.shape()[0]<<std::endl;</pre>
    return 0:
```

Inheritance - recap

 Assume you have defined a new class and realize that it is very similar to a previously defined class. Let's say you have cells of type

```
class Triangle{
    std::vector<int> _points;
public:
    double Area()const;
};

class RightTriangle : public Triangle{
public:
    int Hypotenuse()const;
};
```

- Triangle is parent, RightTriangle is child class
- RightTriangle inherits all functions and variables from RightTriangle
- means that a RightTriangle is a Triangle, but not every Triangle is a RightTriangle

Dominance of functions/data - recap

- The functions/data in the child class dominates the parent class.
- Functions/data in parent class are however still available via object.Parent::Data.
- Constructor of child first calls the parent constructor
- destructor vice-versa

Constructors - recap

```
class Cell{
public:
    Cell(){std::cout<<"create Cell"<<std::endl;}</pre>
};
class Triangle : public Cell{
public:
    Triangle(){std::cout<<"create Triangle"<<std::endl:}</pre>
};
class RightTriangle : public Triangle{
public:
    RightTriangle(){std::cout<<"create RightTriangle"<<std::endl;}</pre>
};
```

• create Cell, then Triangle, then RightTriangle

11 / 35

Destructors - recap

```
class Cell{
public:
    ~Cell(){std::cout<<"free Cell"<<std::endl;}
};
class Triangle : public Cell{
public:
    ~Triangle(){std::cout<<"free Triangle"<<std::endl:}
};
class RightTriangle : public Triangle{
public:
    ~RightTriangle(){std::cout<<"free RightTriangle"<<std::endl;}
};
```

• free RightTriangle, then Triangle, then Cell

Access rights - recap

```
class Cell{
    double area:
protected:
    std::vector<int> _points;
public:
    double Area()const:
};
class Triangle : public Cell{};
\\ all protected variables/fcts will be potected, all public public
class RightTriangle : protected Triangle{};
N all protected/public variables/fcts will be potected
class Quadrangle : private Cell{};
\(\rangle\) all protected/public variables/fcts will be private

    private is not inherited, protected not accessible in main
```

Polymorphism - recap

• Often you define if you want to use a Triangle, RightTriangle, Quadrangle mesh during runtime when reading the mesh.

```
if(meshType == "Triangle"){
      Triangle* c = new Triangle;
      //... do all the computations using triangles
  }else if(meshType == "RightTriangle"){
      RightTriangle* c = new RightTriangle;
      //... do all the computations using right triangle
  }...
• Way out: A pointer of the parent class can point on the child class
```

Polymorphism - recap

• Often you define if you want to use a Triangle, RightTriangle, Quadrangle mesh during runtime when reading the mesh.

```
if(meshType == "Triangle"){
    Triangle* c = new Triangle;
    //... do all the computations using triangles
}else if(meshType == "RightTriangle"){
    RightTriangle* c = new RightTriangle;
    //... do all the computations using right triangle
}...
Way out: A pointer of the parent class can point on the child
```

• Way out: A pointer of the parent class can point on the child class

```
Cell *c;
if(meshType == "Triangle"){
    c = new Triangle;
}else if(meshType == "RightTriangle"){
    c = new RightTriangle;
}
//... do all the computations using cell
```

Virtual destructors - recap

```
class Cell{
public:
    virtual void AllocateMem() { std::cout<<"Cell"<<std::endl; }</pre>
    virtual ~Cell(){
};
class Triangle : public Cell{
    double* data:
    virtual void AllocateMem() { _data = new double; }
    virtual ~Triangle(){delete _data;}
};
Cell* c = new Triangle;
c->AllocateMem():
delete c:
```

Always use virtual destructors to ensure correct deallocation.

Abstract Classes

```
class Cell{
public:
    virtual double Area() = 0;
};

class Triangle : public Cell{
    virtual double Area() { return 1.0; }
};
```

- It does not make sense to create an object Cell c, since a cell must always be a triangle, quadrangle, ...
- Every child of cell needs to have an area.
- You can impose this with a pure virtual function virtual double Area() = 0.

Your turn

Exercise

Write an abstract class Vector, a class IntVector and a class DoubleVector. Connect these classes with inheritance and provide all needed constructors, destructors, operators etc. Create a vector of type DoubleVector with polymorphism.

Exercise (if you are done already)

Extend this to a class IntMatrix and IntVector. Provide an operator for matrix vector multiplication.

Templates

There is an easier solution to this task:

Templates are

- construction plans for the compiler
- can be used to remove code redundancies, avoid repetition, performance

Templates

Combine two typenames:

```
template <typename T, typename S>
S trafo(const T& a){
    S s(a);
    return s;
}
double a = 0.1;
long double c = trafo<double, long double>(a);
```

- typenames are seperated by a comma
- used when typenames are defined at once

Templates

Use for classes

```
template <typename T>
class Container{
    T data;
    ...
}
Container<int> b;
```

- Sometimes you will see the keyword class instead of typename.
- ullet same meaning, typename can be used in all situations (with C++17 or newer)

Exercise

Rewrite your classes IntVector and DoubleVector into a single class using templates.

```
template <typename T>
T max(const T& a, const T& b){
    if(a > b){
        return a:
    }else
        return b;
double a = 0.1, b = 0.3;
double c = max<double>(a,b);
std::cout<<c<<std::endl;</pre>
```

```
template <typename T>
T max(const T& a, const T& b){
    if(a > b)
        return a:
    }else
        return b;
double a = 0.1, b = 0.3;
double c = max<double>(a,b);
std::cout<<c<<std::endl;</pre>
```

- No delete in main needed!
- Concept of smart pointers will be discussed later.

```
template<typename T>
class Pointer{
    T* _p;
public:
    Pointer(double* p) : _p(p) {}
    ~Pointer(){delete _p;}
    T& operator*() { return *_p; }
};
Pointer < double > ptr(new double);
*ptr = 0.1;
std::cout<<*ptr<<std::endl;</pre>
delete ptr;
```

```
template<typename T>
class Pointer{
    T* _p;
public:
    Pointer(double* p) : _p(p) {}
    ~Pointer(){delete _p;}
    T& operator*() { return *_p; }
};
Pointer < double > ptr(new double);
*ptr = 0.1;
std::cout<<*ptr<<std::endl;</pre>
delete ptr;
```

- No delete in main needed!
- Concept of smart pointers will be discussed later.

Templates - Any issues? What happens?

```
template<typename T>
class Pointer{
    T* _p;
public:
    Pointer(double* p) : _p(p) {}
    ~Pointer(){delete _p;}
    T& operator*();
};
template<typename T>
T& Pointer<T>::operator*(){
    return *_p;
Pointer<double> ptr(new double);
*ptr = 0.1;
std::cout<<*ptr<<std::endl;</pre>
```

Templates - Any issues? What happens?

```
template<typename T>
class Pointer{
    T* _p;
public:
    Pointer(double* p) : _p(p) {}
    ~Pointer(){delete _p;}
    T& operator*() { return *_p; }
    template<typename S> S* foo(){return new S;}
};
Pointer < double > ptr (new double);
*ptr = 0.1;
std::cout<<ptr.foo<int>()<<std::endl;</pre>
```

Templates - Any issues? What happens?

```
template<typename T>
class Pointer{
    T* _p;
public:
    Pointer(double* p) : _p(p) {}
    ~Pointer(){delete _p;}
   T& operator*() { return *_p; }
    template<typename S> S* foo();
};
template<typename T> template<typename S> S* Pointer<T>::foo(){
   return new S:
Pointer<double> ptr(new double):
*ptr = 0.1:
std::cout<<ptr.foo<int>()<<std::endl;
```

Templates - Not like this

```
template<typename T>
class Pointer{
    T* _p;
public:
    Pointer(double* p) : _p(p) {}
    ~Pointer(){delete _p;}
    T& operator*() { return *_p; }
    template<typename S> S* foo();
};
template<typename T, typename S> S* Pointer<T>::foo(){
    return new S:
Pointer < double > ptr (new double);
*ptr = 0.1:
std::cout<<ptr.foo<int>()<<std::endl;
```

Templates - Performance

```
template<int n>
double* zero(int m){
   double* a = new double [n*m];
   for( int i = 0; i < n*m; ++i ) a[i] = 0.0;
   return a;
}
double* a = zero<3>(1000);

   https://godbolt.org/
```

Templates - Performance

Smart pointers

```
template<typename T>
class Pointer{
    T* _p;
public:
    Pointer(double* p) : _p(p) {}
    ~Pointer(){delete _p;}
    T& operator*() { return *_p; }
};
Pointer < double > ptr(new double);
*ptr = 0.1;
```

- smart pointers can be used to handle memory deletion for you
- "Garbage Collection of C++"

Smart pointers - unique

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>

int main(){
    std::unique_ptr<double> p1(new double);
    *(p1+10) = 0.123;
    std::unique_ptr<double> p2 = std::move(p1);
    std::cout<<*p2<<" "<<*p1<<std::endl;
    return 0;
}</pre>
```

- unique_ptr stores unique reference
- pointer to reference can only exist once in memory
- p1 no longer stores address after giving it to p2

Smart pointers - shared

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
int main(){
    std::shared_ptr<double> p1(new double);
    std::shared_ptr<double> p2 = p1;
    std::cout<<*p2<<" "<<*p1<<std::endl;
    std::cout << p1.use_count() << std::endl; // #pointers to address
   return 0:
```

- shared_ptr stores shared reference
- different pointers can point to same address
- p1 still stores address (assignment operator allowed)

Your turn - What goes wrong? (smart2.cpp)

```
template <typename T>
class Container{
   T _data;
public:
    Container(T data) : _data(data){}
    T Get()const{return _data;}
    T& Get(){return _data;}
};
std::unique_ptr<double> p(new double);
Container<std::unique_ptr<double>> c(p);
*(c.Get()) = 0.1:
std::cout<<"out = "<<*(c.Get())<<std::endl:
```

Your turn - What goes wrong? (smart3.cpp)

```
template <typename T>
class Container{
   T _data;
public:
    Container(T data){_data = move(data);}
    T Get()const{return _data;}
    T& Get(){return _data;}
};
std::unique_ptr<double> p(new double);
Container<std::unique_ptr<double>> c(p);
*(c.Get()) = 0.1:
std::cout<<"out = "<<*(c.Get())<<std::endl:
```

Your turn - Does this work? (smart4.cpp)

```
template <typename T>
class Container{
    T _data;
public:
    Container(T& data) { _ data = move(data);}
    T Get()const{return _data;}
    T& Get(){return _data;}
};
std::unique_ptr<double> p(new double);
Container<std::unique_ptr<double>> c(p);
*(c.Get()) = 0.1:
std::cout<<"out = "<<*(c.Get())<<std::endl:
```

Your turn - Does this work? (smart.cpp)

```
template <typename T>
class Container{
   T _data;
public:
    Container(T data) : _data(data){}
    T Get()const{return _data;}
    T& Get(){return _data;}
};
std::shared_ptr<double> p(new double);
Container<std::shared_ptr<double>> c(p);
*(c.Get()) = 0.1:
std::cout<<"out = "<<*(c.Get())<<std::endl:
```

Homework

Exercise

Write your own shared smart pointer class which can store dynamical arrays. Use templates to make your code more flexible.