$\frac{3563}{5263} = MSE = 0.388 = 1.63 \times 10$   $\frac{5(x_1 - \overline{y})^2}{2379.925} = 2379.925$ MSE = 0.388 t\* = 0.039 = 3.05 3,05 > t(1-0.01/2;118) 2.62, H, = True decision rule It\* Z2,96, Ho=True alternatives Ho: B, =0 |t\* > 2.86, H = True H, B, 70 2,40) P-Value = 0,0028 Since 0,6028 < 0,01, we can conclude H

2.6 6) alternatives decision rule [t\*1=t(1-0.05/2;10-2)=2.366, Ho=T Ho! B, = 0 1+x/>2,306, H,=True Hi BIZO +x = 5/26/3 - 4 = 8.53 > 2.306, HI = True 5-56,3-0,22 P-value = 2,75x10 Since 2.75 x10 × 0.05, we can conclude H. 2, [4a] confidence interval = (87.29, 91.98) 87,29 < F & Yh7 < 91.98

2.279 Y= 156,35 - 1,19X decision rule alternatives Ho=True if t\* = -1,67 Ho: B, >0 H = True if the -1.67 H. B. <0 tx = -13.19 < -1,67, Thus H=True P-score = 2.06x10 = 0+ No, it connot because the data set/scope of the model does not include newborns (or x=0).

2.2701 t(0.975,58) = 2.0017 6, = -1.19  $-1/19-(2.0017.0.0902) \leq \beta_1 \leq -1/19+(2.0017.00902)$  $-1.37 \leq B_1 \leq -1.01$ It's not important to know the specific ages for this estimate because we use the slope, t value, ? our estimate. And since the slope is the average of our

our estimate. And since the slope is the average of our data as a whole, we know then with 95% confidence that women whose age differs by one year has decreased muscle mass between -1,37 \(\frac{1}{2}-1.01\)

2.65) Infection Risk = X, 0,534 < B, < 0.987 Available facilities & services = X2 0,023 = B, = 0,067 Routine Chest X-ray = X3 0.021 & B, & 0.055 The X2-3 X variables seem to have a similar slope of contidence interval at 95%. But Infection visk's slope is larger than/the others