

DECLASSIFIED

Authority NND984094By CP NARA Date 9-15-03

UK 000.1 - 092 1962
 16330 Stk 490
 ASD ISA Dec File 1962
 Box 106

R+6 Files

SECRET

(WHEN WITH ATTACHMENTS)

Very, UK in IO

Refer to: I-16402/62

16 MAY 1962

Dear George:

This is in reply to the Department of State request of 11 April 1962 for Department of Defense comments on the draft guidelines paper on the United Kingdom.

On the basis of a review by the Joint Chiefs of Staff and interested elements of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, it is the opinion of the Defense Department that, from a military viewpoint and subject to certain modifications, the paper provides an adequate basis of U. S. foreign policy and operational guidance toward the United Kingdom. Our specific comments on the paper are set forth and explained in the attachment.

Sincerely,

SIGNED

Haydn Williams
 Deputy Assistant Secretary

Enclosure:

Recommended Changes
 in the Draft Guidelines
 on the United
 Kingdom

Distribution: (w/Incl)
 Original-addressee (D-27148)
 Secretary, JCS (D-27149)
 OSD Files - 1 cy
 R&C Files - 1 cy
 ISA Read - 1 cy
 Comeback - 1 cy
 Stayback - 1 cy

The Honorable George C. McGhee

Prepared by Mr. J. Francemacaro/njc/
 10 May 62/Coord Div FPS/79689

Under Secretary of State
 for Political Affairs

cc: Secretary, JCS (Refer to JCS memo to SecDef, JCSM-346-62, dtd 4 May 62,
 Subject: Guidelines of US Policy Toward the United Kingdom (U)
 s/Decker, SecDef #2688, I-16947/62.

I-16402/62

SECRET

(WHEN WITH ATTACHMENTS)

B/B

092 U.K.

16 May 62

DECLASSIFIED

Authority NND984094By CP NARA Date 9-15-03

SECRET

Recommended Changes to the Draft Guidelines Paper on the United Kingdom

1. Page 13, paragraph 4. It is recommended that this objective be modified as follows:

"4. Encourage the UK to maintain a military position in the Far East and Indian Ocean areas, especially at the strategically important bases at Singapore, Aden, the Maldives and Kenya, in order to broaden the Western military presence in Asia Pacific areas."

Reason: We believe that it would be appropriate to expand this statement to reflect the continuing importance to U. S. and Western interests of the British position in the Indian Ocean area, as well as in the Far East.

2. Page 13, III. Objectives. It is recommended that the following additional objective be inserted after paragraph 4.

"5. Continued availability for U. S. use of bases and facilities in the UK and British controlled territories."

Reason: In view of the critical importance to the overall United States defense posture of our bases and facilities in the UK and in other British controlled areas (e.g., Christmas Island), including our rights to introduce nuclear weapons into such facilities, we believe the need for assuring the continuation of such rights warrants inclusion as a separate, specific objective.

3. Page 13, paragraph 4. It is recommended that this paragraph be modified as follows:

"4. Over the long run we feel it would be desirable for the British to phase out of the national nuclear deterrent business. We should tactfully encourage British leaders toward this point of view. Meanwhile, as an interim measure, we should encourage the commitment of all UK strategic nuclear forces to NATO and UK participation in a NATO multinational program, should such a program be established."

Reason: To provide: (a) an interim course consistent with other aspects of our policies toward NATO which can be followed concurrently with pursuit of the long range goal, and (b) an alternative to a complete phase out by Great Britain from the strategic nuclear field, should this objective later be determined to be unrealistic.

GROUP 1 EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION
EXEMPT FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION
DO NOT APPLY

SECRET

DoD policy
this stage, not
DoS

X X

*

2

DECLASSIFIED

Authority NND984094By CP NARA Date 9-15-08

SECRET

4. Page 19, Section C, "Military Affairs." It is recommended that the following two additional military lines of action be inserted following paragraph 4:

"5. Encourage the UK to maintain an effective military posture in the Far East and Indian Ocean areas, with adequate bases at Hong Kong, Singapore, the Maldives and Lanka."

"6. Encourage the British to continue to permit the continued use by the U. S. of military bases and facilities in the United Kingdom and in other British controlled areas."

Reason: To provide lines of action compatible with the proposed modifications of the Objectives Section, as set forth in paragraphs 1 and 2 above.

5. Page 19, paragraph 2. It is recommended that this paragraph be modified as follows:

"2. Except in the nuclear field, secure a division-of-labor in research, development and production of new weapons seek to achieve complementarity between the two countries' weapons research and development programs in such a way as to make the best use of the capabilities of each country and to avoid wasteful duplication. This should be accomplished principally through coordinated planning and joint appraisal at scientific and technical levels during early research phases for selected projects, rather than through political apportionments of the retail market. In this process, however, endeavor to consider sympathetically consider British claims to a realistic share in this the division of effort. Such coordination in sales of military equipment, especially to Germany. Shift the framework of cooperative effort to NATO the fullest extent possible. As a long range goal, guide and support expansion of successful U.S.-U.K. projects into cooperative NATO programs."

Reason: To bring the guidance set forth up to date with U.S. policies and concepts regarding U.S.-U.K. cooperation in weapons research and development, as set forth in the Position Paper on this subject prepared for the Macmillan visit of April 1962.

6. Page 19, Section C, "Military Affairs." It is recommended that the following line of action be added to this section:

"Encourage the United Kingdom to provide only minimal levels of military equipment sales to India in order to limit the arms race between India and Pakistan. However, British controls should be exercised in a manner that will not result in India turning to the Sino-Soviet bloc for military equipment."

SECRET

DECLASSIFIED

Authority NND 984094

By CO NARA Date 9-15-08

SECRET

Reason: We believe this course of action should be included in order to reduce Pakistani pressures on the U. S. for additional arms.

Dear Sir:

Reference is made to the Department of State report of 11/1/58 and the Department of Defense report of 11/1/58, both of which are being reviewed by the Department of State.

The Department of State is currently reviewing the Department of Defense report of 11/1/58, and the Department of Defense is currently reviewing the Department of State report of 11/1/58. The Department of State is currently reviewing the Department of Defense report of 11/1/58, and the Department of Defense is currently reviewing the Department of State report of 11/1/58.

Very truly,
Your obedient servant,
[Signature]

Enclosure
1 - Department of State
1 - Department of Defense
1 - [unclear]
1 - [unclear]

1 - Department of State
1 - Department of Defense
1 - [unclear]
1 - [unclear]
1 - [unclear]
1 - [unclear]
1 - [unclear]
1 - [unclear]

Very truly,
Your obedient servant,
[Signature]

Very truly,
Your obedient servant,
[Signature]

SECRET