## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 NEW DE 12447 161701Z ACTION NEA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 EA-10 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-05 H-01 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 SP-02 SS-15 ICA-11 ACDA-12 OMB-01 IO-13 /112 W

R 161151Z AUG 78
FM AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3428
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW
AMEMBASSY ISLAMABA
AMEMBASSY DACCA
AMEMBASS COLO BO
USLO PEKING

LIMITEDOFFICIAL USE NEW DELHI 12447

CINCPAC FOR POLAD

CINCPCAC

E.O. 11652: NA TAGS: PARM, IN, XO SUBJECT: INDIAN OCEAN DEBATE

1. BEGIN UNCLASSIFIED. SUMMARY. IN A HALF-HOUR DEBATE ON THE INDIAN OCEAN IN THE RAJYA SABHA AUGUST 11, SEVERAL OPPOSITION AND ONE JANATA MP BLAMED LACK OF PROGRESS IN THE "DEMILITARIZATION" TALKS ON THE US, TRIED TO GET FOREIGN MINISTER VAJPAYEE TO AGREE TO THAT ASSESSMENT, AND CRITICIZED THE GOI FOR NOT PURSUING MORE VIGOROUSLY NON-ALIGNED EFFORTS TOWARD AN IOZP. IN RESPONSE FOREIGN MINISTER VAJPAYEE REITERATED INDIA'S COMMITMENT TO THE IOZP, INDIRECTLY EXPRESSED DISAPPOINTMENT THAT THE TALKS WERE NOT PROGRESSING AND DODGED OPPOSITION REQUESTS THAT HE SPECIFY LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 NEW DE 12447 161701Z

THE RESPECTIVE PRESENCE OF THE US AND USSR IN THE INDIAN OCEAN. ND SUMMARY.

2. IN HIS INITIAL RESPONSE TO A QUESTION ON THE "SUSPENSION OF TALKS BETWEEN THE USA AND THE USSR ON THE ISSUE OF DEMILITARIZATION," VAJPAYEE STATED THAT BOTH SIDES HAD INFORMED THE GOI THAT, ALTHOUGH

DIFFERENCES HAD BEEN NARROWED, NO AGREEMENT ON LIMITING THEIR NAVAL PRESENCE HAD BEEN REACHED AND THERE WAS NO INDICATION WHEN TALKS WOULD BE RESUMED. ASKED BY A FOREWARD BLOCK MP WHY NO EFFECTIVE STEPS HAD BEEN TAKEN YET AND WHETHER THE SOVIETS HAD RECENTLY INFORMED HIM ABOUT THE TALKS, VAJPAYEE SAID THAT "THERE ARE DIFFICULTIES" AND THAT HE COULD "ENUMERATE ALL THE POINTS OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE US AND THE USSR, BUT THAT WILL NOT TAKE US ANYWHERE." HE SAID THAT THERE HAD BEEN A "FREE AND FRIENDLYN XCHANGE OF VIEWS" RECENTLY WITH THE SOVIET AND THE GOI HAD ALSO BEEN GETTING INFORMATION FROM THE US, BUT THAT "IT IS VERY DIFFICULT FOR ME TO PASS ANY JUDGMENT." HE ADDED THAT THE GOI'S ONLY HOPE WAS THAT THE TALKS WOULD BE EXPEDITED AND THE IOZP REALIZED.

3. NOT UNEXPECTEDLY, DIEGO GARCIA WAS THE FOCUS OF A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS. A FOREWARD BLOC MP, AFTER PRAISING THE USSR FOR SUPPORTING DEMILITARIZATION AND THE IOZP AND ACCUSING THE USG OF EXPANDING DIEGO GARCIA, ASKED WHY THE GOI WAS TREATING THE ISSUE AS A BIG-POWER DISPUTE INSTEAD OF MAKING A CONCERTED EFFORT WITH OTHER NON-ALIGNED COUNTRIES TO FORCE THE USG TO DISMANTLE ITS BASES AND ACCEPT THE IOZP. A LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

## LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 NEW DE 12447 161701Z

CONGRESS-I MP ACKNOWLEDGED THAT THERE WERE BASES OF OTHER NATIONS IN THE INDIAN OCEAN BUT GAVE THE SOVIETS CREDIT FOR PROPOSING THE DEMILITARIZATION TALKS. A JANATA MEMBER CALLED DIEGO GARCIA A VERY DANGEROUS DEVELOPMENT FOR INDIA AND CHALLENGED VAJPAYEE EITHER TO NAME SOVIET BASES IN THE AREA OR TO STOP "EQUATING" THE US AND THE USSR. IN HIS RESPONSES, VAJPAYEE SAID THAT IT WAS A "WELL-KNOWN FACT THAT THE DIEGO GARCIA BASE WAS BEING STRENGHTNEED. HOWEVER, HE CONTINUED. "WE DO NOT HAVE FUL INFORMATION ABOUT ALL THE BASES AND ALSO THE FACILITIES WHICH CERTAIN POWERS ENJOY IN THIS REGION" AND THEREFORE THE GOI WANTS ALL BASES ELIMINATED. LATER CHALLENGED ON HIS CLAIM OF LACK OF INFORMATION, VAJPYAEE SWITCHED FROM ENGLISH TO HINDI AND SAID, ACCORDING TO THE EMBASSY'S TRANSLATION, THAT THE GOI WAS ALWAYS TRYING TO OBTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT BASES IN THE INDIAN OCEAN BUT THAT THE GOVERNMENT WOULD NOT WANT TO MAKE SUCH INFORMATION PUBLIC UNLESS IT IS SATISIFIED THAT THE INFORMATION IS CORRECT AND RELIABLE.

4. TO COMPLAINTS THAT THE GOI WAS NOT ACTIVE ENOUGH INTERNATIONALLY IN PROMOTING THE IOZP VAJPAYEE RESTATED INDIA'S POLICY THAT THE RIVALRY AMONG THE BIG POWERS MUST BE ENDED AND THAT THE LITTORAL STATES ARE IN A POSITION TO GUARANTEE FREEDOM OF NAVIGATION. HE ALSO REITERATED THAT THE GOI WAS IN FAVOR OF AN INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON THE INDIAN OCEAN BUT DID NOT THINK THAT IT WOULD PRODUCE CONCRETE RESULTS UNLESS THE BIG POWERS AND MAJOR MARITIME USERS ATTENDED. IN AN EXCHANGE IN HINDI, A JANATA MEMBER SUGGESTED THAT SINCE THE INDIAN OCEAN IS "INDIA'S OCEAN", THE GOI SHOULD SET UP ITS OWN BASES SO THAT GREAT POWERS DID NOT FILL "THE VACUUM." VAJPAYEE REPLIED THAT SUCH A POLICY WAS NOT POSSIBLE BECAUSE INDIA OPPOSED LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 NEW DE 12447 161701Z

ALL FOREIGN BAES IN THE AREA. HE THEN REVERTED TO HIS POINT THAT THE LITTORAL STATES COULD PROTECT FREEDOM OF NAVIGATION AND SAID THAT "IT IS NOT FOR THE FREEDOM OF NAVIGATION THAT THESE BASES ARE BEING BUILT OR THE GREAT COUNTRIES ARE EXERCISING THEIR NAVAL POWERS IN A BIG WAY." ASKED IF INDIA WAS MAKING ANY ATTEMPT TO INVOLVE CHINA IN THE "DIALOGUE TO KEEP THE INDIAN OCEAN A DEMILITARIZED ZONE," THE FOREIGN MINISTER REPLIED THAT IT WOULD BE A GOOD THING IF CHINA WERE INCLUDED IN THE TALKS BECAUSE THE PRC IS TAKING A GREATER INTEREST IN THE INDIAN OCEAN. END UNCLASSIFIED

5. BEGIN LOU. COMMENT: WHILE THERE WAS NOTHING REALLY NEW IN THIS EXCHANGE, EITHER IN TERMS OF THE CRITICISM OR OF INDIAN POLICY, IT STRIKES US THAT FOREIGN MINISTER VAJPAYEE WAS BEING EXCEPTIONALLY CAREFUL NOT TO CHARACTERIZE THE STATUS OF THE TALKS OR TO PROVIDE ANY DETAILS ON THE RESPECTIVE ATTITUDES CONVEYED TO THE GOI BY THE US AND THE SOVIETS. END LOU. GOHEEN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 jan 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Concepts: DEBATES, DISARMAMENT

Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 16 aug 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014 Disposition Event:

Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978NEWDE12447
Document Source: COP

**Document Unique ID: 00** Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A

**Expiration:** Film Number: D780337-0495 Format: TEL

From: NEW DELHI Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780859/aaaabxbq.tel

Line Count: 158 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Message ID: effa8662-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Office: ACTION NEA

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a

Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: n/a Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags:

Review Date: 05 may 2005 Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: N/A Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 1723094 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: INDIAN OCEAN DEBATE

TAGS: PARM, IN, XO
To: STATE MOSCOW

Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/effa8662-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014

Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014