

## **Phi** Security Review



## Lead Auditors



**PeterSR** 



0x539.eth

## Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- · Risk Classification
- Audit Details
  - Scope
  - Roles
- Executive Summary
  - Issues found
- Findings
  - Medium
  - Low
  - Informational

## Protocol Summary

ExtraReward is a smart contract that manages and executes ERC20 token airdrops in units called "seasons". It uses Merkle trees to efficiently handle large-scale airdrops.

#### Disclaimer

The ChainDefenders team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

#### Risk Classification

Likelihood/Impact	High	Medium	Low
High	Н	H/M	M
Medium	H/M	M	M/L
Low	M	M/L	L

## Audit Details

## Scope

Id	Files in scope
1	ExtraReward.sol

#### Roles

Id	Roles	
1	Owner	
2	User	

## Executive Summary

Issues found

Severity	Count	Description
High	0	Critical vulnerabilities
Medium	1	Significant risks
Low	3	Minor issues with low impact
Informational	4	Best practices or suggestions
	0	Optimization opportunities

## Findings

#### Medium

## Mid 01 DoS Due To Claiming Swept Season

#### Description

Owner can update any season's end time, not only when the season is active. This will mean that the owner can update the end time even of a season which was already swept and make it active. Because sweeping does not update claimed amount it will mean that a user will be able to claim an already swept season with updated end time. This will cause double spending and will DoS other seasons using the same token due to insufficient token balance of the contract.

#### The vulnerable function:

```
14 }
```

## Proof of Concept

#### Add this file and run the test using forge:

#### ExtraRewardTest.sol:

```
1 // SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity 0.8.25;
4 import "forge-std/Test.sol";
 import "./../src/ExtraReward.sol";
 import "./mocks/MockERC20.sol";
  contract ExtraRewardTest is Test {
      ExtraReward public extraReward;
      MockERC20 public mockToken;
      address public owner = address(0x123);
      address user = 0xB7e390864a90b7b923C9f9310C6F98aafE43F707;
      address public sweepDestination = address(0x789);
      bytes32[] public merkleProof;
      bytes32 merkleRoot = 0
     xf3d2fcbf18b4d03760d47567c4c19dbc9a9ac428c34fcd5665f6c110cd7f405b;
      function setUp() public {
          // Deploy mock token and ExtraReward contract
          mockToken = new MockERC20("MockToken", "MKT");
          extraReward = new ExtraReward(owner);
          // Mint tokens to owner and approve ExtraReward contract
          mockToken.mint(owner, 200);
          vm.startPrank(owner);
          mockToken.approve(address(extraReward), type(uint256).max);
          vm.stopPrank();
      function testMultipleSeasonsAndSweep() public {
          vm.startPrank(owner);
          vm.warp(0);
          extraReward.createSeason(address(mockToken), merkleRoot, 20,
     100);
```

```
duration
          vm.warp(10);
          extraReward.createSeason(address(mockToken), merkleRoot, 20,
      100);
          vm.stopPrank();
          // Assert initial state of the seasons
               address token1,
               bytes32 root1,
               uint256 startTime1,
               uint256 endTime1,
               uint256 total1,
               uint256 claimed1,
               bool swept1
          ) = extraReward.getSeasonInfo(1);
          assertEq(token1, address(mockToken));
          assertEq(root1, merkleRoot);
          assertEq(startTime1, 0);
          assertEq(endTime1, 20);
          assertEq(total1, 100);
          assertEq(claimed1, 0);
          assertFalse(swept1);
               address token2,
64
               bytes32 root2,
               uint256 startTime2,
               uint256 endTime2,
               uint256 total2,
               uint256 claimed2,
               bool swept2
          ) = extraReward.getSeasonInfo(2);
          assertEq(token2, address(mockToken));
          assertEq(root2, merkleRoot);
          assertEq(startTime2, 10);
          assertEq(endTime2, 30);
          assertEq(total2, 100);
          assertEq(claimed2, 0);
          assertFalse(swept2);
          vm.warp(21);
```

```
vm.startPrank(owner);
           extraReward.sweep(1, sweepDestination); // 100 out of 200 are
      send to sweepDestination
          vm.stopPrank();
           // Verify sweep results
           (,,,,, bool sweptAfter) = extraReward.getSeasonInfo(1);
           assertTrue(sweptAfter);
           // Warp to 25 seconds and update the end time of the first
          vm.warp(25);
           vm.startPrank(owner);
           extraReward.updateSeasonEndTime(1, 30);
           vm.stopPrank();
           vm.warp(25);
          vm.startPrank(user);
           extraReward.claim(1, 100, merkleProof); // 200 out of 200 are
      send to sweepDestination, no more tokens
          vm.stopPrank();
           vm.startPrank(user);
           extraReward.claim(2, 100, merkleProof); // revert due to the
104
      fact no more tokens in the contract
           vm.stopPrank();
  }
```

#### Recommendation

#### Change the following things:

1. Make updating of end time possible only for active seasons:

```
if (block.timestamp < seasonData.startTime || block.timestamp >
    seasonData.endTime) {
    revert SeasonNotActive();
}
```

2. Change claimedAmount of a season to be equal to totalRewardAmount after sweeping:

```
function sweep(uint256 season, address destination) external onlyOwner
{
    ...
    + seasonData.claimedAmount = seasonData.totalRewardAmount;
    ...
}
```

3. Disallow users to claim if a season was already swept:

```
function claim(uint256 season, uint256 amount, bytes32[] calldata
    merkleProof) external {

    ...
    + if (seasonData.swept) {
        revert AlreadySwept();
        + }
    ...
}
```

#### Status

Fixed

## Low 01 End Time Can Be Reduced

## Description

The updateSeasonEndTime function allows the contract owner to reduce a season's end time, making it less than initially planned. This can result in unexpected behaviour or disrupt users. For instance reducing the end time could invalidate or disadvantage users who planned their actions based on the original timeline. This is particularly problematic in cases where fairness or predictability is a core expectation of the system.

The vulnerable function:

```
if (newEndTime < block.timestamp) {
    revert InvalidEndTime();
}

Season storage seasonData = seasons[season];

seasonData.endTime = newEndTime;
emit SeasonEndTimeUpdated(season, newEndTime);
}</pre>
```

## Proof of Concept

#### Let's have the following scenario:

- 1. Assume season 1 has an initial endTime of 1670000000;
- 2. block.timestamp is equal to 1669000000;
- 3. The owner calls updateSeasonEndTime(1, 1669000000), reducing the end time;
- 4. Users who planned actions for the period between 1669000000 and 1670000000 are now unexpectedly excluded. What is more, these users will not be able to claim from the concrete season.

#### Recommendation

Introduce a validation check to prevent the new end time from being earlier than the current end time of the season. This ensures that updates can only extend the season or keep the end time unchanged.

#### Example mitigation:

```
i if (newEndTime < seasonData.endTime) {
    revert InvalidEndTime();
}</pre>
```

#### Status

#### Fixed

## Low 02 Merkle Tree And Proof Depth Not Checked

## Description

When claiming the Merkle proof is checked against the Merkle root, but the length of the proof is not checked against the expected depth of the Merkle tree.

The way this can be exploited is if a malicious member of team makes one of the leaves of the Merkle tree a root hash of another tree (which can hold their own list of addresses, amounts and indexes even outside of expected index range).

This would however be visible by anyone that actually validates the Merkle tree of course as one of the leaves is not the hash of the address, amount and index, it would just be the precomputed root hash of a subtree.

#### Recommendation

Consider storing the Merkle tree depth and validating the proof length against it during the claim process.

#### Status

Fixed

## Low 03 Pausable Tokens

## Description

Degen ERC20 token can be used when creating a season (here) However, the token is pausable. This would mean that the owner of the token can pause all transfers after a season is already created and DoS claiming of the given season.

#### Recommendation

Either don't allow Degen ERC20 token or create a mechanism to change tokens of seasons.

#### Status

#### Acknowledged

## Informational

## Info 01 PUSHO Might Not Be Supported By All Chains

## Description

Current settings may produce incompatible bytecode with some of the chains supported by the protocol.

The ExtraReward contract supports and targets different chains (standard EVM chains), such as Ethereum, Base, etc.

The ExtraReward contract has the version pragma fixed to be compiled using Solidity 0.8.25. It uses the new PUSHØ opcode introduced in the Shanghai hard fork. Some chains might not support this opcode.

An example for such a chain is Linea (here)

#### Recommendation

Change the Solidity compiler version to 0.8.19 or define an EVM version, which is compatible across all of the intended chains to be supported by the protocol.

#### Status

#### Acknowledged

# Info 02 ExtraReward Is Not Compatible With Rebasing And Fee On Transfer Tokens

## Description

ExtraReward is not compatible with rebasing and fee on transfer tokens, because ExtraReward:: createSeason will expect the amount that the contract will receive

to be equal to totalRewardAmount, but this will not be true for these type of tokens. Smaller amount will be send, leading to wrong calculations during claiming.

#### Recommendation

#### Refactor the code in the following way:

```
1 - newSeason.totalRewardAmount = totalRewardAmount;
2    newSeason.swept = false;
3
4 + uint256 balanceBefore = token.balanceOf(address(this));
5    IERC20(token).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this),
        totalRewardAmount);
6 + uint256 balanceAfter = token.balanceOf(address(this));
7 + newSeason.totalRewardAmount = balanceAfter - balanceBefore;
```

#### Status

#### Fixed

## Info 03 Errors Don't Give Any Relevant Information

## Description

Errors occurring within the ExtraReward contract are not providing sufficient data, which hinders effective debugging. Without detailed error information, it becomes challenging to identify the root cause of failures and resolve issues efficiently.

#### Recommendation

#### You can refactor the errors in the following way:

```
1 error SeasonNotActive(uint256 seasonId);
2 error SeasonNotEnded(uint256 seasonId);
3 error InvalidSeason(uint256 seasonId);
4 error InvalidEndTime(uint256 endTime);
5 error InvalidToken(address token);
```

#### Status

#### Acknowledged

## Info 04 Wrong Error Naming

## Description

When sweeping owner can set a destination address to send unclaimed tokens to. There is a check whether the destination address is equal to address(0) and in such a case the transaction is reverted. However, the error is wrong and ambiguous:

```
if (destination = address(0)) {
    revert InvalidToken();
}
```

#### Recommendation

Change InvalidToken's name to InvalidDestinationAddress.

Status

Fixed