

Part 3: RA (12 points)

Write relational algebra expressions equivalent to Booktown queries 2, 3, 4 and 5 listed above. (2+3+3+4 points) (Notice that you do not need to represent the sorted order of the results of the queries in your relational algebra expressions. There may be a possibility for partial credit.)

Query2:

$$\pi_{\text{Subject}}(\sigma_{\text{Book_ID} = \text{NULL}}(\text{Subjects} \bowtie \text{Subject_ID} = \text{Books}, \text{Subject_ID})))$$

Query3:

$$\rho(E, \text{Editions}) \wedge \rho(B, \text{Books}) \wedge \rho(A, \text{Authors})$$

$$\pi_{\text{ISBN}}(\sigma_{B.\text{Book_ID} = E.\text{Book_ID} \text{ AND } B.\text{Author_ID} = A.\text{Author_ID} \text{ AND } A.\text{First_Name} = \text{'Agatha'} \text{ AND } A.\text{Last_Name} = \text{'Christie'}}(E \times B \times A))$$

Query4:

$$\rho(A, \text{Authors}) \wedge \rho(B1, \text{Books}) \wedge \rho(B2, \text{Books}) \wedge \rho(S1, \text{Subjects}) \wedge \rho(S2, \text{Subjects})$$

$$\pi_{A.\text{First_Name}, A.\text{Last_Name}}(\sigma_{A.\text{Author_ID} \text{ in } (\pi_{B1.\text{Author_ID}}(\sigma_{S1.\text{Subject} = \text{'Children/YA'}}(B1 \bowtie B1.\text{Subject_ID} = S1.\text{Subject_ID}))) \times A.\text{Author_ID} \text{ in } (\pi_{A.\text{Author_ID}}(\sigma_{S2.\text{Subject} = \text{'Fiction'}}(B2 \bowtie B2.\text{Subject_ID} = S2.\text{Subject_ID})))}) A)$$

Query5:

$$\rho(A, \text{Authors}) \wedge \rho(A1, \text{Authors}) \wedge \rho(B, \text{Books})$$

$$\pi_{A.\text{Author_ID}, A.\text{First_Name}, A.\text{Last_Name}}(\sigma_{\text{NOT}(\pi_{B.\text{Subject_ID}}(\sigma_{A1.\text{First_Name} = \text{'J. K.' AND } A1.\text{Last_Name} = \text{'Rowling'}}(B \bowtie A1.\text{Author_ID} = B.\text{Author_ID})))}$$

-

$$\pi_{B.\text{Subject_ID}}(\sigma_{B.\text{Author_ID} = A.\text{Author_ID}}(B))) A)$$

Part 4: Normalization (20 points)

For this part, consider the following table and functional dependencies

$F = \{ \text{id} \rightarrow \text{name, GPA, major, school};$
 $\text{GPA} \rightarrow \text{major};$
 $\text{school} \rightarrow \text{major};$
 $\text{name, major} \rightarrow \text{id} \}$

1. List the candidate keys for this relation using ONLY the functional dependencies (4 points)

- id
- name, major

Superkeys \rightarrow

- id
- name, major
- name, GPA
- name, school

2. Is the relation 3NF? Justify your answer (6 points)

- Yes. For the first relation id is a super key. Similarly for the fourth relation, name,major is a super key. For the second and third relations, 'major' is part of the name, major candidate key. Thus the relation is 3NF.
-

3. Is the relation BCNF? Justify your answer (6 points)

- No. The second and third relations ($\text{GPA} \rightarrow \text{major}$ and $\text{school} \rightarrow \text{major}$) violate BCNF as GPA and School are not super keys.

4. Which of the functional dependencies are violated in the snapshot of the table provided? (4 points)

- GPA to major (english and astronomy)
- School to major (engineering and cs)