

Variational EM Algorithm And Its Application to Wireless system

Rohit Budhiraja

Machine Learning for Wireless Communications (EE798L)

March 13, 2024

Recap of last lecture and today's agenda

- Recap of last class
 - Applied EM to 5G wireless mMTC systems - sparse Bayesian learning
- Today's agenda
 - Discuss limitations of EM and then discuss variational EM which overcomes this limitation
 - Ref: Chap 10.1 of PRML

Limitations of EM algorithm

- EM assumes in E step, tractability in calculating
 - posterior distribution of latent variable $q(\mathbf{Z}) = p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta)$
- Variational inference helps when they are not tractable
 - Bypasses the requirement of exactly knowing $p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta)$, by assuming an appropriate $q(\mathbf{Z})$

EM algorithm derivation recap

- Recall that the maximum likelihood is given as

$$\log p(\mathbf{X}|\theta) = \mathcal{L}(q, \theta) + KL(q \parallel p) \text{ where}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(q, \theta) = \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} q(\mathbf{Z}) \log \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\theta)}{q(\mathbf{Z})} \right) \text{ and } KL(q \parallel p) = - \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} q(\mathbf{Z}) \log \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta)}{q(\mathbf{Z})} \right)$$

- Recall E step calculates $q(\mathbf{Z})$ by maximizing $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{\text{old}})$ with respect to $q(\mathbf{Z})$, by fixing θ^{old}
 - Leads to $q(\mathbf{Z}) = p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{\text{old}})$, **which is now difficult to calculate**
- M step fixes $q(\mathbf{Z})$, and maximizes $L(q, \theta)$ wrt θ to give some new value θ^{new}

Variational EM (VEM) algorithm (1)

- VEM algorithm assumes

- 1 \mathbf{Z} is partitioned into M disjoint groups as \mathbf{Z}_i where $i = 1, \dots, M$
- 2 Posterior distribution $q(\mathbf{Z})$ **also** factorizes with respect to these partitions as

$$q(\mathbf{Z}) = \prod_{i=1}^M q_i(\mathbf{Z}_i) = \prod_{i=1}^M q_i$$

where q_i is the simplified notation of $q_i(\mathbf{Z}_i)$

- Factorized approximation stems from theoretical physics where it is called mean field theory
 - **Assume \mathbf{Z} is independent across these M groups**
- E step of VEM calculates q_i by maximizing $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{\text{old}})$ with respect to q_i , by fixing θ^{old}

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{\text{old}}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} q(\mathbf{Z}) \log \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} | \theta^{\text{old}})}{q(\mathbf{Z})} \right) = \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} \prod_i q_i(\mathbf{Z}_i) \log \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} | \theta^{\text{old}})}{\prod_i q_i(\mathbf{Z}_i)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} \prod_i q_i \left(\log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} | \theta^{\text{old}}) - \sum_i \log q_i \right)\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

- **We have to now determine optimal $q_i(\mathbf{Z}_i)$, for $i = 1, \dots, M$, which will maximize $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{\text{old}})$**

Variational EM algorithm (2)

- Let's simplify Eq. (1) for $M = 2$, wherein $q(\mathbf{Z}) = q_1(\mathbf{Z}_1)q_2(\mathbf{Z}_2) = q_1q_2$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{old}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} q_1 q_2 \left\{ \log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} | \theta^{old}) - (\log q_1 + \log q_2) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} q_1 q_2 \log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} | \theta^{old}) - \underbrace{\sum_{\mathbf{Z}_1} \sum_{\mathbf{Z}_2} q_1 q_2 \log q_1}_{T_1} - \underbrace{\sum_{\mathbf{Z}_1} \sum_{\mathbf{Z}_2} q_1 q_2 \log q_2}_{T_2}\end{aligned}$$

- T_1 and T_2 can further be simplified as follows

$$T_1 = \sum_{\mathbf{Z}_1} \sum_{\mathbf{Z}_2} q_1 q_2 \log q_1 = \left(\sum_{\mathbf{Z}_1} q_1 \log q_1 \right) \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\mathbf{Z}_2} q_2 \right)}_{=1} = \sum_{\mathbf{Z}_1} q_1 \log q_1$$

$$T_2 = \sum_{\mathbf{Z}_2} q_2 \log q_2$$

- Thus, $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{old})$ in terms of $q_1 = q_1(\mathbf{Z}_1)$ reduces to following

$$\mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{old}) = \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} q_1 \left(q_2 \log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} | \theta^{old}) \right) - \sum_{\mathbf{Z}_1} q_1 \log q_1 + \text{constant wrt } q_1$$

Variational EM algorithm (3)

- Equivalently, in terms of $q_j = q_j(\mathbf{Z}_j)$, $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{old})$ reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{old}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{Z}_j} q_j \left(\sum_{\mathbf{Z}_{i \neq j}} \log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} | \theta^{old}) \prod_{i \neq j} q_i \right) - \sum_{\mathbf{Z}_j} q_j \log q_j + \text{constant} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{Z}_j} q_j \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}_j | \theta^{old}) - \sum_{\mathbf{Z}_j} q_j \log q_j + \text{constant}\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

- Here $\log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}_j | \theta^{old}) = \mathbb{E}_{i \neq j} [\log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} | \theta^{old})] + \text{constant}$
 - $\mathbb{E}_{i \neq j} [\log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} | \theta^{old})]$ denotes expectation w.r.t. **q distributions** over all variables \mathbf{Z}_i for $i \neq j$
 - Constant is because $\log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}_j | \theta^{old})$ is unscaled distribution
- We keep $q_{i \neq j}$ fixed and maximize $L(q, \theta^{old})$ in (2) w.r.t $q_j(\mathbf{Z}_j)$
- This is done by recognizing the following about Eq. (2)

$$\mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{old}) = \sum_{\mathbf{Z}_j} q_j \log \left(\frac{\tilde{p}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}_j | \theta^{old})}{q_j} \right) = -KL(q_j \parallel \tilde{p}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}_j | \theta^{old}))$$

- RHS of Eq. (2) is a negative KL distance between $q_j(\mathbf{Z}_j)$ and $\tilde{p}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}_j | \theta^{old})$
 - Maximizing (2) is minimizing KL distance $KL(q_j \parallel \tilde{p}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}_j | \theta^{old}))$

Variational EM algorithm (4)

- Minimizing KL distance $KL(q_j \parallel \tilde{p}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}_j | \theta^{old}))$ happens when

$$\begin{aligned} q_j^*(\mathbf{Z}_j) &= \tilde{p}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}_j | \theta^{old}) \\ \Rightarrow \log(q_j^*(\mathbf{Z}_j)) &= \log(\tilde{p}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}_j | \theta^{old})) = \mathbb{E}_{i \neq j} [\log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} | \theta^{old})] + \text{constant} \end{aligned}$$

- Solution says that log of optimal q_j is obtained by**
 - Considering the log of complete data likelihood (CDLL)
 - Taking the expectation with respect to **all $\{q_i\}$ for $i \neq j$**

$$q_j^*(\mathbf{Z}_j) = \frac{\exp(\mathbb{E}_{i \neq j} [\log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} | \theta^{old})])}{\sum_{\mathbf{Z}_j} \exp(\mathbb{E}_{i \neq j} [\log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} | \theta^{old})])}$$

- Solution is calculated by cyclically calculating q_j , and replacing each in turn with revised estimate

Summary of variational EM algorithm

- If the current estimate for the parameters is denoted θ^{old} , then variational EM algorithm is

- ① E step: use current parameter values θ^{old} to find posterior of latent variables

$$p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{old}) = q^*(\mathbf{Z}) = \prod_{i=1}^M q_i^*(\mathbf{Z}_i)$$

- ② Use $p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{old})$ to find expectation of CDLL evaluated for some general θ

$$\sum_{\mathbf{Z}} p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{old}) \log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\theta) = \mathcal{Q}(\theta, \theta^{old})$$

- ③ M step: determine the revised parameter estimate θ^{new} by maximizing expected value of CDLL

$$\theta^{new} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathcal{Q}(\theta, \theta^{old}).$$

- Variational EM **resolves** tractability in calculating

- posterior distribution of latent variable $q(\mathbf{Z}) = p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{old})$