

# Physics 708: Statistical Mechanics

Dion O’Neale

March 5, 2018

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Thermodynamics - A Refresher</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	The Four Laws of Thermodynamics . . . . .	2
1.2	Zeroth Law: . . . . .	2
1.3	First Law: . . . . .	2
1.4	Heat Capacity: . . . . .	3
1.5	Intensive & Extensive Variables . . . . .	4
1.6	The Fundamental Hypothesis of Thermodynamics . . . . .	5
1.7	Suggested Reading . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Probability</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Probability generating functions . . . . .	7
2.2	Properties of generating functions . . . . .	8
2.3	The binomial distribution . . . . .	9
2.4	The Poisson distribution . . . . .	10
2.5	The expected long-run outcome of a binary process . . . . .	10
2.6	Brownian motion . . . . .	11
<b>3</b>	<b>Statistical postulates</b>	<b>12</b>
3.1	An ideal gas . . . . .	12
3.2	Maxwell’s postulates . . . . .	12
3.3	Equation of state . . . . .	13

## 1 Thermodynamics - A Refresher

We’ll start with a quick overview of some of the important concepts from classical thermodynamics. Seeking a microscopic explanation for the macroscopic concepts presented here was an early motivation for the field of statistical mechanics. This section will also recap some content from 315.

In thermodynamics we study a system — the part of the world that we are interested in — that is separated from its surroundings — the rest of the universe — by some boundary.

We can classify the types of systems into three types based on the type of walls that define the system boundary:

- Adiabatic walls/isolated system — no energy or matter is transferred
- Diathermal walls/closed system — no matter can be transferred but heat can flow through the walls.
- (Semi-)permeable walls/open system — in addition to heat, one or more chemical species can be transferred through the walls.

## 1.1 The Four Laws of Thermodynamics

Only four laws are required to construct the relationships that control much of classical thermodynamics. We'll see later, that somewhat amazingly, these macroscopic laws arise naturally from the mathematics of the microscope systems.

These are, in brief:

- **Zeroth Law** Defines temperature and thermal equilibrium.
- **First Law** Formulates the principle of conservation of energy for thermodynamic systems. (Energy is conserved)
- **Second Law** Entropy increases; heat spontaneously flows from high to low temperatures.
- **Third Law** The absolute zero of temperature is not attainable.

We'll revisit the first two laws in a bit more detail and then make use of the second law to derive some familiar properties of heat.

## 1.2 Zeroth Law:

If two systems are each in thermal equilibrium with a third system, then they are also in thermal equilibrium with each other. This implies that they have some property in common. We call this property *temperature*. (In the language of mathematics, thermal equilibrium is a transitive property.)

It is worth noting that thermal equilibrium is not the same as thermodynamic equilibrium. For the latter we also need mechanical equilibrium ( $P_1 = P_2$ ) and chemical equilibrium ( $\mu_1 = \mu_2$  — equal rates of reaction).

## 1.3 First Law:

Energy remains constant for a (collection of) system(s) isolated from the surroundings. The energy of a system changes if we do work on the system, and/or if we supply heat to the system. We denote work done *on* a system as  $W > 0$ , similarly, heat supplied *to* the system is denoted  $Q > 0$ .

When considering the change in energy  $\Delta E$  of a system it is necessary to consider both work and heat.

E.g. System  $A$  gains energy from system  $B$ , i.e.  $\Delta E_A = -\Delta E_B \implies \Delta E_A + \Delta E_B = 0$ . But, in general,  $\Delta E_A \neq W_{B \rightarrow A}$  since there can also be a heat flow  $Q_{B \rightarrow A}$  due to a temperature difference. So,

$$\Delta E_A = W_{B \rightarrow A} + Q_{B \rightarrow A}$$

$$\Delta E_B = W_{A \rightarrow B} + Q_{A \rightarrow B}.$$

Energy conservation gives

$$\underbrace{(W_{A \rightarrow B} + W_{B \rightarrow A})}_{\text{Work done by the composite system}} + \underbrace{(Q_{A \rightarrow B} + Q_{B \rightarrow A})}_{\text{Heat flow in the composite system}} = 0$$

In an adiabatic (isolated) system the first law gives a sort of balance sheet for energy/work/heat:  $W_{A \rightarrow B} + W_{B \rightarrow A} = 0$  and  $Q_{A \rightarrow B} + Q_{B \rightarrow A} = 0$ .

Revision: Adiabatic work and heat flow. Quasi-static processes (how slow is slow enough?). Path dependence of work. - see the notes from 315 that are in the repository. You may want to incorporate the relevant content from those notes, or other sources, into this document.

The *internal energy* of a system is the energy associated with the internal degrees of freedom of the system. If a system is at rest and the potential energy of any external field is unimportant, then the internal energy of a system is the total energy of a system.

The equation of state for internal energy is usually written  $E = E(T, V, N)$  or  $E = E(T, P, N)$ . Expressing these as infinitesimals (change in internal energy) gives

$$dE = \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} \right|_{V,N} dT + \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial V} \right|_{T,N} dV + \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial N} \right|_{T,V} dN$$

a similarly, for the second formulation.

$$\text{Note } \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} \right|_{V,N} \neq \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} \right|_{P,N}$$

## 1.4 Heat Capacity:

Heat flowing into a system causes a change in temperature (except in the case of phase transitions).  $T \rightarrow T + \Delta T$ . The heat capacity of a system depends, in part on the experimental conditions of the system under consideration. Two important cases are constant volume and constant pressure.

For constant volume we have

$$C_V = \left. \frac{\delta Q}{dT} \right|_V$$

similarly, for constant pressure,

$$C_P = \left. \frac{\delta Q}{dT} \right|_P.$$

The first law of thermodynamics (conservation of energy) implies that  $\delta Q = dE - \delta W = dE + PdV$  (since  $W = PdV$ ). So  $C_V = \left. \frac{\delta Q}{\delta T} \right|_{V,N} = \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} \right|_{V,N}$  (since  $dV = 0$  for fixed  $V$ .) In the constant pressure case  $C_P = \left. \frac{\delta Q}{\delta T} \right|_{P,N} = \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} \right|_{P,N} + P \left. \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} \right|_{P,N}$ .

Constant pressure heat capacity implies that there is a change in volume, so think about how the volume changes. Define the *volumetric thermal expansivity*  $\alpha_p = \frac{1}{V} \left. \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} \right|_{P,N} \implies V\alpha_p = \left. \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} \right|_{P,N}$ .

We can now write  $C_P = \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} \right|_{P,N} + \alpha_p PV$ .

An alternative way of thinking about  $C_P$ : constant pressure implies that  $PdV = d(PV)$  so that  $\delta Q = dE + \underbrace{dPV}_{=dW} = d(E + PV)$ . We define the composite quantity  $E + PV$  as *enthalpy*,  $H$ , with the equation of state  $H = H(T, P, N)$ .

The infinitesimal for enthalpy is  $dH = \underbrace{dE + PdV}_{\delta Q} + VdP = \delta Q + VdP|_P$ .

The last term is zero, since  $P$  is constant, so we get  $C_P = \left. \frac{\delta Q}{\delta T} \right|_{P,N} = \left. \frac{\partial H}{\partial T} \right|_{P,N}$ .

## 1.5 Intensive & Extensive Variables

Intensive variables describe the state of a system but are independent of the system size. E.g.  $T, P$

Extensive, or additive, variables are proportionate to the size of a system (i.e. they depend on  $N$ ).

It is possible to turn an extensive variable into an intensive variable by normalising by the system size. I.e. the variables come in dual pairs, e.g. pressure and volume.

(One important extensive variable is the entropy  $S$  (or disorder) of a system. The dual variable is temperature.)

The intensive variables can be found as derivatives of the internal energy with respect to the corresponding extensive variable, given all other extensive variables are held constant. For example,  $T = \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial S} \right|_{V,N}$ .

Given two systems 1 and 2, an extensive variable for the composite system  $1 \cup 2$  can be found by simply adding the individual extensive variables. E.g.  $N_{1 \cup 2} = N_1 + N_2$ ,  $V_{1 \cup 2} = V_1 + V_2$ ,  $E_{1 \cup 2} = E_1 + E_2$  ( $E$  = internal energy).

Actually, the case of internal energy is not entirely correct since there is often an interaction term between the two systems at the interface. I.e.  $E_{1 \cup 2} = E_1 + E_2 + E_{int}$ . Since  $E_{int}$  depends on the interface between the two systems it scales like an area as a function of system size, while  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  will scale like a volume, so typically  $\frac{E_{int}}{E_1 + E_2} \rightarrow 0$  as the system size gets big. E.g. an oil water interface (insert an image?).

But this assumption clearly depends on the structural details of the interface. Imagine the oil-water interface in an emulsion like mayonnaise — in this case the ratio  $\frac{E_{int}}{E_1 + E_2}$  is more like  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ . Similarly, if there are significant long range interactions. (E.g. gravity — it might not work using stat mech to model celestial mechanics.)

## 1.6 The Fundamental Hypothesis of Thermodynamics

It is possible to characterise the state of a thermodynamic system by specifying the values of a set of extensive variables.

## 1.7 The Central Problem of Thermodynamics

Given the initial state of equilibrium of several thermodynamic systems that are allowed to interact, determine the final thermodynamic state of equilibrium. (The boundaries of the systems — adiabatic, closed, open — determine the types of interactions that are allowed.) We want to pick a final thermodynamic equilibrium state from the space of all possible equilibrium states.

Entropy plays a special role in this problem due to the entropy postulate (the second law of thermodynamics).

The entropy postulate: there exists a function  $S$  of the extensive variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r$  called the entropy that assumes a maximum value for a state of equilibrium among the space of possible states.

Entropy has the following properties:

1. Extensivity: ( $S$  is an extensive variable) If 1 and 2 are thermodynamic systems then  $S_{1 \cup 2} = S_1 + S_2$ .
2. Convexity: If  $X^1 = (X_0^1, X_1^1, \dots, X_r^1)$  and  $X^2 = (X_0^2, X_1^2, \dots, X_r^2)$  are two thermodynamic states of the same system then for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$

$$S((1 - \alpha)X^1 + \alpha X^2) \geq (1 - \alpha)S(X^1) + \alpha S(X^2)$$

. I.e. the entropy of a linear combination of states is greater than or equal to the same linear combination of entropies of the individual states. A consequence of this is that if we take derivatives with respect to  $\alpha$  at  $\alpha = 0$  we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} S((1 - \alpha)X^1 + \alpha X^2) = \sum_{i=0}^r \frac{\partial S}{\partial X_i} (X_i^2 - X_i^1)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} [(1 - \alpha)S(X^1) + \alpha S(X^2)] = S(X^2) - S(X^1).$$

So,

$$\sum_{i=0}^r \frac{\partial S}{\partial X_i} (X_i^2 - X_i^1) \geq S(X^2) - S(X^1).$$

So the entropy surface (as a function of the other extensive variables) is always below the tangent plane of a point on the surface. We'll use this soon.

3. Monotonicity:  $S(E, X_1, \dots, X_r)$  is a monotonically increasing function of the internal energy  $E$ . I.e.  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial E}|_{X_1, \dots, X_r} = \frac{1}{T} > 0$ .

Using these three properties, it is possible to find the final equilibrium thermodynamic state amongst the space of possible states. The equilibrium states is the state with maximum entropy that satisfies the constraints on the system. (I.e. Maximum disorder - can also think about this in terms of it being the state with the greatest possible number of corresponding microstates, but we haven't quite got that far yet.)

Worked example. Consider two systems, 1 and 2, in thermal contact such that they can exchange energy, but nothing else (I.e. no other extensive quantities change). The space of possible states is defined by

$$E^1 + E^2 = X_0^1 + X_0^2 = E = \text{const.}$$

$$X_i^1 = \text{const.} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

$$X_i^2 = \text{const.} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

We want to find the maximum of  $S$  as a function of  $E^1$  (we could just as well use  $E^2$ ). Start by taking the derivative of  $S$ .

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial E^1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial E^1} \left( S^1(E^1, X_1^1, X_2^1, \dots, X_r^1) + S^2(\underbrace{E - E^1}_{E=E^1+E^2}, X_1^2, X_2^2, \dots, X_r^2) \right) = \frac{\partial S^1}{\partial E^1} \Big|_{E^1} - \frac{\partial S^2}{\partial E^2} \Big|_{E^2=E-E^1}.$$

For  $E^1$  at equilibrium we will write  $E_{eq}^1$  (sim. for  $E^2$ ). Then to maximise  $S$  we must have

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial E^1} \Big|_{E_{eq}^1} = \frac{\partial S^1}{\partial E^1} \Big|_{E_{eq}^1} - \frac{\partial S^2}{\partial E^2} \Big|_{E-E_{eq}^1} = 0$$

so  $\frac{\partial S^1}{\partial E^1} \Big|_{E_{eq}^1} = \frac{\partial S^2}{\partial E^2} \Big|_{E_{eq}^2}$ . We already have our first result — the equilibrium state is the one where the change in entropy of the two systems, wrt,  $E$  is equal. (The monotonicity postulate equated this with inverse temperature.)

Where does the heat in flow to in the system? The system started in an initial state with  $E = E_{in}^1 + E_{in}^2$ . Since entropy increases to reach the maximum value at equilibrium we have  $S^1(E_{eq}^1) + S^2(E_{eq}^2) \geq S^1(E_{in}^1) + S^2(E_{in}^2)$ , i.e.  $S^1(E_{in}^1) - S^1(E_{eq}^1) + S^2(E_{in}^2) - S^2(E_{eq}^2) \geq 0$ .

The convexity property of entropy means that both systems have  $S(E_{eq}) - S(E_{in}) \leq \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} \Big|_{E_{in}} (E_{eq} - E_{in})$  and from the previous expression, the LHS of the inequality is bounded below by zero so we have

$$\frac{\partial S^1}{\partial E^1} \Big|_{E_{in}^1} (E_{eq}^1 - E_{in}^1) + \frac{\partial S^2}{\partial E^2} \Big|_{E_{in}^2} \underbrace{(E - E_{eq}^1 - E_{in}^2)}_{=E_{eq}^2}.$$

But  $E$  is conserved so  $E = E_{in}^1 + E_{in}^2$  so we have  $E - E_{eq}^1 - E_{in}^2 = -(E_{eq}^1 - E_{in}^1)$  and therefore

$$\left[ \frac{\partial S^1}{\partial E^1} \Big|_{E_{in}^1} - \frac{\partial S^2}{\partial E^2} \Big|_{E_{in}^2} \right] (E_{eq}^1 - E_{in}^1) \geq 0.$$

This implies that energy flows to the system with higher  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial E}$ . From the monotonicity postulate we identified  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial E} = \frac{1}{T}$  so energy flows into the system with lowest temperature, until the temperatures are equal.

## 1.8 Suggested Reading

Chapter 3 of *Statistical Mechanics made Simple* (It's on the recommended reading list) covers the elements of thermodynamics nicely. Sections 3.1 to 3.5 in particular go over most of the concepts we have looked at here and introduce a few additional ideas.

## 2 Probability

This section is mostly an introduction (or a refresher?) to some tools and ideas from probability theory. One of the main reasons for including it is that it lets us give background to the final sub-section on Brownian motion, but we'll also use some of the methods from here in the final section of the course on complex networks.

Physical variables can be either continuous or discrete. Continuous variables, like the spatial position of a particle  $\mathbf{r} = (x, y, z)$ ;  $x \rightarrow x + dx$  allow us to apply tools from differential calculus. Discrete variables (like the spin of an electron, the face of a playing card, or the energy level of a quantum particle) require a different approach. As the number of discrete states gets large, it is possible to approximate a discrete system by a continuous one. However, there are often statistical reasons why this is not always the best approach. (For an example of the issue of approximating a discrete distribution with a continuous one, see the article Clauset, Shalizi, and Newman. "Power-Law Distributions in Empirical Data." SIAM Review (2009) doi:10.1137/070710111) [It is probably a good idea to add a bibliography to the notes and use LaTeX citations, (along with naming the reference in the text to make it more readable.)]

Here we are going to look at some simple discrete distributions, including one of the simplest — the binomial distribution which describes the probability of events that can take one of only two possible outcomes. The tool we will employ to study these distributions is *probability generating functions* (or generating functions for short). Although PGFs can be somewhat cumbersome, they provide a very powerful general tool that can be applied to a wide range of situations involving discrete probabilities. In this sense they are like the Taylor series of discrete probability.

### 2.1 Probability generating functions

A probability generating function (PGF) is a polynomial whose coefficients are the probabilities associated with each outcome of some random process. For

example, the PGF for an equal probability die is

$$\mathcal{G}(x) = 0x^0 + \frac{1}{6}x^1 + \frac{1}{6}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{1}{6}x^4 + \frac{1}{6}x^5 + \frac{1}{6}x^6.$$

As an example of how PGFs are a handy general tool, say we now wanted to find the PGF for the sum when we roll two such dice. We can just multiply the PGFs to get  $\mathcal{G}'(x) = \mathcal{G}(x)\mathcal{G}(x) = 0x^0 + 0x^1 + \frac{1}{36}x^1x^1 + \frac{2}{36}x^1x^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{36}x^6x^6$ .

More generally, the set of probabilities associated with any discrete distribution can be used as coefficients of  $\mathcal{G}(x)$ :

$$\mathcal{G}(x) = P(X = r_0)x^0 + P(X = r_1)x^1 + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(X = r_n)x^n.$$

## 2.2 Properties of generating functions

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}(0) &= P(X = 0) \\ \mathcal{G}(1) &= \text{sum over all probabilities} = 1\end{aligned}$$

The two properties above are easy to see and are not unexpected. More interesting is the fact that derivatives of PGFs can be used to obtain expressions for the mean, and higher order moments, of the distribution associated with the PGF.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dx}\mathcal{G}(x) = \mathcal{G}'(x) &= \sum_r rP_r x^{r-1}, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{G}''(x) &= \sum_r r(r-1)P_r x^{r-2}.\end{aligned}$$

If we evaluate the first derivative of the PGF at 1 we get

$$\mathcal{G}'(1) = \sum_r rP_r.$$

The expected value of an arbitrary function  $f(r)$  is given by  $\langle f(r) \rangle = \sum_r f(r)P(X = r)$ , therefore  $\mathcal{G}'(1) = \langle r \rangle$  — the expected value, or mean, of the distribution.

Similarly, Evaluating  $\mathcal{G}''(x)$  at 1 gives

$$\mathcal{G}''(1) = \sum_r r(r-1)P(X = r) = \langle X(X-1) \rangle.$$

Why would we care about being able to find  $\langle X(X-1) \rangle = \langle X^2 - X \rangle$ ? Because the variance of  $X$ , is given by

$$V(X) = \langle X^2 \rangle - \langle X \rangle^2.$$



We are therefore able to construct  $V(X)$  from combinations of  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}'$ , and  $\mathcal{G}''$ . We can use the fact that  $\langle X^2 - X \rangle = \langle X^2 \rangle - \langle X \rangle$  I.e.  $\sum (r^2 - r)P(X = r) = \sum r^2 P(X = r) - \sum r P(X = r)$  to get

$$V(X) = \langle X^2 \rangle - \langle X \rangle^2 \quad (1)$$

$$= \underbrace{\langle X^2 \rangle - \langle X \rangle}_{\mathcal{G}''(1)} + \underbrace{\langle X \rangle}_{\mathcal{G}'(1)} - \underbrace{\langle X \rangle^2}_{\mathcal{G}'(1)^2} \quad (2)$$

$$= \mathcal{G}''(1) + \mathcal{G}'(1) - \mathcal{G}'(1)^2 \quad (3)$$

### 2.3 The binomial distribution

The binomial distribution describes the probability of getting  $r$  identical outcomes from a sequence of  $N$  events where each event has only two possible states, e.g. number of heads from a sequence of coin tosses, number of Au atoms from deposition of Au and Ag atoms in some sputtering process, or number of spin up electrons in an uncorrelated system.

The probabilities for the binomial distribution are given by

$$P(X = r) = \binom{N}{r} p^r q^{N-r}, \quad \text{where } \binom{N}{r} = \frac{N!}{r!(N-r)!}, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, N$$

and where (mostly)  $p+q = 1$ . The PGF for the binomial distribution is therefore given by  $\mathcal{G}(x) = \sum_{r=0}^N \binom{N}{r} p^r q^{N-r} x^r$ . The coefficients in the binomial distribution are (not surprisingly) the coefficients from the binomial expansion theorem. This means that instead of writing the PGF as a sum, we can easily express it (and its derivatives) as a polynomial:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(x) &= (xp + q)^N \\ \mathcal{G}'(x) &= N(xp + q)^{N-1} p \\ \mathcal{G}''(x) &= N(N-1)(xp + q)^{N-2} p^2. \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the above at  $x = 1$  gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(1) &= (p + q)^N = 1 \quad \text{if } p + q = 1 \\ \mathcal{G}'(1) &= N(p + q)^{N-1} p = Np \quad \text{if } p + q = 1 \\ \mathcal{G}''(1) &= N(N-1)(p + q)^{N-2} p^2 \\ &= N(N-1)p^2 \quad \text{if } p + q = 1. \end{aligned}$$

As expected, we have  $\langle X \rangle = Np$  for the event associated with the probability  $p$ . Similarly, we can calculate the variance of the binomial distribution using equation 3

$$\begin{aligned} V(X) &= \mathcal{G}''(1) - \mathcal{G}'(1) - \mathcal{G}'(1)^2 \\ &= N^2 p^2 - Np^2 + Np - N^2 p^2 \\ &= N(p - p^2) \\ &= Np(1 - p) = Npq. \end{aligned}$$

If you are feeling enthusiastic, compare the calculation above with the usual method for finding the variation of the binomial distribution without using a PGF.

## 2.4 The Poisson distribution

Since the Poisson distribution shows up quite often in stat mech, we will have a look at how its properties can be derived via PGFs. The Poisson distribution describes the probability of  $r$  distinct events occur in a time interval, given that the long-run average rate is  $\lambda$  per time interval. It has probabilities given by  $P(X = r) = \frac{\lambda^r}{r!} \exp(-\lambda)$ . The PGF for the Poisson distribution is given by

$$G(x) = \sum_r = 0^\infty \frac{\lambda^r}{r!} \exp(-\lambda) x^r = \exp(-\lambda) \sum \frac{(\lambda x)^r}{r!}.$$

Note that the sum in the last term of the expression above is the power series expansion for the exponential function. Handy — we can now write:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(x) &= \exp(-\lambda) \exp(\lambda x) \\ \mathcal{G}'(x) &= \lambda \exp(-\lambda) \exp(\lambda x) \\ \mathcal{G}''(x) &= \lambda^2 \exp(-\lambda) \exp(\lambda x) \end{aligned}$$

So we have  $\langle X \rangle = \mathcal{G}'(1) = \lambda$ , as per the definition, and

$$V(X) = \mathcal{G}''(1) + \mathcal{G}'(1) - \mathcal{G}'(1)^2 = \lambda^2 + \lambda - \lambda^2 = \lambda.$$

## 2.5 The expected long-run outcome of a binary process

What is the net outcome we can expect from a sequence of  $N$  binary events? What is the variance in this net outcome? How long can we expect the net outcome to remain positive?

Think of a sequence of coin tosses where heads implies +1 and tails implies -1. We will denote the number of heads from  $N$  events as  $n_h$  and the number of tails as  $n_t = N - n_h$ , then the net outcome is  $d = n_t - n_h = N - 2n_h$ .

If  $p = q = \frac{1}{2}$  then the expected value of  $d$  is  $\langle d \rangle = 0$ . (It's easy to check this:  $\langle d \rangle = \langle N - 2n \rangle = N - 2\langle n \rangle = N - \frac{2}{2}N = 0$ .)

We want to find the variation in  $d$ , or rather, the root-mean-squared deviation

$$d_{rms} = (\langle d^2 \rangle - \langle d \rangle^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \langle d^2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

At this point we'll drop the subscript in  $n$  since we only have  $n_h$  and since the probabilities are equal. From  $d = N - 2n$  we have

$$\langle d^2 \rangle = \langle (N - 2n)^2 \rangle = \langle N^2 - 4Nn + 4n^2 \rangle.$$

We know that  $\langle n \rangle = \mathcal{G}'(1) = Np = N/2$  and from the expressions for  $\mathcal{G}''(1)$  and  $\mathcal{G}'(1)$  we get  $\langle n^2 \rangle = \mathcal{G}''(1) + \mathcal{G}'(1)$  where  $\mathcal{G}''(1) = N^2 p^2 = N^2/4 - N/4$ . We can now calculate  $\langle d^2 \rangle$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle d^2 \rangle &= N^2 - 4N \frac{N}{2} + 4 \left( \frac{N^2}{4} - \frac{N}{4} + \frac{N}{2} \right) \\
&= N^2 - 2N^2 + N^2 + N \\
&= N.
\end{aligned}$$

So,  $d_{rms} = \sqrt{N}$  and the variance in the net expected outcome scales like  $\sqrt{N}$  as  $N$  increases. I.e. after  $N$  steps we expect to be a rms distance of  $\pm\sqrt{N}$  away from the mean of zero.

[Draw/add a figure of this with  $N$  as the independent variable.]

We can now look at how this would change if the binary process had some small bias  $\alpha = |p - q| > 0$ . In this case, at each step, the expected outcome is  $\alpha$  and the bounds for the rms distance from the mean are  $\alpha N \pm \sqrt{N}$ .

[Draw/add this too.]

## 2.6 Brownian motion

Brownian motion is a model that describes the behaviour of particles in suspension (e.g. of dust in air, fine silt in dirty water) that have some velocity but which are constantly changing direction as they are buffeted by other particles. Their motion can be understood through a calculation similar to that for the net outcome of the binary process above, but in 3D.

The conceptual model that is often used when discussing Brownian motion is that of a *random walk*. Consider a random walker who after  $n$  steps is at the position  $\mathbf{r} = (x, y, z)$ . Each step is given by  $\mathbf{s}_{n+1} = \mathbf{r}_{n+1} - \mathbf{r}_n$  and has step length  $s_n$  in a random and uncorrelated direction  $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_n$ . Again (by symmetry)  $\langle \mathbf{s} \rangle = 0$ . We want to find how far a particle might have gone after  $N$  steps. We will define  $\lambda^2 = \langle \mathbf{s}^2 \rangle$  — the average square step-length. We write  $\mathbf{r}_N$  for the position of the walker (or the particle) after  $N$  steps, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathbf{r}_N^2 \rangle &= \left\langle \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{s}_n \right)^2 \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{s}_n^2 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \sum_{m=n+1}^N \mathbf{s}_n \cdot \mathbf{s}_m \right\rangle \\
&= \underbrace{\sum \langle \mathbf{s}_n \rangle}_{=\lambda^2} + 2 \sum \sum \underbrace{\langle \mathbf{s}_n \cdot \mathbf{s}_m \rangle}_{=\langle \mathbf{s}_n \rangle \cdot \langle \mathbf{s}_m \rangle = 0}
\end{aligned}$$

The first term in the expression is the mean squared step-length while the second term is zero since there is no correlation between the directions of each of the steps. Hence  $\langle \mathbf{r}_N \rangle = N\lambda^2$  and the rms displacement is  $\sqrt{N}\lambda$ . If the number of steps is proportionate to the length of time that the particles move for, then we have that the mean-square displacement scales like  $\sqrt{t}$ . This is one of the key features of diffusive processes.

### 3 Statistical postulates

So far we have looked at the macroscopic properties of a thermodynamics system and at some ways of calculating properties of random processes that obey some given probability distribution. Now it is time to combine these ideas and have a first attempt at linking the microscopic behaviour of a thermodynamic system (an idealised gas) with some of its macroscopic properties.

The behaviour of a given (mechanical) system depends on both the structure of the system — described by its equations of motion — and on the *initial conditions* of the system. Therefore, in order to describe the behaviour of the system, we need both the laws of mechanics for the system along with some statistical postulates about the initial conditions of the system. (We could also require that we know the exact initial conditions for all the particles in the system, but this is not realistic for  $\mathcal{O}(10^{23})$  particles.) Different choices of the statistical postulates can lead to different behaviour (not just different states) of the system. We will look at Maxwell's postulates for the initial positions and velocities of a dilute collection of gas particles. We will see that they allow us to derive the ideal gas law from a microscopic basis.

#### 3.1 An ideal gas

We want to keep the equations of motion for our system of particles as simple as possible. We are going to assume that our system has the following properties:

- We have  $N$  identical point particles, each with mass  $m$ , constrained in a volume  $V$ .
- There are no mutual interactions — no van der Waals effects or inter-particle forces for us!
- The walls of the container constraining the particles are perfectly reflecting.
- The mechanical state of the system is known when the position  $\mathbf{r}$  and velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  is known for each particle and these variables evolve according to Newton's laws of motion.

#### 3.2 Maxwell's postulates

We assume that the vectors describing the initial conditions of the system are randomly distributed. More specifically:

1. The vectors relating to each particle are independent from each other. (e.g. there is no interaction between particles when they are close.) This is generally not true for any but the most dilute systems. For such a non-interacting system, the system's state is determined when  $dN = f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$  is known, where  $dN$  is the number of particles in a box with sides  $d\mathbf{r} = (dx, dy, dz)$  centered on the point  $\mathbf{r} = (x, y, z)$  and where the corresponding

velocities of the particles lie within a box  $d\mathbf{v} = (dv_x, dv_y, dv_z)$  centered on  $\mathbf{v} = (v_x, v_y, v_z)$ . This relationship defines the *single-particle distribution*  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$ .

2. The positions  $\mathbf{r}$  are independent of the velocities  $\mathbf{v}$ . This means we can factorise  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$  as  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) = f_r(\mathbf{r}) f_v(\mathbf{v})$ .
3. The density of the gas is uniform within the volume so we can write  $f(\mathbf{r}) = N/V = \rho = \text{constant}$  inside the volume (and zero outside it).
4. The velocity components are independent of each other so we can factorise  $f_v$  as  $f_v(\mathbf{v}) = f_x(v_x)f_y(v_y)f_z(v_z)$ .
5. The distribution  $f_v(\mathbf{v})$  is isotropic in velocity space so that  $f_v$  depends only on the magnitude of the velocity  $|\mathbf{v}| = v$

### 3.3 Equation of state

Maxwell's postulates allow us to derive the equation of state for an ideal gas (the ideal gas law). They provide a microscopic interpretation of absolute temperature in terms of kinetic energy.

Consider a particle with velocity  $\mathbf{v} = (v_x, v_y, v_z)$ , moving from the left, that hits a wall parallel to the  $yz$ -plane. After impact its velocity is  $\mathbf{v}' = (-v_x, v_y, v_z)$ . Hence, the change in momentum is  $\Delta\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p} = m(\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{v}) = m(-2v_x, 0, 0)$ .

How many such impacts occur in a given time interval  $\Delta t$  on a region of the wall with area  $A$ ? Take a box with a side of area  $A$  on the wall and perpendicular sides of length  $v_x \Delta t$ . This contains the particles of velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  that can hit the wall. The volume of the box is  $Av_x \Delta t$  and the number of particles it contains is  $\rho Av_x \Delta t f_v(\mathbf{v})$ , where  $\rho = N/V$  is the density of all particles and  $f_v$  is the probability that a particle has velocity  $\mathbf{v}$ . The total momentum  $\Delta P$  transmitted to the wall is therefore

$$\Delta P = \int_0^\infty dv_x \int_{-\infty}^\infty dv_y \int_{-\infty}^\infty dv_z f_v(\mathbf{v}) \rho A \Delta t (-2m) v_x^2 \mathbf{i}$$

where  $\mathbf{i} = (1, 0, 0)$  picks out the  $x$ -component. I.e. integrate over the number of particles times the momentum per particle. The first integral only runs from zero since we are only interested in particles traveling towards the right, i.e.  $\mathbf{v} > 0$ .

The integral above gives

$$\Delta P = -2m\rho A \Delta t \langle v_x^2 \rangle \frac{1}{2}$$

where the average square velocities come from integrating over the velocity distribution and the factor of half comes from the integral over  $v_x$ , i.e. particles moving towards the right. The force exerted by the gas on the wall is  $F = \Delta P / \Delta t$  and pressure is force/area =  $|F|/A$ . We therefore have

$$p = m\rho \langle v_x^2 \rangle. \quad (4)$$

Compare this with the classical equation of state for an ideal gas  $pV = nRT$  where  $n = N/N_A$ ,  $T$  is temperature and  $R = 8.3J/K$  is a constant. If we introduce the Boltzman constant  $k_B = R/N_A$  and the particle density  $\rho = n/V$ . The ideal gas law becomes  $p = \rho k_B T$ . Comparing the ideal gas law with equation 4 we find that we can relate the absolute temperature  $T$  to the mean square velocities of the particles.