

- ① For BPP, which has two-sided error algorithm, the algo has a probability of at most $(\frac{1}{3})$ of giving the wrong answer whether the answer is YES or NO.

Whereas in for one-sided error, like in RP, if correct answer is NO, it always returns NO. If the correct answer is YES, it returns YES with probability at least $\frac{1}{2}$ (otherwise returns NO)

For BPP,

the KPS algo returns an error on YES instance, if vertex chosen at random v_0 has $\Gamma_c(v_0) \subseteq B_x$, where B_x is the bad input for the instance x .

The KPS algo returns an error on NO instance if vertex chosen at random v'_0 has at least one vertex v , which is reachable from v'_0 in $\leq c$ steps that outputs YES.

$$\text{RealBad}_x = \left\{ v \mid \underbrace{\Gamma_c(v) \subseteq B_x}_{\text{for YES instance}} \right\} \quad \text{or} \quad \left\{ v \mid \underbrace{\exists v' \in \Gamma_c(v) \text{ s.t. } v' \notin B_x}_{\text{for NO instance}} \right\}$$

Notice that both these quantities are complements of each other. So we get the whole vertex set of the graph.

~~Therefore we cannot apply KPS technique to reduce the error probability for an algorithm with two-sided error.~~

$$\frac{|B_x|}{2^m} \leq \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\Pr[A_{KPS} \text{ makes an error on YES instance}] = \frac{|B|}{2^m}$$

$$B = \{v \mid \Gamma_c'(v) \in \text{Bad}_x \text{ where } \text{Bad}_x = M(x, y) = \begin{matrix} 0 \\ \text{NO} \end{matrix}\}$$

$$|\text{Bad}_x| \geq |\Gamma_c'(B)| \geq A^c |B|$$

$$\frac{|B|}{2^m} \leq \frac{|\text{Bad}_x|}{2^m \cdot A^c} \leq \frac{1}{3 \cdot A^c} \quad (\text{since } \frac{|\text{Bad}_x|}{2^m} \leq \frac{1}{3})$$

$$\Pr[A_{KPS} \text{ does not make an error on NO instance}] = \frac{|G|}{2^m}$$

$$G = \{v \mid \Gamma_c'(v) \in \text{Good}_x \text{ where } \text{Good}_x = M(x, y) = \begin{matrix} 0 \\ \text{NO} \end{matrix}\}$$

$$|\text{Good}_x| \geq |\Gamma_c'(G)| \geq A^c |G|$$

$$\frac{|G|}{2^m} \leq \frac{|\text{Good}_x|}{2^m \cdot A^c} \quad \frac{|\text{Good}_x|}{2^m} \geq \frac{2}{3}$$

$$\Pr[A_{KPS} \text{ makes an error on NO instance}] = 1 - \frac{|G|}{2^m}$$

$$\geq 1 - \frac{|\text{Good}_x|}{2^m \cdot A^c} \geq \left(\frac{1}{3} - 1\right) \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)$$

Here, for the 'NO' instance, we cannot get any upperbound for the error, so that we could have taken ($\max(\text{errorbound on 'YES'}$, $\text{errorbound on 'NO'}$)).

As such, we cannot ascertain anything here.

\therefore KPS technique cannot be applied to reduce the error probability of two-sided error.

2) a) Probability that Karger's algorithm returns a min-cut is $\geq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}$

Let us assume that there are 'c' no. of cuts with minimum size and let the indices of their cuts be ~~C_1, C_2, \dots, C_c~~ $1, 2, \dots, c$

~~$\sum p_i = 1$~~ Let p_i be the probability that Karger's Algo returns cut C_i .

Let all the cuts be possible be $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_c, C_{c+1}, \dots$

$$\sum_i p_i = 1$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^c p_i = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_c$$

Karger's algo returns mincut with $p_i \geq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}$
for $i \in [c]$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^c p_i \geq \left(\frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \right) c$$

$$1 \geq \sum_{i=1}^c p_i \geq \frac{c}{\binom{n}{2}} \Rightarrow c \leq \binom{n}{2}$$

\therefore Atmost $\binom{n}{2}$ min cuts are present in a graph.

b) Let T be the total no. of ^{times} iterations that the Karger's algorithm is ~~performed~~ run independently.

The probability that we ~~miss~~ fail to get a particular min-cut $\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \right)^T$

~~We can~~
As the Karger's contraction Algo, goes on, we can keep track of all the smallest cuts that we

See along.

Since there are at most $\binom{n}{2}$ min-cuts in a graph.

Probability of missing any min-cut at all =

By union-bound,

$$\Pr[\text{miss any min-cut}] \leq \binom{n}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}\right)^T$$

$$\text{Take } T = \binom{n}{2} \ln \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{\delta} \quad \text{where } \delta \in (0,1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[\text{miss any min-cut}] &= \binom{n}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}\right)^{\binom{n}{2} \ln \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{\delta}} \\ &\leq e^{-\frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \binom{n}{2} \ln \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{\delta}} \quad (\text{because } e^{-x} \geq 1-x \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}) \\ &= e^{-\ln \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{\delta}} \\ &= \frac{\delta}{\binom{n}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\binom{n}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}\right)^T \leq \binom{n}{2} \frac{\delta}{\binom{n}{2}} = \delta$$

∴ We have,

$$\Pr[\text{miss any min-cut at all}] \leq \delta$$

∴ We can output all the minimum graphs with probability $\geq 1-\delta$ if we take $T = \binom{n}{2} \ln \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{\delta}$.

If we want the answer to be correct with probability 0.999, $\delta = 0.001$.

$$\text{No. of iterations/repetitions} = \binom{n}{2} \ln \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{\delta}, \quad \delta = 0.001.$$

Each run of the algo takes $O(n^2)$ time (edges = $O(n^2)$).

$$\therefore \text{Running time} = O\left(n^2 \times \binom{n}{2} \ln \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{\delta}\right) \quad \delta = 0.001$$

We can write it as $O(n^4 \ln n)$, if we neglect the constants.