

# Hypernav Trajectory Prediction

Paul Chamberlain\*

*Scripps Institution of Oceanography, La Jolla, California*

\*Corresponding author: Paul Chamberlain, pchamber@ucsd.edu

## ABSTRACT

## 5 **1. Introduction**

6 The Hypernav project proposes to seed the worlds oceans with lagrangian hyperspectral cameras  
7 based on the Argo platform (Roemmich et al. 2019), and offers an innovative means to validate the  
8 PACE satellite (Frouin et al. 2019). Previous ocean color validation experiments have deployed  
9 instrumented moorings (Clark et al. 2003) to measure and transmit optical properties of the ocean.  
10 Instrumented moorings are typically expensive and difficult to maintain and require complicated  
11 logistics to deploy globally because of their vastly greater size and weight; lagrangian drifters on the  
12 other hand are typically less expensive than moorings and can be deployed by one or two people  
13 from the deck of almost any ship. Because of this scalability, proposals have been considered  
14 to deploy a distributed network of Hypernav drifters globally to allow for multiple validation  
15 locations as opposed to the one or two validation moorings that have been used to validate previous  
16 generations of ocean color satellites. This is not to say that lagrangian drifters do not suffer from  
17 their own drawbacks. Drifters inherently move about with ocean currents; this is problematic for  
18 two reasons: firstly, instrumented drifters are fragile and cannot survive groundings. Care must  
19 be taken to navigate drifters at depths such that they avoid the ocean floor. Secondly, the regions  
20 of ideal satellite validations are relatively small. If Hypernav floats drift too far, their observations  
21 will not be useful for sattelite validation. To address these challenges, we combine 2 technologies  
22 - a current prediction of the Hawaiian Islands (PacIOOS 2021), and a an open source particle  
23 trajectory prediction software package (Delandmeter and Seville 2019).

## 24 **2. Methods**

## 25 **3. Results**

26 *Acknowledgments.* Data provided by PacIOOS ([www.pacioos.org](http://www.pacioos.org)), which is a part of the U.S.  
27 Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS), funded in part by National Oceanic and Atmospheric  
28 Administration (NOAA) Award #NA16NOS0120024.

29 *Data availability statement.* The data availability statement is where authors should describe how  
30 the data underlying the findings within the article can be accessed and reused. Authors should  
31 attempt to provide unrestricted access to all data and materials underlying reported findings. If  
32 data access is restricted, authors must mention this in the statement.

## 33 **References**

34 Clark, D. K., and Coauthors, 2003: Moby, a radiometric buoy for performance monitoring and  
35 vicarious calibration of satellite ocean color sensors: measurement and data analysis protocols.  
36 *Ocean Optics Protocols for Satellite Ocean Color Sensor Validation, Revision, 4*, 3–34.

37 Delandmeter, P., and E. v. Seville, 2019: The parcels v2. 0 lagrangian framework: new field  
38 interpolation schemes. *Geoscientific Model Development*, **12** (8), 3571–3584.

39 Frouin, R. J., and Coauthors, 2019: Atmospheric correction of satellite ocean-color imagery during  
40 the pace era. *Frontiers in earth science*, **7**, 145.

41 PacIOOS, 2021: Pacioos: Pacific islands ocean observing system. Accessed: 2021-04-27,  
42 <http://www.pacioos.hawaii.edu/>.

43 Roemmich, D., and Coauthors, 2019: On the future of argo: A global, full-depth, multi-disciplinary  
44 array. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, **6**, 439.