

Affine Structure from Motion

Scientific Computing CS660 Fall '11 Final Project
Angjoo Kanazawa

December 19, 2011

1 Introduction

Over the last few decades, the influence of Scientific Computing has been so prevalent in almost every area of Science and Engineering. It has become a necessary and critical tool for anyone involved in high-level research in Computer Science. Computer Vision is one of the quintessential example of a research area that heavily builds upon methods discovered in Scientific Computing, where the primary interest lies in the analysis and understanding of images which are represented in numerical matrices. This project explores applications of computational algorithms explored in Scientific Computing via tackling the problem of 3D reconstruction of an object from a stream of images.

The 3D reconstruction problem consists of a series of challenges starting from developing the camera model and feature representation of the image, tracking such features over the image sequences, and finally reconstructing the 3D geometry from the tracked points. In all of these steps, The application of algorithms explored in Scientific Computing is ubiquitous in all of these steps.

The main challenge of recovering 3D geometry of objects and camera motion simultaneously from a set of point correspondences is referred to as the Structure from Motion (SfM) problem. The solution to the problem has a wide range of application in 3D modeling, virtual and augmented reality models in computer graphics, camera calibration and many more. It is a well studied problem with various approaches; this project focuses on the *factorization* method proposed by Tomasi and Kanade [?] under the orthographic camera projection model. The algorithm provides a numerically stable closed form optimal solution via the singular-value decomposition technique under certain conditions. [?, p. 435]

This project follows the Project 4 of Derek Hoiem's CS 543/ECE 549 course at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign: project description.

2 Background

2.1 Orthographic Projection

A camera model transforms a world point into an image point. An affine camera, often used for its simplicity, preserves up to affine transformation of world points to image points. Basically it is a linear mapping followed by a translation, where points can rotate, scale, and translate but parallelism is preserved.[?, p.38]. An *orthographic camera model* is a specific type of affine camera where




Figure 1: Orthographic Projection

the world points are projected in parallel onto the image plane, where the depth information of the world Z , is simply ignored. Mathematically,

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} R \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} + t$$

$$\mathbf{x} = M\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{t}$$

Where $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} R \in \mathbf{R}^{2 \times 3}$ is referred to as the projection matrix, and R is a 3 by 3 matrix representing the affine motion (rotation, translation, or both) of the camera and t is the displacement vector [?, p.172]. In another words M encapsulates the motion of the camera under orthography.

2.2 Notations and Assumptions

A “world point” refers to a point, in the 3D coordinate system, $\mathbf{X} = (X, Y, Z)^T$, and an “image point” refers to a point projected on to an image plane in the 2D coordinate system, $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)^T$.

$I(x, y)$ denotes the pixel intensity value of image I , and $I(x, y, t)$ denotes the pixel value of image I at time t . ∇I is the image gradient $[I_x I_y]$ and H is the image hessian $\begin{pmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ I_y I_x & I_y^2 \end{pmatrix}$

In this project, all projections are orthographic ?? and the camera motion is affine. The images are assumed to have a mean-zero Gaussian noise. The world projected on the image sequences is rigid and the pixel intensities of images over sequences are constant i.e. all frames taken under static environment with no brightness changes. Also for the experiment we assume that there is no occlusion.

2.3 Problem Statement

Given F frames of sequential images (videos), obtain P trajectory of image points for all F : $\{x_{fp} = (u_{fp}, v_{fp})^T \forall F, P$ and solve for X_p , the world coordinate of all P points. these observation. For the experiments, the hotel image stream used in the original Tomasi and Kanade paper was used. Frames 1, 15, 30, and 45 are in figure ??.




Figure 2: frames 1, 15, 30, and 45 of the hotel image stream

3 Structure for Motion

There are three main components for 3D reconstruction of an image stream. First we need to select a subset of “interesting” pixels from the original frame. These points are then tracked through out the rest of the sequence. This set of point correspondence across all frames is then fed into the factorization algorithm. Appropriate keypoint selection and accurate feature tracking are critical for a successful 3D reconstruction.

3.1 Keypoint Selection

It’s not computationally possible to solve the world coordinate for every pixel of image sequences. We need to select keypoints in the image that are reliable, salient, and meaningful.

Harris corner detector is an optimal feature selection for the tracker that is used for this project. (It’s optimality is discussed in section ??). The idea is that we should easily recognize an interesting point by looking through a small window, where shifting a window in any direction should give a large change in intensity i.e. we accept a point \mathbf{x} if SSD of the displacement of the window by $(u, v)^T$, $E(u, v) = \sum_{(x, y) \in W} [I(x + u, y + v) - I(x, y)]^2$, is large in all direction. Assuming $\mathbf{d} = (u, v)^T$ is small, the taylor series expansion of I is

$$I(x + u, y + v) \approx I(x, y) + I_x u + I_y v + \mathcal{O}(d^T d)$$

where $I_x = \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}$, $I_y = \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}$.




Figure 3: Plot of the image gradients of the first frame

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
E(u, v) &= \sum_{(x,y) \in W} [I(x+u, y+v) - I(x, y)]^2 \\
&= \sum_{(x,y) \in W} [I(x, y) + I_x u + I_y v - I(x, y)]^2 \\
&= \sum_{(x,y) \in W} \left((I_x \ I_y) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} u & v \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ I_y I_x & I_y^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \\
&= d^T H d.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the two eigenvalues of H , λ_1, λ_2 , denotes the amount of change in the direction of its corresponding eigenvector, we accept a window if $\min(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) > \tau$, where τ is predefined threshold [?]. Figure ?? illustrates the components of the Hessian of frame one.

Using the harris detector, 518 points were found on frame 1 of the hotel sequence ??.

3.2 Feature Tracking

Now using the detected keypoint, we track these points over all frames using the Kanade-Lucas-Tomasi (KLT) Tracker [?]. The basis of KLT tracker is that although image intensities change as camera moves, images taken at near time are strongly related to each other because they tend to refer to the same scene given the assumption of local brightness constancy. This means that under an ideal static environment, image at time $t + 1$ can be obtained by moving every pixel in the at time image t by a suitable amount [?].




Figure 4: First 518 keypoints found on frame 1

3.2.1 Estimating Camera Motion

This displacement can be either a translation or an affine mapping or the combination of both, but since the world is rigid we will only consider translation. Mathematically, given \mathbf{x} at frame t , we want to find a displacement vector, $\mathbf{d} = (u, v)^T$, that minimizes the dissimilarity

$$I(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}, t + 1) - I(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0 \quad (1)$$

The Taylor expansion of

$$I(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}, t + 1) = I(x + u, y + v, t + 1) \approx I(x, y, t) + I_x u + I_y v + I_t + \mathcal{O}(d^T d).$$

Where I_t is the temporal gradient (for time) $I_t(\mathbf{x}) = I(\mathbf{x}, t) - I(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}, t + 1)$. Substituting that back to (??),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\approx I(x, y, t) + I_x u + I_y v + I_t - I(x, y, t) \\ &= \nabla I(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{d} + I_t(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

Here we have 2 unknowns but only one constraint. In fact even with the brightness constancy assumption, it is difficult to track a single point unless the point is extremely distinctive [?]. Therefore we consider minimizing the dissimilarity within a small window (typically around 15 x 15) and obtain an overdetermined linear system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in W} \nabla I(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{d} &= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in W} I_t \\ A\mathbf{d} &= \mathbf{t} \end{aligned}$$

Using the normal equations, we solve this least linear squares problem:

$$A^T A \mathbf{d} = A^T \mathbf{t} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_x(x_1) & \dots & I_x(x_{|W|}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ I_y(x_1) & \dots & I_y(x_{|W|}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_x(x_1) & \dots & I_y(x_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ I_x(x_{|W|}) & \dots & I_y(x_{|W|}) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{d} = \begin{pmatrix} I_x(x_1) & \dots & I_y(x_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ I_x(x_{|W|}) & \dots & I_y(x_{|W|}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_t(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ I_t(x_{|W|}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in W} I_x^2 & \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in W} I_x I_y \\ \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in W} I_y^2 & \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in W} I_y I_x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in W} I_x I_t \\ \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in W} I_y I_t \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

$$Z \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{e} \quad (5)$$

Since solving for \mathbf{d} by the method above requires us to know I_t , which requires




Figure 5: Plot of the random 30 points tracked over all frames

an initial displacement \mathbf{d}_0 , so we solve for the vector iteratively. Namely, we start with $\mathbf{d}_0 = (0, 0)^T$ to compute $I_{t_0} = I(\mathbf{x}, t+1) - I(\mathbf{x}, t)$, then solve for \mathbf{d}_1 using I_{t_0} . We iterate this until $\|\mathbf{d}_k - \mathbf{d}_{k+1}\| \leq \delta$, δ some threshold.

Figure ?? is the tracked path of 20 random keypoints over the entire sequence. The round circle is the position at frame 1.

3.2.2 Numerical Stability

Can we solve (??) reliably? It seems like in practice, it's not unusual to solve for \mathbf{d} simply by taking the pseudo-inverse of Z , which is known to be atrocious in the Scientific Computing community. But there is a reason why here it is okay to be less careful about solving for \mathbf{d} and it leads to the discussion of the optimality of our keypoint selector.

For (??) to be stable, we need Z to be well-conditioned. The condition number of Z is $\kappa(Z) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|$. Here, Z is symmetric and positive definite (because it's the Hessian), so the condition number is also the ratio of the smallest to largest eigenvalue. Here it's $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}$. Note that our criteria for choosing

our keypoint was $\min(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) > \tau$. So we are assured that λ_2 eigenvalue is sufficiently large. Since we're dealing with images with a maximum possible pixel value, we are also assured that λ_1 will not be arbitrarily large. So with this keypoint selection, we're guaranteed to have a well conditioned Z . This is why in practice it is okay to use the pseudo-inverse of Z . This means in MATLAB the `mldivide` is more than sufficient.

3.3 The Factorization Method

As discussed in ??, orthographic camera model projects the world points onto the image plane as a linear mapping followed by a translation: $x = MX + t$. Our goal is to estimate the camera motion M_f and t_f for each frame and the world points, X_p , of all tracked image points, so that the distance of the estimated image point from these parameters and the measured image point is close. i.e. our minimization problem is:

$$\min_{M_f, t_f, X_p} \sum_f \sum_p \|x_{fp} - (M_f X_p + t_f)\|^2 \quad (6)$$

Taking the derivative of (??) with respect to t_f and setting it to 0 gives us $t_f = \bar{x}_f - M_f \bar{X}_p$, where $\bar{x}_f = \frac{1}{P} \sum_p x_{fp}$ and $\bar{X}_p = \frac{1}{P} X_p$ i.e. the mean of all points in image f . But the origin of the world point is arbitrary so we can just set that to 0, which gives us $t_f = \bar{x}_f$. This also agrees with the fact that affine camera maps the centroid of 3D world points to the centroid of the projected image [?, p438]. This means that if we center all image points in f th frame, $t_f = 0$ and we can remove the translation term from the model. Our objective is now:

$$\min_{M_f, t_f, X_p} \sum_f \sum_p \|x_{fp} - M_f X_p\|^2 \quad (7)$$

The key idea of the factorization algorithm is that image measurements can be decomposed into the product of two separate factors *motion* and *shape*.

Using the set of P tracked corresponding points, we can represent the image sequence by a $2F \times P$ *measurement matrix*

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1P} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{F1} & & x_{FP} \\ y_{11} & \cdots & y_{1P} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{F1} & & y_{FP} \end{pmatrix}$$

Where $\mathbf{x}_{ij} = (x_{ij}, y_{ij})^T$ is the j -th point in the i -th frame.

Recall that camera motion under orthography is

$$M_f = \begin{pmatrix} i_f \\ j_f \end{pmatrix}$$

where $i_f, j_f \in \mathbf{R}^3$, a pair of orthonormal unit vectors corresponding to the x, y-axis of the image plane respectively. These vectors over F frames are collected

into a *motion matrix* $M \in \mathbf{R}^{2F \times 3}$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} i_1^T \\ \vdots \\ i_F^T \\ j_1^T \\ \vdots \\ j_F^T \end{pmatrix}$$

We let $s_p = \mathbf{X}_p = (X_p, Y_p, Z_p)^T$ be the 3D coordinates of feature p in the fixed world point with the same origin. These vectors are collected into a *shape matrix* $S \in \mathbf{R}^{3 \times P}$ s.t. $S = (s_1 \ \cdots \ s_P)^T$. Using this notation, for a single frame we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{fp} \\ y_{fp} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i_f^T \\ j_f^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_p \\ Y_p \\ Z_p \end{pmatrix}$$

$$w_{fp} = M_f S_p$$

So for all frames, we have the equation

$$W = MS.$$

Our goal is to estimate W by \hat{M} and \hat{S} , where $\hat{W} = \hat{M}\hat{S}$. Now our objective can be re-written as a least squares problem:

$$\min_{M, S} \|W - \hat{M}\hat{S}\|^2 \quad (8)$$

[?].

3.3.1 Rank Theorem

Note that W is the product of a $2F$ by 3 motion matrix and 3 by P shape matrix, therefore under ideal noise free environment, W is at most rank 3 [?]. Assuming $2F \geq P$, we can achieve the least squares approximation by factoring W by SVD:

$$W = U\Sigma V^T$$

Because of the rank theorem, the diagonal of Σ has at most three nonzero greatest singular values in the first three entries. So the closest approximation of W , even with noise, is obtained by taking the three greatest singular values of W with the corresponding left and right eigenvectors. Namely,

$$W \approx U_{2F \times 3} \Sigma_{3 \times 3} V_{3 \times P}^T$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} u_{1,1} & u_{1,2} & u_{1,3} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_{2F,1} & u_{2F,2} & u_{2F,3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{1,1} & \cdots & \cdots & v_{1,p} \\ v_{2,1} & \cdots & \cdots & v_{2,p} \\ v_{3,1} & \cdots & \cdots & v_{3,p} \end{pmatrix}$$

By using SVD, the factorization algorithm achieves numerical stability and we are guaranteed to converge to the global minimum of (??) [?].




Figure 6: Plot of camera path from each dimension

4 Eliminating Affine Ambiguity

One possible solution to estimate \hat{M} and \hat{S} is to choose $\hat{M} = U_{2F \times 3} \Sigma_{3 \times 3}^{1/2}$ and $\hat{S} = \Sigma_{3 \times 3}^{1/2} V_{3 \times P}^T$, or $\hat{M} = U_{2F \times 3}$ and $\hat{S} = \Sigma_{3 \times 3} V_{3 \times P}^T$, because either way we get $\hat{W} = \hat{M} \hat{S} = U_{2F \times 3} \Sigma_{3 \times 3} V_{3 \times P}^T$

The approximation of \hat{M} and \hat{S} has such ambiguities, since an arbitrary 3 by 3 invertible matrix Q may be inserted in the decomposition as $\hat{W} = (\hat{M}Q)(Q^{-1}\hat{S})$, i.e. the approximation is unique only up to an affine transformation. We can upgrade this affine approximation to a metric approximation by imposing the metric information obtained from the motion matrix M to solve for Q .

Let

$$L = QQ^T = \begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & l_3 \\ l_2 & l_4 & l_5 \\ l_3 & l_5 & l_6 \end{pmatrix}$$

. Recall that \hat{M} is made of orthonormal unit vectors where the first F entries of \hat{M} , $\hat{i}_f \in \mathbf{R}^3$, is orthogonal to the corresponding second F entries of M , $\hat{j}_f \in \mathbf{R}^3$.

Since $M = \hat{M}Q$, the corresponding rows of \hat{M} must satisfy equations

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{i}_f^T L \hat{i}_f &= 1 \\ \hat{j}_f^T L \hat{j}_f &= 1 \\ \hat{i}_f^T L \hat{j}_f &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Stacking up elements of L into a vector and re-writing the appropriate terms we get an over-determined system of linear equations $G\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{c}$, where

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} f(\mathbf{i}_1, \mathbf{i}_1) \\ \vdots \\ f(\mathbf{i}_F, \mathbf{i}_F) \\ \vdots \\ f(\mathbf{j}_1, \mathbf{j}_1) \\ \vdots \\ f(\mathbf{j}_F, \mathbf{j}_F) \\ \vdots \\ f(\mathbf{i}_1, \mathbf{j}_1) \\ \vdots \\ f(\mathbf{i}_F, \mathbf{j}_F) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{3F \times 6}$$

and \mathbf{c} is a vector whose first $2F$ entries are 1 and the last F entries are 0, and $f(\mathbf{i}_f, \mathbf{j}_f) = [i_{f1}j_{f1}, i_{f1}j_{f2} + i_{f2}j_{f1}, i_{f1}j_{f3} + i_{f3}j_{f1}, i_{f2}j_{f2}, i_{f2}j_{f3} + i_{f3}j_{f2}, i_{f3}j_{f3}]$.

We can solve for \mathbf{l} using any least squares problem solver, then employ cholesky decomposition to obtain Q [?].

Finally we have $M = \hat{M}Q$, and $S = Q^{-1}\hat{S}$, where S contains the 3D world point of the P tracked points and M has the position of the camera over F frames.

Figure ??, ??, and ?? are the reconstructed 3D world points before and after removing the affine ambiguity in different view points. The camera position in each frame is given by the cross product of M_f 's rows $\mathbf{i}_f \times \mathbf{j}_f$. The 3D path of camera movement from each dimension is plotted on ??.

5 Conclusion

The main challenge for Structure from Motion lies not in creating the model of the system but in accurately estimating the parameters of the model. Theoretically, an appropriate camera model's parameters are well-defined up to certain ambiguities. However, the model is non-linear and has high sensitivity to parameter variations which makes the problem numerically ill-conditioned or computationally intensive in direct methods [?].

The main contribution of the factorization method is that under orthography, the relationship between the measurement, the motion and the shape matrix has a simple expression regardless of the shape or the camera movement [?]. The




Figure 7: 3D world points before and after removing the affine ambiguity

algorithm is also numerically stable and the convergence to minimize the norm squared error of the approximation of W is guaranteed. Even when the number of F grows, there are methods to estimate W efficiently because full SVD of W is not necessary. The factorization method presented in this project assumes orthographic projection but the algorithm has been further extended to work with most camera models as well[?].

Every step discussed above was implemented in MATLAB ???. As proposed the method gives accurate reconstruction of the world points.

A Source Code

do_SfM.m The driver.

```
function do_SfM(config_file)
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
% CMSC660 Fall'11 Final Project: Affine Structure from Motion(SfM)
% doSfM.m
% Driver script to do affine SfM, to run, do:
% do_SfM('config'); where 'config' refers to the config.m in this directory
%
% Angjoo Kanazawa 11/23/'11
```




Figure 8: 3D world points before and after removing the affine ambiguity

```

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

%% Step 1: get initial keypoints

[keyXs, keyYs] = do_getKeypoints(config_file);

%% Step 2: track features

[trackedXs, trackedYs] = do_trackFeatures(config_file);

%% Step 3: Affine Structure for Motion via Factorization

[M S] = do_factorization(config_file);

do_getKeypoints.m  Code for section ??

function [keyXs, keyYs] = do_getKeypoints(config_file)
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
% getKeypoints.m
% script to get the key points from im using Harris corner detector
% INPUT - im: image to get the keypoint
%         tau: threshold to do non-maxima suppression

```




Figure 9: 3D world points before and after removing the affine ambiguity

```
%
% OUTPUT - keyXs, keyYs: keypoints found in the initial
% frame. saves them in the file specified by config.m
%
% parts of script referenced from: http://www.csse.uwa.edu.au/~pk/research/matlabfns/
%
% Angjoo Kanazawa 11/23/'11
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

%% Evaluate the global configuration file and load parameters
eval(config_file);

imFiles = getImageSet(IMAGE_DIR); % gets cell array of frames (img files)
F = length(imFiles); % number of frames
fprintf('getting intial keypoints from %s\n', imFiles{1});

im = double(imread(imFiles{1}));

if strcmp(Feature.method, 'harris')
    % compute image derivatives in x and y using Peter Kovesi's
    % accurate derivative
    [Ix Iy] = derivative5(im, 'x', 'y');
```

```

% old way
% dx = [ -1 0 1 ; -1 0 1 ; -1 0 1];
% dy = dx';
% Ix = imfilter(im, dx, 'same');
% Iy = imfilter(im, dy, 'same');

% compute components of H
Ix2 = Ix.^2;
Iy2 = Iy.^2;
IxIy = Ix.*Iy ;

% smooth H using gaussian filter
filt = fspecial('gaussian', 6*Feature.sigma, Feature.sigma);
Ix2sm = imfilter(Ix2, filt, 'same');
Iy2sm = imfilter(Iy2, filt, 'same');
IxIysm = imfilter(IxIy, filt, 'same');

% display plot
% sfigure; subplot(2,2,1); imagesc(im); colormap('gray'); title('original');
% subplot(2,2,2); imagesc(Ix); colormap('gray');title('der in x');
% subplot(2,2,3); imagesc([Ix2 IxIy; IxIy Iy2]);colormap('gray'); title('hessian');
% subplot(2,2,4); imagesc([Ix2sm IxIysm; IxIysm Iy2sm]);colormap('gray'); title('smoothed hessian');

% compute the corner response matrix = det(H) - a*trace(H)^2
M = Ix2sm.*Iy2sm - IxIy.^2 - Feature.alpha*(Ix2sm + Iy2sm).^2;

% perform non-maxima supression over Feature.radius window size

% Make mask to exclude points within Feature.radius of the image boundary.
bordermask = zeros(size(im));
bordermask(Feature.radius+1:end-Feature.radius, Feature.radius+1:end-Feature.radius) = 1;
% dilate image
M_sup = ordfilt2(M, Feature.radius^2, ones(Feature.radius));
% find points that's still there in dilated image & stronger than Feature.tau
corner = (M==M_sup) & M>Feature.tau & bordermask;

% plot
if VERBOSE
    sfigure; subplot(221); imagesc(M); title('corner response M');
    subplot(222); imagesc(M_sup); title('max dilated');
    colormap('gray');
    subplot(223); imagesc(M> Feature.tau); title(['corner response > thresh']);
    colormap('gray');
    subplot(224); imagesc(corner); title('corner response max suppressed');
    colormap('gray');
end

[keyXs, keyYs] = find(corner); % get the r, c index of key points

else %do SIFT
    keyXs = [];
    keyYs = [];
end

```

```

if VERBOSE
    sfigure;
    imagesc(im); colormap('gray'); hold on;
    plot(keyYs, keyXs, 'y.');
```

title(['first frame overlayed with keypoints']);

```

end

save(keypoints_f, 'keyXs', 'keyYs');
```

do_trackFeatures.m Code for section ??

```

function [trackedXs, trackedYs] = do_trackFeatures(config_file)
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
% do_trackFeatures.m
% Top level file to track freatures from the key points obtained in
% do_getKeypoints.m
% OUTPUT - trackedXs, trackedYs: the tracked points. Saves the
% result in the file specified by config.m
%
% DESCRIPTION
% for each keypoint at frame f, I(x,y,f), we want to compute
% expected translation in the next frame I(x', y', f+1)
%
% Angjoo Kanazawa 12/16/'11
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

%% Evaluate the global configuration file and load parameters
eval(config_file);

% load the data computed in do_getKeypoints.m
load(keypoints_f, 'keyXs', 'keyYs');
```

% gets cell array of image file names (frames)

```

imFiles = getImageSet(IMAGE_DIR);
F = length(imFiles);
P = numel(keyXs);
if ~exist(tracked_pts_f);
    trackedXs = zeros(F, P);
    trackedYs = zeros(F, P);
    trackedXs(1, :) = keyXs; trackedYs(1, :) = keyYs;
    for i=2:F
        [trackedXs(i,:) trackedYs(i,:)] = predictTranslationAll(trackedXs(i-1, :), trackedYs(i-1, :),
                                                                    imread(imFiles{i-1}), imread(imFiles{i}));
    end
    % remove nans i.e. points that went out of frame
    outFrame = find(isnan(trackedXs(end, :)));
    trackedXs(:, outFrame) = [];
    trackedYs(:, outFrame) = [];
    P = P - numel(outFrame);
    save(tracked_pts_f, 'trackedXs', 'trackedYs', 'P');
```

```

else
    load(tracked_pts_f);
end

```

```

%% Draw the path of random 30 tracked points over all frames
if VERBOSE
    pts = randperm(P);
    pts = pts(1:30);
    sfigure; imagesc(imread(imFiles{1})); colormap('gray'); hold on;
    plot(trackedYs(1, pts), trackedXs(1,pts),'m*');
    for f=2:F
        plot(trackedXs(f, pts), trackedYs(f,pts), 'b.');
```

end

title('the path of random 30 points tracked over all frames');

end

do_predictTranslationAll.m

```

function [newXs newYs] = predictTranslationAll(startXs, startYs,im0,im1);
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
% implementation of the KLT tracker introduced in:
% Carlo Tomasi and Takeo Kanade. Detection and Tracking of Point Features. Carnegie Mellon University
% predictTranslationAll.m
% script to get new X, Y, locations in im1 for all startXs and
% startYs in im0
%
% Computes the gradients here, calls predictTranslation.m to get
% predict each keypoint independently
%
% Angjoo Kanazawa 11/23/'11
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

if ~isa(im0, 'double') im0 = double(im0); end
if ~isa(im1, 'double') im1 = double(im1); end

% Using the brightness constancy assumption, we expect the pixel
% intensity of location x, y at frame f is same as the pixel
% intensity of location x'=x+u, y'=y+v at frame f+1
% i.e.  $I(x,y,f) = I(x', y', f+1)$ , where u and v are displacement of
% pixels in the next frame.

% With an additional constraint that this must be true within w by w window, this amounts to solving
%  $-I_t(ps) = \text{grad } I(ps)[u; v] \Rightarrow Ax = b$ 
% where  $A = \text{grad } I(ps)$ ,  $b = -I_t(ps)$ ,  $x = [u;v]$ 
% - ps are all points in the w by w window
% -  $I_t$  is the temporal gradient:  $I(x'y', f+1) - I(x,y,f)$ 

%% Step 1 compute the gradient of im0

[Ix Iy] = derivative5(im0, 'x', 'y');
numPoints = length(startXs);
newXs = zeros(numPoints, 1); newYs = zeros(numPoints, 1);
for i=1:numPoints
    fprintf('.');
    if ~isnan(startXs(i)) || ~isnan(startYs(i))
        [newX newY] = predictTranslation(startXs(i), startYs(i), Ix, Iy, ...
                                         im0, im1);
    else
```



```

        newX = nan; newY= nan;
    end
    newXs(i) = newX;
    newYs(i) = newY;
end
end

```

predictTranslation.m

```

function [newX newY] = predictTranslation(startX, startY, Ix, Iy, im0, im1);
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
% implementation of the KLT tracker introduced in:
% Carlo Tomasi and Takeo Kanade. Detection and Tracking of Point Features. Carnegie Mellon University
% predictTranslation.m
% For a single X Y location, use Ix and Iy, im0 and im1 to compute
% the new location X', Y' iteratively using Newton-Raphson style iteration
%
% Angjoo Kanazawa 11/23/'11
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

% Using the brightness constancy assumption, we expect the pixel
% intensity of location x, y at frame f is same as the pixel
% intensity of location x'=x+u, y'=y+v at frame f+1
% i.e.  $I(x,y,f) = I(x', y', f+1)$ , where u and v are displacement of
% pixels in the next frame.

% With an additional constraint that this must be true within w by w window, this amounts to solving
%  $-I_t(ps) = \text{grad } I(ps)[u; v] \Rightarrow Ax = b$ 
% where  $A = \text{grad } I(ps)$ ,  $b = -I_t(ps)$ ,  $x = [u; v]$ 
% - ps are all points in the w by w window
% -  $I_t$  is the temporal gradient:  $I(x'y', f+1) - I(x,y,f)$ 

%% Step 1 compute the gradient of im0

WINDOW = 15;
% ignore points that are outside or close to the border (within 3)
radius = 3;
bordermask = zeros(size(im0));
bordermask(radius+1:end-radius, radius+1:end-radius) = 1;

% all points in the window x window
% make A: [sum_w Ix*Ix sum_w Ix*Iy; sum_w Ix*Iy sum_w Iy*Iy]

% get the indices of the window grid we want to look at
[x_w, y_w] = meshgrid(startX-WINDOW:startX+WINDOW, startY-WINDOW:startY+WINDOW);
Img1_w = interp2(im0, x_w, y_w);
Img2_w = interp2(im1, x_w, y_w);
Ix_w = interp2(Ix, x_w, y_w);
Ix_w = Ix_w(~isnan(Ix_w));
Iy_w = interp2(Iy, x_w, y_w);
Iy_w = Iy_w(~isnan(Iy_w));

Ixy_w = interp2(Iy.*Ix, x_w, y_w);
Ixy_w = Ixy_w(~isnan(Ixy_w));

```

```

A = [sum(Ix_w.^2) sum(Ixy_w); sum(Ixy_w) sum(Iy_w.^2)];

%% get It = I(x', y', t+1) - (x,y,t+1)
% iteratively so the first one is, (x0', y0') = (x,y)

diff = norm(Img2_w- Img1_w);
dx = 100;
uv = [0;0]; %(x',y') starts at (x0,y0)
itr = 0;
maxItr = 30;
while dx > 0.01 & itr < maxItr
    Img2_w_new = interp2(im1, x_w+uv(1), y_w+uv(2));
    It = Img2_w_new - Img1_w;
    % remove if point/window (X+uv) moves out of frame
    if length(find(isnan(It)))>0
        uv = [NaN; NaN];
        break;
    end
    % calculate b = - [sum_w IxIt sum_w IyIt]
    b = - [sum(Ix_w.*It(:)); sum(Iy_w.*It(:))];
    % estimate (u,v)
    uv_new = A\b;
    % update displacement
    uv = uv+uv_new;
    itr = itr + 1;
    diffNew = norm(Img2_w - Img2_w_new);
    if diff < diffNew
        break;
    end
    dx = abs(diff - diffNew);
    diff = diffNew;
end
if isnan(uv)
    newX = nan; newY=nan;
else
    %maybe not needed but check if this computed uv sets key point
    %out of frame
    x_int = interp2(im1, startX+uv(1), startY+uv(2));
    if isnan(x_int)
        newX = nan; newY=nan;
        fprintf('\nout of frame!\n');
    else
        newX = startX+uv(1); newY = startY+uv(2);
    end
end
end

```

do_factorization.m Code for section ??.

```

function [M S] = do_factorization(config_file, Xs, Ys)
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
% do_factorization.m
% Using tracked points, implement affine structure from motion
% procedure described in
% "Shape and Motion from Image Streams under Orthography: a

```

```

% Factorization Method" 1992 by Tomasi and Kanade.
%
% INPUT - Xs, Ys (optional): tracked 2D points from sequences in
% format F x P, where F is the number of frames and P is the
% number of points tracked. If not supplied will load from the file specified in config.m
%
% OUTPUT - M: 2*F by 3 Motion matrix (Camera movements)
%          - S: 3 by P Shape matrix (3D world coordinates)
%
% ALGORITHM
% 1. represent the input as a 2F x P measurement matrix W
% 2. Compute SVD of W = USV'
% 3. Define M' = U_3(S_3)^(1/2), S' = (S_3)^(1/2)V'_3 (U_3 means the
%    first 3 x 3 block, where M' and S' are liner transformations of
%    the actual M and S
% 4. Compute Q by imposing the metric constraints i.e. let L = QQ'
%    and solve Gl = c for l, use cholseky to recover Q
% 5. Compute M and S using M', S', and Q
%
% Angjoo Kanazawa 12/14/'11
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

%% Evaluate the global configuration file and load parameters
eval(config_file);

if nargin == 1
    data = load(tracked_pts_f);
    Xs = data.trackedXs; Ys = data.trackedYs;
end

[F P] = size(Xs);

%%% 0. to eliminate translation, center all points. i.e. subtract
%% mean of each row
Xs = bsxfun(@minus, Xs, mean(Xs, 2));
Ys = bsxfun(@minus, Ys, mean(Ys, 2));

%%% 1. compute W
W = [Xs; Ys];

%%% 2. SVD of W
[U D V] = svd(W);

%%% 3. make M', S'
Mhat = U(:, 1:3)*sqrt(D(1:3, 1:3));
Shat = sqrt(D(1:3, 1:3))*V(:, 1:3)';

%%% 4. Compute Q, impose the metric constraints
Is = Mhat(1:F, :);
Js = Mhat(F+1:end, :);

gfun = @(a, b)[ a(1)*b(1), a(1)*b(2)+a(2)*b(1), a(1)*b(3)+a(3)*b(1), ...
               a(2)*b(2), a(2)*b(3)+a(3)*b(2), a(3)*b(3)] ;

```

```

G = zeros(3*F, 6);
for f = 1:3*F
    if f <= F
        G(f, :) = gfun(Is(f,:), Is(f,:));
    elseif f <= 2*F
        %           fprintf('do j(%d) ', mod(f, F+1)+1);
        G(f, :) = gfun(Js(mod(f, F+1)+1, :), Js(mod(f, F+1)+1, :));
    else
        %           fprintf('\tdo i,j(%d)', mod(f, 2*F));
        G(f, :) = gfun(Is(mod(f, 2*F),:), Js(mod(f, 2*F),:));
    end
end

c = [ones(2*F, 1); zeros(F, 1)];

% solve G1 = c (do it by svd later)
l = G\c;

% could be a programatic way, but hey we "see" 3D or 2D
L = [l(1) l(2) l(3);...
     l(2) l(4) l(5);...
     l(3) l(5) l(6)] ;

Q = chol(L); % finally!

fprintf('check %g\n', all(all(L = Q'*Q)));

%%% 5. get M and S
M = Mhat*Q;
S = inv(Q)*Shat;

if VERBOSE
    %% plot of 3D points
    sfigure;
    plot3(Shat(1, :), Shat(2,:), Shat(3,:), 'k. '); hold on;
    plot3(S(1, :), S(2,:), S(3,:), 'b. ');
    plot3(0,0,0, 'gs');
    grid on;
    title(['3D points from tracked points: before and after eliminating ' ...
          'affine ambiguity upto orthography']);
    legend('before enforcing metric constraints', ['after enforcing metric ' ...
          'constraints', 'origin']);
    %% plot of the predicted 3D path of the cameras
    %The camera position for each frame is given by the cross product
    %kf = if jf. For consistent results, normalize all kf to be unit
    %vectors. Give three plots, one for each dimension of kf.

    camera_pos = zeros(F, 3);
    for f = 1:F
        kf = cross(M(f,:), M(f+F, :));
        camera_pos(f,:) = kf/norm(kf); % in unit norm
    end

    % save this plot in 3 axis.....

```

```

% sfigure; plot3(camera_pos(:, 1), camera_pos(:, 2), camera_pos(:, 3), '.-');
sfigure; plot3(camera_pos(:, 1), camera_pos(:, 2), [1:F], '.-');
grid on; zlabel('frames');
title('camera position over frame on XY axis');
sfigure; plot(camera_pos(:, 1), camera_pos(:, 3), [1:F]);
grid on; zlabel('frames');
title('camera position over frame on XZ axis');
sfigure; plot(camera_pos(:, 2), camera_pos(:, 3));
grid on; zlabel('frames');
title('camera position over frame on YZ axis');
% triangulate...?
keyboard
X = S(1, :);
Y = S(2, :);
Z = S(3, :);
tri = delaunay(X,Y);
trimesh(tri, X,Y,Z);
end

```