# Appendix A

Chandler

April 2025

## 1 Introduction

# Appendix A: Full Mathematical Expansion of Recursive Horizon Theory

#### A.1: Gravitational Field from Entropy Flow

We define a scalar entropy field S(x) over a 3D spatial hypersurface embedded in a Lorentzian manifold M. Let  $\Phi(x)$  be the gravitational potential induced by entropy distribution.

We postulate that entropy gradients generate gravitational potential via:

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta x^{i}} = -\partial_{i}\Phi(x) \quad or \quad \nabla S(x) = -\nabla \Phi(x)$$

Taking divergence of both sides yields:

$$\nabla \cdot \nabla S(x) = -\nabla \cdot \nabla \Phi(x) \Rightarrow \nabla^2 S(x) = -\nabla^2 \Phi(x)$$

We invert this to derive the Poisson equation for gravitational potential:

$$\nabla^2 \Phi(x) = 4\pi G \, \frac{\delta S}{\delta V}$$

This implies: - Gravitational curvature is sourced by local entropy density per unit volume, - Entropic force arises as:

$$\vec{F}(x) = -\nabla\Phi(x)$$

This connects entropy geometry directly to Newtonian gravity through a statistical interpretation of mass-energy localization as entropy compression.

#### A.2: Surface Gauge Field Equations

We begin by interpreting surface memory deformation as an emergent gauge structure.

Let S(x) be the entropy field defined on a 2D surface embedded in a 4D Lorentzian manifold M. The recursive deformation of this surface produces local curvature patterns, which we associate with emergent gauge fields  $A_{\mu}^{a}(x)$ .

Define the covariant derivative:

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + igA_{\mu}^{a}(x)T^{a}$$

where:

- $A^a_\mu(x)$  are the gauge fields emerging from surface tiling symmetry,
- $T^a$  are the generators of the gauge group G,
- g is the coupling constant associated with the recursive symmetry breaking level.

We define the gauge field strength tensor via:

$$F^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + g f^{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu$$

where  $f^{abc}$  are the structure constants of the Lie algebra of G.

The Lagrangian for the gauge field is then given by:

$$\mathcal{L}_{gauge} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\mu\nu}$$

This expression emerges not from imposed symmetry, but from the recursive patterning of entropy gradients on the surface.

To show this, we consider local deformation of the entropy gradient:

$$\nabla_{\mu}S(x) \mapsto \nabla_{\mu}S(x) + \delta_{\epsilon}\nabla_{\mu}S(x)$$

where small transformations  $\delta_{\epsilon}$  correspond to group-valued mappings preserving local entropy invariance under recursive collapse.

To first order:

$$\delta_{\epsilon} \nabla_{\mu} S(x) = i \epsilon^{a}(x) T^{a} \nabla_{\mu} S(x)$$

Therefore, the entropy-covariant field coupling takes the form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = -\nabla^{\mu} S(x) \cdot D_{\mu} S(x)$$

This produces minimal coupling between surface entropy flow and gauge curvature fields, tying local memory conservation to topological invariants of the surface tiling group G.

Gauge symmetry thus emerges as a natural recursive deformation symmetry on entropy surfaces — not as a postulated spacetime symmetry, but as a collapse-preserving equivalence under localized horizon information flow.

## A.3: SU(5) Breaking from Recursive Collapse

We postulate that early recursive entropy tiling on surface boundaries admits an effective SU(5) gauge symmetry. This symmetry arises from five-dimensional entropic configurations in a locally isotropic surface tiling space.

Let the gauge field  $A_{\mu} \in su(5)$  be decomposed under the subgroup chain:

$$SU(5) \supset SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$$

We define the SU(5) field strength tensor:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} + ig_{5}[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}]$$

We construct the full gauge Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{gauge} = -\frac{1}{2} Tr(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu})$$

To realize spontaneous symmetry breaking, introduce a Higgs scalar  $\Phi$  in the adjoint representation (24-dimensional), with vacuum expectation value:

$$\langle \Phi \rangle = v \, diag(2, 2, 2, -3, -3)$$

This breaks SU(5) down to:

$$SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$$

The potential responsible for this breaking is:

$$V(\Phi) = -\mu^2 Tr(\Phi^2) + \lambda Tr(\Phi^2)^2$$

The recursive collapse mechanism selects this vacuum by entropy minimization — the surface tension gradients evolve toward the configuration that minimizes the curvature-induced entropy functional:

$$S_{rec}[\Phi] = \int \left(\frac{1}{2} Tr(\nabla_{\mu} \Phi \nabla^{\mu} \Phi) - V(\Phi)\right) \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x$$

This entropy-action governs the recursive selection of vacuum symmetry. Higher-entropy configurations (e.g., full SU(5)) are unstable under recursive collapse due to their internal curvature tension. The collapse favors lower-energy topologies where field tilings align with minimal entropy curvature, i.e., Standard Model symmetry.

Therefore, symmetry breaking is not imposed but \*selected\* through recursive entropy relaxation.

#### Summary

- SU(5) arises from isotropic entropy tiling symmetry.
- Recursive collapse selects lower-entropy field alignment.
- Higgs VEV induces breaking to SM group via curvature-tension minimization.