

## Feedback — IV. Linear Regression with Multiple Variables

[Help](#)

You submitted this quiz on **Wed 26 Mar 2014 10:35 AM IST**. You got a score of **5.00** out of **5.00**.

### Question 1

Suppose  $m = 4$  students have taken some class, and the class had a midterm exam and a final exam. You have collected a dataset of their scores on the two exams, which is as follows:

midterm exam	(midterm exam) <sup>2</sup>	final exam
89	7921	96
72	5184	74
94	8836	87
69	4761	78

You'd like to use polynomial regression to predict a student's final exam score from their midterm exam score. Concretely, suppose you want to fit a model of the form

$h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2$ , where  $x_1$  is the midterm score and  $x_2$  is (midterm score)<sup>2</sup>.

Further, you plan to use both feature scaling (dividing by the "max-min", or range, of a feature) and mean normalization.

What is the normalized feature  $x_2^{(4)}$ ? (Hint: midterm = 89, final = 96 is training example 1.) Please enter your answer in the text box below. If applicable, please provide at least two digits after the decimal place.

**You entered:**

-0.469

**Your Answer**

**Score**

**Explanation**

-0.469



1.00

Total

1.00 / 1.00

#### Question Explanation

The mean of  $x_2$  is 6675.5 and the range is  $8836 - 4761 = 4075$  So  $x_1^{(1)}$  is

$$\frac{4761 - 6675.5}{4075} = -0.47.$$

## Question 2

You run gradient descent for 15 iterations with  $\alpha = 0.3$  and compute  $J(\theta)$  after each iteration. You find that the value of  $J(\theta)$  **decreases** quickly then levels off. Based on this, which of the following conclusions seems most plausible?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="radio"/> Rather than use the current value of $\alpha$ , it'd be more promising to try a larger value of $\alpha$ (say $\alpha = 1.0$ ).		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> $\alpha = 0.3$ is an effective choice of learning rate.	✓ 1.00	We want gradient descent to quickly converge to the minimum, so the current setting of $\alpha$ seems to be good.
<input type="radio"/> Rather than use the current value of $\alpha$ , it'd be more promising to try a smaller value of $\alpha$ (say $\alpha = 0.1$ ).		
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

## Question 3

Suppose you have  $m = 23$  training examples with  $n = 5$  features (excluding the additional all-ones feature for the intercept term, which you should add). The normal equation is  $\theta = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$ . For the given values of  $m$  and  $n$ , what are the dimensions of  $\theta$ ,  $X$ , and  $y$  in this equation?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="radio"/> $X$ is $23 \times 5$ , $y$ is $23 \times 1$ , $\theta$ is $5 \times 1$		
<input type="radio"/> $X$ is $23 \times 6$ , $y$ is $23 \times 6$ , $\theta$ is $6 \times 6$		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> $X$ is $23 \times 6$ , $y$ is $23 \times 1$ , $\theta$ is $6 \times 1$	✓ 1.00	
<input type="radio"/> $X$ is $23 \times 5$ , $y$ is $23 \times 1$ , $\theta$ is $5 \times 5$		

Total

1.00 / 1.00

**Question Explanation**

$X$  has  $m$  rows and  $n + 1$  columns (+1 because of the  $x_0 = 1$  term).  $y$  is an  $m$ -vector.  $\theta$  is an  $(n + 1)$ -vector.

## Question 4

Suppose you have a dataset with  $m = 1000000$  examples and  $n = 200000$  features for each example. You want to use multivariate linear regression to fit the parameters  $\theta$  to our data.

Should you prefer gradient descent or the normal equation?

**Your Answer****Score****Explanation**

☒ Gradient descent, since  $(X^T X)^{-1}$  will be very slow to compute in the normal equation.



1.00

With  $n = 200000$  features, you will have to invert a  $200001 \times 200001$  matrix to compute the normal equation. Inverting such a large matrix is computationally expensive, so gradient descent is a good choice.

☐ The normal equation, since gradient descent might be unable to find the optimal  $\theta$ .

☐ The normal equation, since it provides an efficient way to directly find the solution.

☐ Gradient descent, since it will always converge to the optimal  $\theta$ .

Total

1.00 /  
1.00

## Question 5

Which of the following are reasons for using feature scaling?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="checkbox"/> It speeds up gradient descent by making each iteration of gradient descent less expensive to compute.	✓ 0.25	The magnitude of the feature values are insignificant in terms of computational cost.
<input type="checkbox"/> It is necessary to prevent gradient descent from getting stuck in local optima.	✓ 0.25	The cost function $J(\theta)$ for linear regression has no local optima.
<input type="checkbox"/> It speeds up solving for $\theta$ using the normal equation.	✓ 0.25	The magnitude of the feature values are insignificant in terms of computational cost.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It speeds up gradient descent by making it require fewer iterations to get to a good solution.	✓ 0.25	Feature scaling speeds up gradient descent by avoiding many extra iterations that are required when one or more features take on much larger values than the rest.
Total	1.00 / 1.00	