

Feedback — XVI. Recommender Systems

[Help](#)

You submitted this quiz on **Mon 19 May 2014 10:29 AM IST**. You got a score of **4.50** out of **5.00**. You can [attempt again](#) in 10 minutes.





Question 1

Suppose you run a bookstore, and have ratings (1 to 5 stars) of books. Your collaborative filtering algorithm has learned a parameter vector $\theta^{(j)}$ for user j , and a feature vector $x^{(i)}$ for each book. You would like to compute the "training error", meaning the average squared error of your system's predictions on all the ratings that you have gotten from your users. Which of these are correct ways of doing so (check all that apply)? For this problem, let m be the total number of ratings you have gotten from your users. (Another way of saying this is that $m = \sum_{i=1}^{n_m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_u} r(i, j)$). [Hint: Two of the four options below are correct.]

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="checkbox"/> $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{(i,j):r(i,j)=1} ((\theta^{(j)})^T x^{(i)} - r(i, j))^2$	✓ 0.25	This incorrectly used $r(i, j)$ as the actual rating.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{(i,j):r(i,j)=1} (\sum_{k=1}^n (\theta^{(j)})_k x_k^{(i)} - y^{(i,j)})^2$	✓ 0.25	This correctly sums over all ratings and computes the predicted rating with the explicit sum $\sum_{k=1}^n \theta^{(j)}_k x_k^{(i)}$.
<input type="checkbox"/> $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{(i,j):r(i,j)=1} ((\theta^{(j)})^T x^{(i)} - y^{(i,j)})^2$	✗ 0.00	This is correct, as it sums over all ratings the square difference between the predicted ratings $(\theta^{(j)})^T x^{(i)}$ and the actual rating $y^{(i,j)}$.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_u} \sum_{i:r(i,j)=1} ((\theta^{(j)})_i x_j^{(i)} - y^{(i,j)})^2$	✗ 0.00	This incorrectly indexes into $\theta^{(j)}$ and $x^{(i)}$.
Total	0.50 / 1.00	

Question 2

In which of the following situations will a collaborative filtering system be the most appropriate learning algorithm (compared to linear or logistic regression)?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> You run an online bookstore and collect the ratings of many users. You want to use this to identify what books are "similar" to each other (i.e., if one user likes a certain book, what are other books that she might also like?)	 0.25	You can find "similar" books by learning feature values using collaborative filtering.
<input type="checkbox"/> You've written a piece of software that has downloaded news articles from many news websites. In your system, you also keep track of which articles you personally like vs. dislike, and the system also stores away features of these articles (e.g., word counts, name of author). Using this information, you want to build a system to try to find additional new articles that you personally will like.	 0.25	This system uses predetermined features and has only one user, so it is not a good application of collaborative filtering.
<input type="checkbox"/> You're an artist and hand-paint portraits for your clients. Each client gets a different portrait (of themselves) and gives you 1-5 star rating feedback, and each client purchases at most 1 portrait. You'd like to predict what rating your next customer will give you.	 0.25	Since there is no overlap in the items reviewed by different clients, you cannot get good results using collaborative filtering.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> You manage an online	 0.25	Collaborative filtering makes sense here, as you

bookstore and you have the book ratings from many users. For each user, you want to recommend other books she will enjoy, based on her own ratings and the ratings of other users.

can use the ratings of all users to both learn features for books and recommend other books to each user.

Total	1.00 /
	1.00

Question 3

Suppose you have two matrices A and B , where A is 5×3 and B is 3×5 . Their product is $C = AB$, a 5×5 matrix. Furthermore, you have a 5×5 matrix R where every entry is 0 or 1. You want to find the sum of all elements $C(i, j)$ for which the corresponding $R(i, j)$ is 1, and ignore all elements $C(i, j)$ where $R(i, j) = 0$. One way to do so is the following code:

```
C = A * B;
total = 0;
for i = 1:5
    for j = 1:5
        if (R(i,j) == 1)
            total = total + C(i,j);
        end
    end
end
```

Which of the following pieces of Octave code will also correctly compute this total? Check all that apply.

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
-------------	-------	-------------

<input type="checkbox"/>	✓ 0.25	Multiplying $(A * B) * R$ will perform regular matrix multiplication and won't "mask out" entries.
$C = (A * B) * R$; $total = \text{sum}(C(:));$		

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	✓ 0.25	This sums up all elements of $(A * B) .* R$, where the $.*$ operator performs element-wise multiplication, setting the elements of $A * B$ to zero that correspond to zero entries in R .
$total = \text{sum}(\text{sum}((A * B) .* R));$		

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	✓ 0.25	
-------------------------------------	--------	--

```
C = A * B; total = sum(sum(C(R == 1)));
```

This sums up all the elements in `C(R == 1)`, where the "logical indexing" expression selects only elements of C whose index matches an index in R for 1 elements.



0.25

You cannot use R to perform logical indexing into A and B, since R does not have the same dimension as those two matrices.

```
total = sum(sum(A(R == 1) * B(R == 1)));
```

Total

1.00 /
1.00

Question 4

You run a movie empire, and want to build a movie recommendation system based on collaborative filtering. There were three popular review websites (which we'll call A, B and C) which users go to rate movies, and you have just acquired all three companies that run these websites. You'd like to merge the three companies' datasets together to build a single/unified system. On website A, users rank a movie as having 1 through 5 stars. On website B, users rank on a scale of 1 - 10, and decimal values (e.g., 7.5) are allowed. On website C, the ratings are from 1 to 100. You also have enough information to identify users/movies on one website with users/movies on a different website. Which of the following statements is true?

Your Answer

Score Explanation

☐ You can combine all three training sets into one without any modification and expect high performance from a recommendation system.

☒ You can merge the three datasets into one, but you should first normalize each dataset's ratings (say rescale each dataset's ratings to a 0-1 range).



1.00

By normalizing each dataset, you ensure that all ratings are on the same scale, so they are comparable during training.

☐ Assuming that there is at least one movie/user in one database that doesn't also appear in a second database, there is no sound way to merge the

datasets, because of the missing data.

☐ You can combine all three training sets into one as long as your perform mean normalization and feature scaling **after** you merge the data.

Total	1.00 /
	1.00

Question 5

Which of the following are true of collaborative filtering systems? Check all that apply.

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If you have a dataset of user ratings on some products, you can use these to predict one user's preferences on products he has not rated.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0.25	This is exactly the job of the collaborative filtering algorithm.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For collaborative filtering, it is possible to use one of the advanced optimization algorithms (L-BFGS/conjugate gradient/etc.) to solve for both the $x^{(i)}$'s and $\theta^{(j)}$'s simultaneously.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0.25	You can compute the cost function and gradient, so any of these algorithms will work fine.
<input type="checkbox"/> Suppose you are writing a recommender system to predict a user's book preferences. In order to build such a system, you need that user to rate all the other books in your training set.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0.25	Collaborative filtering can still work with missing data, such as a user who has not rated every book.
<input type="checkbox"/> For collaborative filtering, the optimization algorithm you should use is gradient descent. In particular, you cannot use more advanced optimization algorithms (L-BFGS/conjugate gradient/etc.) for collaborative filtering, since	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0.25	You can compute the cost function and gradient, so any of the advanced optimization algorithms will also work.

you have to solve for both the $x^{(i)}$'s and $\theta^{(j)}$'s simultaneously.

Total	1.00 /
	1.00