
**CS430 Lecture 26 Activities**

All-Pairs Shortest Paths Problem

* Given a directed graph *G* = *(V, E)*, weight function *w* : *E* → R, |*V*| = *n*.
* Goal: create an *n* × *n* matrix of shortest-path distances from every vertex to every other vertex *δ(u, v)*.
* Could run BELLMAN-FORD once from each vertex:
  + *O(V*2*E)* which is *O(V*4*)* if the graph is *dense* (*E* =~*V*2).
* If no negative-weight edges, could run Dijkstra’s algorithm once from each vertex:
  + *O(V E* lg *V)* with binary heap—*O(V* 3 lg *V)* if dense
* We’ll see how to do in *O(V*3*)* in all cases with dynamic programming (we have already shown the shortest path problem has optimal substructure).

The formal problem statement:

* Assume that *G* is given as adjacency matrix of weights: *W* = *(wi j )*, with vertices numbered 1 to *n*.  
  
* Output is the shortest path matrix *D* = *(di j )*, where *di j* = *δ(i, j )*.

Dynamic Programming Steps

1. Define structure of optimal solution, including what are the largest sub-problems.
2. Recursively define optimal solution
3. Compute solution using table bottom up
4. Construct Optimal solution

To help us develop the first dynamic programming approach we can restate the All-Pairs Shortest Paths problem as follows.

Find the shortest path from every vertex to every other vertex considering at most paths of |V|-1 edges (longest simple path for |V| vertices).

1. Define structure of optimal solution.

2. Recursively define optimal solution

Slow All-Pairs Shortest Paths Algorithm

*Compute a solution bottom-up:* Compute *L(*1*)* = *W*, *then L(*2*) from L(*1*) , etc. . . , L(n-*1*)*

EXTEND*(L,W, n)*

create *L’* an *n* ×*n* matrix

for *i* ← 1 to *n*

for *j* ← 1 to *n*

*l’i j* ←∞

for *k* ← 1 to *n*

*li j* ← min*(l’i j , lik* + *wkj )*

return *L’*

SLOW-APSP*(W, n)*

*L(*1*)* ← *W*

for *m* ← 2 to *n -*1

*L(m)* ←EXTEND*(L(m-*1*),W, n)*

return *L(n-*1*)*

3. What is the runtime of EXTEND and SLOW-APSP?

Improving on SLOW-APSP

Note the code to multiply two nxn matrixes (A \* B) together to get C, an nxn matrix

for *i* ← 1 to *n*

for *j* ← 1 to *n*

*ci j* ← 0

for *k* ← 1 to *n*

*ci j* ← *ci j* + *aik \** *bkj*

4. How does this matrix multiply code compare to the EXTEND code? Why do we care?

Faster All-Pairs Shortest Paths Algorithm

*Compute a solution bottom-up:* Compute *L(*1*)* = *W*, *then L(*2*) from L(*1*) , then L(*4*) from L(*4*) etc. . . , L(n-*1*)*

FASTER-APSP*(W, n)*

*L(*1*)* ← *W*

*m* ← 1

while *m < n-*1

*L(*2*m)* ←EXTEND*(L(m), L(m), n)*

*m* ← 2*m*

return *L(m)*

5. What is the runtime of FASTER-APSP?

Floyd-Warshall Algorithm

To help us develop another dynamic programming approach we can restate the All-Pairs Shortest Paths problem as follows:

Find the shortest path from every vertex to every other vertex considering at most all other vertices intermediate on the paths.

6. Define structure of optimal solution.

7. Recursively define optimal solution and write pseudocode.

8. What is the run time of Floyd-Warshall?

9. Demonstrate Floyd-Warshall.

****