5.1 Solution

Antonio Moretti

April 2017

1. Consider the Multinomial PDF:

$$f(x, m, k) = \frac{m!}{x_1! \cdots x_n!} \mu_1^{x_1} \cdots \mu_n^{x_n}$$
 (1)

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{i} x_i + 1)}{\prod_{i} \Gamma(x_i + 1)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i^{x_i}$$

$$\tag{2}$$

Let $\mu_j^{x_n} = \prod_{l=1}^M \mu_j(l)^{x_n(l)}$. The E-step is written as follows.

$$\tau_{n,j} = p(z_n = j | x_n, \theta) \tag{3}$$

$$\tau_{n,j} = p(z_n = j | x_n, \theta)$$

$$= \frac{\pi_j p(x_n | \mu_j)}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^K \pi_i p(x_n | \mu_i)}$$

$$(4)$$

$$= \frac{\pi_j \mu_j^{x_n}}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^K \pi_i \mu_i^{x_n}}$$
 (5)

In the M-step we seek to maximize the parameters holding $\tau_{n,j}$ fixed:

$$\theta = \arg\max_{\theta} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \tau_{n,j} \times log\left(\frac{p(x_n, z = j | \theta)}{\tau_{n,j}}\right)$$
 (6)

Note that $p(x_n, z = j | \theta) = p(x_n | z = j, \theta) p(z_n = j | \theta) = \pi_j \mu_j^{x_n}$ by the probability chain rule.

$$\underset{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_k}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \tau_{n,j} \left(log(\mu_j^{x_n}) + log(\pi_j) \right)$$
 (7)

subject to
$$\sum_{l=1}^{M} \mu_j(l) = 1 \qquad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, K\}$$
 (8)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{K} \pi_i = 1 \tag{9}$$

Form the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu, \pi, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \tau_{n,j} \left(log(\mu_j^{x_n}) + log(\pi_j) \right) - \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_j - 1 \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{K} \beta_j \left(\sum_{l=1}^{M} \mu_j(l) - 1 \right)$$
(10)

Differentiate with respect to the parameters of interest starting with π_j :

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \pi_j} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\tau_{n,j}}{\pi_j} - \alpha = 0 \tag{11}$$

$$\pi_j = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \tau_{n,j}}{\alpha} \tag{12}$$

Plug into the primal constraint:

$$= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \tau_{n,j}}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \tau_{n,i}}$$
(13)

Not surprisingly we find the MLE of the mixing components $\hat{\pi}_j$ is the sample average of $\tau_{n,j}$

$$\pi_j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \tau_{n,j} \tag{14}$$

Repeating this process for $\mu_i(l)$:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mu_j(l)} = \sum_{n=1}^N \tau_{n,j} x_n(l) \frac{1}{\mu_j(l)} - \beta_j = 0 \tag{15}$$

$$\mu_j(l) = \frac{1}{\beta_j} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \tau_{n,j} x_n(l)$$
 (16)

Plug into the constraint on μ :

$$\mu_j(l) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\tau_{n,j}}{\sum_{n'=1}^{N} \tau_{n',j}} x_n(l)$$
(17)

2. The marginal distribution of $x_n(\Delta)$ for each word Δ is Binomial. By the Poisson limit theorem as $l_n \to \infty$ and $x_n/l_n \to 0$:

$$\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \approx e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}$$
 (18)

3. PCA assumes that the data is generated by a multivariate Gaussian distribution parametrized by its first two moments μ and Σ . The spectral decomposition gives a projection or linear transformation Λ that maximizes the variance of a linear combination of the original variables.

$$\mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{\Lambda} \times \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{\Lambda}^T \tag{19}$$

Rather than seeking vectors that are linear combinatons of the original variables, we are asked to find variables that can best approximate the document vectors: $\hat{x}_n = \sum_{\delta=1}^d u_n(\delta)v_{\delta}$ where both $u_n(\delta)$ and v_{δ} are hidden. This bears more similarity to factor analysis than principal component analysis. Also note that for the Poisson distribution whose first and second centered moment are λ , a projection that maximizes the variance of a linear combination of variables cannot be obtained via a spectral decomposition of the covariance matrix and the EM algorithm would also be needed.

4. We are given that the marginal distribution $\hat{x}_n(\delta) \sim Bin(l_n, \frac{\hat{x}_n}{l_n})$ which we shall approximate with a Poisson distribution.

$$\hat{x}_n = \sum_{\delta=1}^d u_n(\delta) v_\delta \tag{20}$$

Denote the Poisson parameter $\lambda = \sum_{\delta=1}^{d} u_n(\delta) v_{\delta}$

$$P(x_n(\delta)|u_n, v) = \frac{\lambda^{x_n(\delta)} e^{-\lambda}}{x_n(\delta)!}$$
(21)

Writing the expected complete log likelihood and taking sample averages as maximizers of the sufficient statistics yields the following.

E step:

$$\tau_{n,i} = \frac{\pi_i \sum_{\delta=1}^d \left(\frac{u_n(\delta)v_\delta}{l_n}\right)}{\sum_j \pi_j \sum_{\delta=1}^d \frac{u_n(\delta)v_\delta}{l_n}}$$
(22)

M step:

$$\pi_i = \frac{\sum\limits_{n=1}^{N} \tau_{n,i}}{N} \tag{23}$$

$$\pi_{i} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \tau_{n,i}}{N}$$

$$u_{n}(\delta) = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} v_{\delta} \tau_{n,i}}{\sum_{\delta'=1}^{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} v_{\delta'} \tau_{n,i}}$$
(23)