Course evaluation report

THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG   Mon Dec 29 15:37:46 2008   IEG5130   Semester 1, 2008-2009

Department: Information Engineering
Course: IEG5130 Advanced Topics in Information Engineering II(All programmes/top-90)
Lecturer(s): Prof. Nair M. Chandra
Class size: 6
Response: 100.0%
Language: 6 (100.0%) – English   0 (0.0%) – English with Chinese   0 (0.0%) – Chinese   0 (0.0%) – Chinese with English

Question	Sample size	1	2	3	4	5	6	Ave.	S.D.	25%	75%
A. On Teacher											
1. The lectures are clear and easy to follow.	6	0			3	2	1	4.67	0.75	4.00	5.25
2. The lectures are well-organized and well-prepared.	6	0			2	1	3	5.17	0.90	4.00	6.00
3. The lectures are given at appropriate level.	6	0			1	2	3	5.33	0.75	4.75	6.00
4. The pace of the teaching is appropriate.	6	0				5	1	5.17	0.37	5.00	5.25
Disagree? 1) Too fast or 2) Too slow?	0							0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
5. The teacher is enthusiastic about teaching and is inspirational.	6	0					6	6.00	0.00	6.00	6.00
6. The teacher encourages participation from students.	6	0			1		5	5.67	0.75	5.50	6.00
7. The teacher is sensitive to student's feelings and problems.	6	0				1	5	5.83	0.37	5.75	6.00
8. I find the class intellectually challenging and stimulating.	6	0				3	3	5.50	0.50	5.00	6.00
9. Overall, I am satisfied with the performance of the teacher.	6	0				3	3	5.50	0.50	5.00	6.00
	100.00%							5.43			
B. On Course											
1. The level of the course is appropriate.	6	0				5	1	5.17	0.37	5.00	5.25
Disagree? 1) Too advanced or 2) Too elementary?	0							0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
2. The followings are valuable: 1. Textbook, or	6	0			1	3	2	5.17	0.69	4.75	6.00
2. Course materials distributed.	6	0				4	2	5.33	0.47	5.00	6.00
3. The levels of the assignments/projects are appropriate.	6	0				2	4	5.67	0.47	5.00	6.00
4. Subject workload is appropriate.	5	0				3	2	5.40	0.49	5.00	5.75
Disagree? 1) Too heavy or 2) Too light?	0							0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
5. The test/mid-term examination are relevant to the course.	6	0			1	2	3	5.33	0.75	4.75	6.00
6. Level of the tests/mid-term examination is appropriate.	6	0			2	1	3	5.17	0.90	4.00	6.00
Disagree? 1) Too hard or 2) Too easy?	0							0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
7. The grading of assignments/project is fair.	6	0				2	4	5.67	0.47	5.00	6.00
8. The grading of tests/mid-term examination is fair.	6	0				2	4	5.67	0.47	5.00	6.00
9. I have learned and understood the subject materials.	6	0				4	2	5.33	0.47	5.00	6.00
10. My interest in the subject has increased.	6	0				3	3	5.50	0.50	5.00	6.00
11. Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	6	0				4	2	5.33	0.47	5.00	6.00
	98.48%							5.40			

		D.ave	D.sd	D.md
Overall	5.50	4.78	0.56	4.83
Category 1:	5.33	4.56	0.59	4.56
Category 2:	5.33	4.63	0.61	4.74
Category 3:				

Category. 1 - overall satisfaction with the teacher (Sec. A Q. 9)
Category. 2 - content and usefulness of the course (Sec. B Q. 1, 9, 10)
Category. 3 - overall satifcation with the course (Sec. B Q. 11)

Notes to table header:
1 - Strongly disagree, 2 - Disagree, 3 - Slightly disagree, 4 - Slightly agree, 5 - Agree, 6 - Strongly agree
Sample size = Number of valid questions / Class size
Ave. - Average, S.D. - Standard Deviation, 25% - First quartile, 75% - Second quartile
D.ave. - Departmental average, D.sd - Departmental standard deviation, D.md - Departmental median