

Course and Teaching Evaluation Report

p.1

THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG Mon Feb 1 12:36:45 2010 IEG2051A Semester 1, 2009–2010

Department: Information Engineering
Course: IEG2051A Signals and Systems
Instructor: Prof. Nair Chandra
Level: Undergraduate
Class size: 57
Response: 25%
Type: Top-90

Question	1	2	3	4	5	6	Mean	SD	Q1	Q3
Clarity of Explanation										
1. The teacher presented in a clear manner.	0	0	2	7	2	1	4.2	0.8	4.0	4.0
2. The teacher used relevant examples to assist my learning.	0	2	0	6	4	1	4.2	1.1	4.0	5.0
Enthusiasm and Communication										
3. The teacher was enthusiastic about teaching.	0	0	0	2	9	2	5.0	0.6	5.0	5.0
4. The teacher encouraged active participation in class.	0	1	0	5	5	2	4.5	1.0	4.0	5.0
5. There was effective communication between the teacher and students.	1	0	1	8	3	0	3.9	1.0	4.0	4.0
Motivation										
6. The course was interesting.	0	1	0	5	4	2	4.5	1.0	4.0	5.0
7. The course was stimulating.	0	0	1	4	5	2	4.7	0.8	4.0	5.0
8. The course enhanced my knowledge in this subject.	1	0	0	4	5	2	4.5	1.3	4.0	5.0
Learning Outcomes and Organization										
9. The course was well-organized.	0	1	1	4	5	1	4.3	1.0	4.0	5.0
10. Learning outcomes of the course were clear.	0	0	2	5	5	0	4.3	0.7	4.0	5.0
Assessment										
11. Assessment methods were appropriate.	0	0	4	3	4	1	4.2	1.0	3.0	5.0
12. The amount of workload required was appropriate.	0	0	0	6	6	0	4.5	0.5	4.0	5.0
13. I found the amount of work required for assessment: Too much/Too little	1	0					1.0	9.6	1.0	1.0
Course Difficulty										
14. Recommended readings were useful.	0	0	1	5	4	2	4.6	0.9	4.0	5.0
15. Course content was of appropriate difficulty.	1	2	1	6	1	1	3.6	1.3	2.5	4.0
16. I found the course content: Too difficult/Too simple	4	0					1.0	5.7	1.0	1.0
Learning Support										
17. The course was well-supported by library resources.	0	0	5	6	1	0	3.7	0.6	3.0	4.0
18. The course was well-supported by IT resources.	0	1	3	6	1	1	3.8	1.0	3.0	4.0
Overall Opinion										
19. Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	0	0	2	4	5	1	4.4	0.9	4.0	5.0
20. Overall, I am satisfied with the teacher's performance.	0	0	2	5	4	1	4.3	0.8	4.0	5.0
Teacher's score:							4.3			
<i>Department average:</i>							<i>4.7</i>			

Notes to table header:

1 - Strongly disagree, 2 - Disagree, 3 - Slightly disagree, 4 - Slightly agree, 5 - Agree, 6 - Strongly agree

Mean - Mean, S.D. - Standard Deviation, Q1 - First quartile, Q3 - Third quartile