

Course and Teaching Evaluation Report

p.1

THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG

Jan 30 2012 17:05:40 +0800

ENGG2030

Semester 1, 2011–2012

Department: Information Engineering
Course: ENGG2030 Signals and Systems
Instructor: Prof. Nair Chandra
Level: Undergraduate
Class size: 52
Response: 52%
Report type: Lecture
Statistic type: Top-90

Question	1	2	3	4	5	6	Mean	SD	Q1	Q3
Clarity of Explanation										
1. The teacher presented in a clear manner.	0	0	5	9	5	6	4.5	1.1	4.0	5.0
2. The teacher used relevant examples to assist my learning.	0	0	5	6	6	8	4.7	1.1	4.0	6.0
Enthusiasm and Communication										
3. The teacher was enthusiastic about teaching.	0	0	0	1	6	18	5.7	0.5	5.0	6.0
4. The teacher encouraged active participation in class.	0	0	0	3	8	14	5.4	0.7	5.0	6.0
5. There was effective communication between the teacher and students.	0	0	2	6	5	12	5.1	1.0	4.0	6.0
Motivation										
6. The course was interesting.	0	0	4	8	6	7	4.6	1.1	4.0	5.0
7. The course was stimulating.	0	0	4	8	6	7	4.6	1.1	4.0	5.0
8. The course enhanced my knowledge in this subject.	0	0	4	7	5	9	4.8	1.1	4.0	6.0
Learning Outcomes and Organization										
9. The course was well-organized.	0	0	4	9	6	6	4.6	1.0	4.0	5.0
10. Learning outcomes of the course were clear.	0	0	4	7	7	7	4.7	1.0	4.0	5.0
Assessment										
11. Assessment methods were appropriate.	0	1	3	6	8	7	4.7	1.1	4.0	5.0
12. The amount of workload required was appropriate.	0	0	4	6	8	7	4.7	1.0	4.0	5.0
. I found the amount of work required for assessment: Too much/Too little	6	1					1.1	5.4	1.0	1.0
Course Difficulty										
13. Recommended readings were useful.	0	0	3	2	10	10	5.1	1.0	5.0	6.0
14. Course content was of appropriate difficulty.	1	1	4	7	5	7	4.4	1.4	3.5	5.0
. I found the course content: Too difficult/Too simple	9	0					1.0	5.2	1.0	1.0
Learning Support										
15. The course was well-supported by library resources.	0	0	4	10	4	5	4.4	1.0	4.0	5.0
16. The course was well-supported by IT resources.	0	0	3	11	4	5	4.5	1.0	4.0	5.0
Overall Opinion										
17. Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	0	0	3	12	4	6	4.5	1.0	4.0	5.0
18. Overall, I am satisfied with the teacher's performance.	0	0	2	6	9	8	4.9	0.9	4.0	6.0

Teacher's score:**4.9**

Departmental average (median, standard deviation):

4.9 (4.9 0.4)

Course score:**4.5**

Departmental average (median, standard deviation):

4.7 (4.7 0.4)

Remarks:*Notes:**Teacher's score is based on Question 18.**Course score is based on Question 17.**Table headers:**1 - Strongly disagree, 2 - Disagree, 3 - Slightly disagree, 4 - Slightly agree, 5 - Agree, 6 - Strongly agree**Mean - Mean, SD - Standard Deviation, Q1 - First quartile, Q3 - Third quartile*