

Course and Teaching Evaluation Report

p.1

THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG Tue Dec 7 2010 15:30:31 +0800 IERG2051A Semester 1, 2010–2011

Department: Information Engineering
Course: IERG2051A Signals and Systems
Instructor: Prof. Nair Chandra
Level: Undergraduate
Class size: 35
Response: 60%
Report type: Lecture
Statistic type: Top-90

Question	1	2	3	4	5	6	Mean	SD	Q1	Q3
Clarity of Explanation										
1. The teacher presented in a clear manner.	0	4	6	6	0	2	3.4	1.2	3.0	4.0
2. The teacher used relevant examples to assist my learning.	0	0	5	6	5	2	4.2	1.0	3.0	5.0
Enthusiasm and Communication										
3. The teacher was enthusiastic about teaching.	0	0	0	2	6	11	5.5	0.7	5.0	6.0
4. The teacher encouraged active participation in class.	0	0	1	3	7	8	5.2	0.9	5.0	6.0
5. There was effective communication between the teacher and students.	0	0	8	6	2	3	4.0	1.1	3.0	4.0
Motivation										
6. The course was interesting.	0	1	4	8	3	3	4.2	1.1	3.0	5.0
7. The course was stimulating.	0	0	3	4	9	3	4.6	0.9	4.0	5.0
8. The course enhanced my knowledge in this subject.	0	2	0	4	10	3	4.6	1.1	4.0	5.0
Learning Outcomes and Organization										
9. The course was well-organized.	0	0	1	7	7	4	4.7	0.8	4.0	5.0
10. Learning outcomes of the course were clear.	0	0	3	6	6	4	4.6	1.0	4.0	5.0
Assessment										
11. Assessment methods were appropriate.	0	2	1	8	4	4	4.4	1.2	4.0	5.0
12. The amount of workload required was appropriate.	0	0	2	5	7	5	4.8	1.0	4.0	5.0
13. I found the amount of work required for assessment: Too much/Too little	3	0					1.0	7.0	1.0	1.0
Course Difficulty										
14. Recommended readings were useful.	1	1	4	4	3	5	4.2	1.5	3.0	6.0
15. Course content was of appropriate difficulty.	1	3	2	10	1	2	3.7	1.3	3.0	4.0
16. I found the course content: Too difficult/Too simple	8	0					1.0	5.1	1.0	1.0
Learning Support										
17. The course was well-supported by library resources.	0	1	6	5	4	2	4.0	1.1	3.0	5.0
18. The course was well-supported by IT resources.	0	1	4	7	4	2	4.1	1.0	3.0	5.0
Overall Opinion										
19. Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	0	0	3	5	9	2	4.5	0.9	4.0	5.0
20. Overall, I am satisfied with the teacher's performance.	0	0	4	5	7	3	4.5	1.0	4.0	5.0

Teacher's score:	4.5
<i>Overall score:</i>	<i>4.9</i>
Course score:	4.5
<i>Overall score:</i>	<i>4.8</i>

Notes to table header:

1 - Strongly disagree, 2 - Disagree, 3 - Slightly disagree, 4 - Slightly agree, 5 - Agree, 6 - Strongly agree

Mean - Mean, S.D. - Standard Deviation, Q1 - First quartile, Q3 - Third quartile