

Course and Teaching Evaluation Report

p.1

THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG

Feb 1 2012 09:13:56 +0800

IERG6120

Semester 1, 2011–2012

Department: Information Engineering
Course: IERG6120 Advanced Topics in Information Engg. I
Instructor: Prof. Nair Chandra
Level: Postgraduate
Class size: 12
Response: 92%
Report type: Lecture
Statistic type: Top-90

Question	1	2	3	4	5	6	Mean	SD	Q1	Q3
Clarity of Explanation										
1. The teacher presented in a clear manner.	0	0	0	1	2	7	5.6	0.7	5.0	6.0
2. The teacher used relevant examples to assist my learning.	0	0	0	2	2	6	5.4	0.8	5.0	6.0
Enthusiasm and Communication										
3. The teacher was enthusiastic about teaching.	0	0	0	0	1	9	5.9	0.3	6.0	6.0
4. The teacher encouraged active participation in class.	0	0	0	0	3	7	5.7	0.5	5.0	6.0
5. There was effective communication between the teacher and students.	0	0	0	0	1	9	5.9	0.3	6.0	6.0
Motivation										
6. The course was interesting.	0	0	0	0	3	7	5.7	0.5	5.0	6.0
7. The course was stimulating.	0	0	0	0	3	7	5.7	0.5	5.0	6.0
8. The course enhanced my knowledge in this subject.	0	0	0	0	2	8	5.8	0.4	6.0	6.0
Learning Outcomes and Organization										
9. The course was well-organized.	0	0	0	0	4	6	5.6	0.5	5.0	6.0
10. Learning outcomes of the course were clear.	0	0	0	0	4	6	5.6	0.5	5.0	6.0
Assessment										
11. Assessment methods were appropriate.	0	0	0	0	2	8	5.8	0.4	6.0	6.0
12. The amount of workload required was appropriate.	0	0	2	3	1	4	4.7	1.2	4.0	6.0
. I found the amount of work required for assessment: Too much/Too little	2	3					1.6	4.5	1.0	2.0
Course Difficulty										
13. Recommended readings were useful.	0	0	0	0	1	9	5.9	0.3	6.0	6.0
14. Course content was of appropriate difficulty.	0	0	1	2	2	5	5.1	1.0	4.0	6.0
. I found the course content: Too difficult/Too simple	2	2					1.5	4.9	1.0	2.0
Learning Support										
15. The course was well-supported by library resources.	0	1	3	1	1	4	4.4	1.5	3.0	6.0
16. The course was well-supported by IT resources.	0	0	3	2	1	4	4.6	1.3	3.0	6.0
Overall Opinion										
17. Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	0	0	0	0	4	6	5.6	0.5	5.0	6.0
18. Overall, I am satisfied with the teacher's performance.	0	0	0	0	3	7	5.7	0.5	5.0	6.0

Teacher's score:**5.7**

Departmental average (median, standard deviation):

5.7 (5.7 0.1)

Course score:**5.6**

Departmental average (median, standard deviation):

5.6 (5.6 0.0)

Remarks:*Notes:**Teacher's score is based on Question 18.**Course score is based on Question 17.**Table headers:**1 - Strongly disagree, 2 - Disagree, 3 - Slightly disagree, 4 - Slightly agree, 5 - Agree, 6 - Strongly agree**Mean - Mean, SD - Standard Deviation, Q1 - First quartile, Q3 - Third quartile*