

Course and Teaching Evaluation Report

p.1

THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG

Jan 30 2012 17:05:40 +0800

ENGG2011A

Semester 1, 2011–2012

Department: Information Engineering
Course: ENGG2011A Advanced Engineering Maths (Syll. A)
Instructor: Prof. Nair Chandra
Level: Undergraduate
Class size: 97
Response: 34%
Report type: Lecture
Statistic type: Top-90

Question	1	2	3	4	5	6	Mean	SD	Q1	Q3
Clarity of Explanation										
1. The teacher presented in a clear manner.	0	5	10	10	5	0	3.5	1.0	3.0	4.0
2. The teacher used relevant examples to assist my learning.	0	6	8	11	4	1	3.5	1.1	3.0	4.0
Enthusiasm and Communication										
3. The teacher was enthusiastic about teaching.	0	0	2	8	12	8	4.9	0.9	4.0	6.0
4. The teacher encouraged active participation in class.	0	0	7	7	10	6	4.5	1.1	4.0	5.0
5. There was effective communication between the teacher and students.	0	3	8	14	4	1	3.7	0.9	3.0	4.0
Motivation										
6. The course was interesting.	0	2	8	12	5	3	4.0	1.0	3.0	5.0
7. The course was stimulating.	0	0	8	12	6	4	4.2	1.0	3.0	5.0
8. The course enhanced my knowledge in this subject.	0	0	5	10	6	7	4.5	1.1	4.0	5.0
Learning Outcomes and Organization										
9. The course was well-organized.	0	6	5	14	3	2	3.7	1.1	3.0	4.0
10. Learning outcomes of the course were clear.	0	1	4	15	6	3	4.2	0.9	4.0	5.0
Assessment										
11. Assessment methods were appropriate.	0	0	5	12	10	3	4.4	0.9	4.0	5.0
12. The amount of workload required was appropriate.	0	0	5	16	7	2	4.2	0.8	4.0	5.0
. I found the amount of work required for assessment: Too much/Too little	5	2					1.3	5.4	1.0	1.0
Course Difficulty										
13. Recommended readings were useful.	0	0	8	12	7	2	4.1	0.9	3.0	5.0
14. Course content was of appropriate difficulty.	1	3	7	16	2	1	3.6	1.0	3.0	4.0
. I found the course content: Too difficult/Too simple	14	0					1.0	4.8	1.0	1.0
Learning Support										
15. The course was well-supported by library resources.	0	0	7	18	3	1	3.9	0.7	3.5	4.0
16. The course was well-supported by IT resources.	0	0	8	16	3	1	3.9	0.7	3.0	4.0
Overall Opinion										
17. Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	0	3	4	14	7	2	4.0	1.0	4.0	5.0
18. Overall, I am satisfied with the teacher's performance.	0	2	4	13	7	4	4.2	1.1	4.0	5.0

Teacher's score: **4.2**
 Departmental average (median, standard deviation): 4.9 (4.9 0.4)
Course score: **4.0**
 Departmental average (median, standard deviation): 4.7 (4.7 0.4)

Remarks:

Notes:

Teacher's score is based on Question 18.

Course score is based on Question 17.

Table headers:

1 - Strongly disagree, 2 - Disagree, 3 - Slightly disagree, 4 - Slightly agree, 5 - Agree, 6 - Strongly agree

Mean - Mean, SD - Standard Deviation, Q1 - First quartile, Q3 - Third quartile