

Course and Teaching Evaluation Report

p.1

THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG Tue Dec 7 2010 15:30:31 +0800 IERG2051B Semester 1, 2010–2011

Department: Information Engineering
Course: IERG2051B Signals and Systems
Instructor: Prof. Nair Chandra
Level: Undergraduate
Class size: 81
Response: 47%
Report type: Lecture
Statistic type: Top-90

Question	1	2	3	4	5	6	Mean	SD	Q1	Q3
Clarity of Explanation										
1. The teacher presented in a clear manner.	0	5	14	12	3	1	3.5	0.9	3.0	4.0
2. The teacher used relevant examples to assist my learning.	0	8	9	10	7	1	3.5	1.1	3.0	4.0
Enthusiasm and Communication										
3. The teacher was enthusiastic about teaching.	0	0	0	7	10	18	5.3	0.8	5.0	6.0
4. The teacher encouraged active participation in class.	0	0	1	9	11	14	5.1	0.9	4.0	6.0
5. There was effective communication between the teacher and students.	0	5	12	13	4	1	3.5	1.0	3.0	4.0
Motivation										
6. The course was interesting.	2	10	11	10	2	0	3.0	1.0	2.0	4.0
7. The course was stimulating.	1	7	8	13	5	0	3.4	1.1	3.0	4.0
8. The course enhanced my knowledge in this subject.	0	7	8	12	6	1	3.6	1.1	3.0	4.0
Learning Outcomes and Organization										
9. The course was well-organized.	0	4	11	14	5	1	3.7	1.0	3.0	4.0
10. Learning outcomes of the course were clear.	0	6	10	12	6	1	3.6	1.0	3.0	4.0
Assessment										
11. Assessment methods were appropriate.	2	2	5	15	7	3	3.9	1.2	3.0	5.0
12. The amount of workload required was appropriate.	0	0	4	18	12	1	4.3	0.7	4.0	5.0
13. I found the amount of work required for assessment: Too much/Too little	6	0					1.0	6.4	1.0	1.0
Course Difficulty										
14. Recommended readings were useful.	0	6	8	12	4	4	3.8	1.2	3.0	4.0
15. Course content was of appropriate difficulty.	7	9	9	6	3	0	2.7	1.2	2.0	4.0
16. I found the course content: Too difficult/Too simple	25	0					1.0	4.3	1.0	1.0
Learning Support										
17. The course was well-supported by library resources.	0	5	10	14	4	1	3.6	1.0	3.0	4.0
18. The course was well-supported by IT resources.	0	6	10	11	7	1	3.6	1.1	3.0	4.0
Overall Opinion										
19. Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	0	6	8	13	7	0	3.6	1.0	3.0	4.0
20. Overall, I am satisfied with the teacher's performance.	0	4	8	8	14	1	4.0	1.1	3.0	5.0
Teacher's score:							4.0			
<i>Overall score:</i>							<i>4.9</i>			
Course score:							3.6			
<i>Overall score:</i>							<i>4.8</i>			

Notes to table header:

1 - Strongly disagree, 2 - Disagree, 3 - Slightly disagree, 4 - Slightly agree, 5 - Agree, 6 - Strongly agree

Mean - Mean, S.D. - Standard Deviation, Q1 - First quartile, Q3 - Third quartile