### Representation of Quivers

Chanelle Lee Student ID: 200646370 Supervisor: William Crawley-Boevey

December 4, 2014

## Contents

1	Introduction	9
2	Homological Algebra 2.1 Chain Complexes	4
3	Representation of Quivers 3.1 Quivers and Path Algebras	9

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### Chapter 2

### Homological Algebra

#### 2.1 Chain Complexes

**Definition 2.1.1.** A chain complex  $C_{\bullet}$  consists of a sequence of  $\mathbb{R}$ -modules  $C_i$   $(i \in \mathbb{Z})$  and morphisms of the form,

$$\mathbf{C}: \qquad \dots \xrightarrow{\delta_3} C_2 \xrightarrow{\delta_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0} C_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_{-1}} C_{-2} \xrightarrow{\delta_{-2}} \dots$$

such that  $\delta_{n-1}\delta_n=0$  for all n, i.e. the composition of any two consecutive maps is zero. The maps  $\delta_n$  are called the *differentials* of C.

**Remark 2.1.2.** It is convention that the map  $\delta_n$  starts at  $C_n$ .

**Example 2.1.3.** If we have a field K then we can create the following chain complex:

$$\mathbf{C}: \qquad \dots \to 0 \to K^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} K^3 \xrightarrow{(0 \ 0 \ 1)} K \to 0 \to \dots$$

We can clearly see that the maps uphold the  $\delta^2 = 0$  condition as,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 2.1.4. If we consider the sequence,

$$\dots \to 0 \to K \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} K^2 \xrightarrow{(1\ 0)} K \to 0 \to \dots$$

however,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1 \neq 0.$$

Hence,  $\delta^2 \neq 0$  and so the sequence is not a chain complex. However, if we change the second map slightly we obtain the chain complex,

$$\mathbf{C}: \qquad \dots \to 0 \to K \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} K^2 \xrightarrow{(0\ 1)} K \to 0 \to \dots$$

since,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 \neq 0.$$

**Definition 2.1.5.** If **C** is a chain complex then its *homology* is defined to be,

$$H_n(\mathbf{C}) = \frac{Ker(\delta_n : C_n \to C_{n-1})}{Im(\delta_{n+1} : C_{n+1} \to C_n)} = \frac{Z_n(\mathbf{C})}{B_n(\mathbf{C})}.$$

This becomes an  $\mathbb{R}$ -module and, since  $\delta^2$ , it follows that  $B_n(\mathbf{C}) \subseteq Z_n(\mathbf{C})$ .

Examples 2.1.6 and 2.1.9 are taken from [1] and are included here because they are felt to be the clearest at demonstrating a chain complex and homology, however, the more general statement of the second example is presented as Proposition 2.1.7 because it is an interesting result.

**Example 2.1.6.** If we take a module M then we can make a chain complex;

$$\mathbf{C}: \dots \to 0 \to M \to 0 \to \dots$$

where M is at degree n. Then the homology will be:

$$H_i(\mathbf{C}) = \begin{cases} \frac{Ker(M \to 0)}{Im(0 \to M)} = M & i = n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 2.1.7.** If we have a module homomorphism between R-modules,  $f: M \to N$ , the we get the chain complex,

$$\mathbf{C}: \qquad \dots \xrightarrow{deg} \xrightarrow{n+2} \xrightarrow{n+1} \xrightarrow{f} \underset{n}{N} \xrightarrow{0} \xrightarrow{n-1} \xrightarrow{} \dots,$$

and the homology becomes,

$$H_i(\mathbf{C}) = \begin{cases} \frac{N}{Im(f)} = Coker(f) & i = n\\ Ker(f) & i = n+1\\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Firstly, at degree n we have that,

$$H_n(\mathbf{C}) = \frac{Ker(N \to 0)}{Im(M \xrightarrow{f} N)} = \frac{N}{Im(f)} = Coker(f).$$

Then at degree n+1 we have that,

$$H_{n+1}(\mathbf{C}) = \frac{Ker(M \xrightarrow{f} N)}{Im(0 \to M)} = Ker(f).$$

Finally, it is clear that everywhere else there is no homology.

Notation 2.1.8. Here,

$$Coker(f) = \frac{\text{Codomain of } f}{\text{Image of } f},$$

is the cokernel of the map f.

**Example 2.1.9.** We can have a chain complex of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules,

C: 
$$\dots \to 0 \to \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{a} \mathbb{Z} \to 0 \to \dots$$

where the map a is right multiplication by some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The homology is,

$$H_i(\mathbf{C}) = \begin{cases} \frac{Ker(\mathbb{Z} \to 0)}{Im(\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{a} \mathbb{Z})} = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{a\mathbb{Z}} & i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that,

$$H_0(C) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{a\mathbb{Z}} = \frac{\text{Codomain of } f}{\text{Image of } f} = Coker(a).$$

Also,

$$H_1(C) = \frac{Ker(\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{a} \mathbb{Z})}{Im(0 \to \mathbb{Z})} = Ker(a) = 0,$$

because Ker(a) is empty.

**Definition 2.1.10.** • The elements of  $B_n(\mathbf{C})$  are called n-boundaries.

• The elements of  $Z_n(\mathbf{C})$  are called n-cycles.

**Remark 2.1.11.** If  $x \in Z_n(\mathbf{C})$  then its image in  $H_n(\mathbf{C})$  is usually written as [x].

**Definition 2.1.12.** A chain complex C is said to be:

- acyclic if  $H_n(\mathbf{C}) = 0$  for all n.
- bounded above if there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $C_k = 0$  for all k > n.
- bounded below if for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $C_k = 0$  for all k < n.
- bounded if it is bounded above and below.
- non-negative if  $C_n = 0$  for n < 0.

**Example 2.1.13.** All the chain complexes in the previous examples are bounded both above and below, however, neither is acyclic as they both have instances where the homology is non-zero. The chain complex in Example 2.1.9 is non-negative because  $C_n \neq 0$  only when n = 0, 1.

**Example 2.1.14.** If we take another look at the chain complex in Example 2.1.4,

$$\mathbf{C}: \qquad \dots \xrightarrow{\deg} 0 \to K \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} K_0^2 \xrightarrow{(0\ 1)} K \to 0 \to \dots$$

the homologies are,

$$H_{1}(\mathbf{C}) = \frac{Ker(K \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{c} 1\\0\end{array}\right)} K^{2})}{Im(0 \to K)} \cong \frac{K}{K} \cong 0,$$

$$H_{0}(\mathbf{C}) = \frac{Ker(K^{2} \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{c} 1&0\right)} K\right)}{Im(K \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{c} 1\\0\end{array}\right)} K^{2})} \cong \frac{K}{K} \cong 0,$$

$$H_{-1}(\mathbf{C}) = \frac{Ker(K \to 0)}{Im(K^{2} \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{c} 1&0\right)} K\right))} \cong \frac{K}{K} \cong 0.$$

Thus  $H_n(\mathbf{C}) = 0$  for all n and so  $\mathbf{C}$  is an acyclic chain complex. Later in the report, we will see that  $\mathbf{C}$  is in fact a short exact sequence.

Example 2.1.15. The chain complex,

$$\mathbf{C}: \qquad \dots \xrightarrow{3} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{9\mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{3} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{9\mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{3} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{9\mathbb{Z}} \to \dots$$

where the differentials are the maps,

$$\delta_n: \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{9\mathbb{Z}} \to \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{9\mathbb{Z}}, z + 9\mathbb{Z} \mapsto 3z + 9\mathbb{Z},$$

is unbounded. It is also acyclic, since the homology is,

$$H_n(\mathbf{C}) = \frac{Ker(\delta_n : \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{9\mathbb{Z}} \to \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{9\mathbb{Z}})}{Im(\delta_n : \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{9\mathbb{Z}} \to \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{9\mathbb{Z}})} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}} \cong 0,$$

for all n.

**Definition 2.1.16.** A cochain complex  $C^{\bullet}$  consists of a sequence of  $\mathbb{R}$ -modules  $C^{i}$   $(i \in \mathbb{Z})$  and morphisms of the form,

$$\mathbf{C}: \qquad \dots \xrightarrow{\delta^{-3}} C^{-2} \xrightarrow{\delta^{-2}} C^{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta^{-1}} C^0 \xrightarrow{\delta^0} C^1 \xrightarrow{\delta^1} C^2 \xrightarrow{\delta^2} \dots$$

such that  $\delta^{n-1}\delta^n=0$  for all n, i.e. the composition of any two consecutive maps is zero.

**Remark 2.1.17.** Chain and cochain complexes can be thought of as almost identical constructs with the only difference being thenumbering of the chain. The degree of a chain complex *decreases* from left to right, whereas, the degree of a cochain complex *increses* from left to right. So, we can compute one from the other by setting  $C^{-n} = C_n$ , or equivalently  $C^n = C_{-n}$ ; this is called *renumbering*.

**Definition 2.1.18.** If **C** is a cochain complex then its *cohomology* is defined to be,

$$H^n(\mathbf{C}) = \frac{Ker(\delta^n : C^n \to C^{n+1})}{Im(\delta^{n-1} : C^{n-1} \to C^n)} = \frac{Z^n(\mathbf{C})}{B^n(\mathbf{C})}.$$

- The elements of  $B_n(\mathbf{C})$  are called n-coboundaries.
- The elements of  $Z_n(\mathbf{C})$  are called n-cocycles.

**Example 2.1.19.** We can renumber the chain complex in Example 2.1.9 to get the cochain complex,

C: 
$$\underbrace{\ldots}_{\deg} \to \underbrace{0}_{-2} \to \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}}_{-1} \xrightarrow{a} \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}}_{0} \to \underbrace{0}_{1} \to \ldots$$

Its cohomology is,

$$H^{i}(\mathbf{C}) = \begin{cases} \frac{Ker(\mathbb{Z} \to 0)}{Im(\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{a} \mathbb{Z})} = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{a\mathbb{Z}} & i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

### Chapter 3

### Representation of Quivers

#### 3.1 Quivers and Path Algebras

**Definition 3.1.1.** A *quiver* is defined as the tuple of sets and functions,  $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t : Q_1 \to Q_0)$  such that:

- $Q_0$  is the set of vertices, which we will set to be the finite set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .
- $Q_1$  is the set of arrows, which we will also set to be finite.
- Functions s,t such that an arrow  $\rho \in Q_1$  starts at the vertex  $s(\rho) \in Q_0$  and terminates at the vertex  $t(\rho) \in Q_0$ , i.e.  $\rho : s(\rho) \to t(\rho)$ .

## Bibliography

- [1] Cohomology and Central Simple Algebras. [Online-PDF file]. [Accessed October 2014]. Available from: http://www1.maths.leeds.ac.uk/pmtwc/cohom.pdf
- [2] Representation of Quivers. [Online-PDF file]. [Accessed October 2014]. Available from: http://www1.maths.leeds.ac.uk/pmtwc/quivlecs.pdf