

stable matching

- input: given 2 distinct groups of people each with their own rankings of people from the opposite group
- output: stable matching of people: there does not exist 2 pairs $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$ such that x_1 likes y_2 more than y_1 and y_2 likes x_1 more than x_2 Gale-shapley algorithm:

```
while # exists free man, m, that has not proposed to every woman:
    w = # first woman on m's list not he has not proposed to yet
    if w.status = free:
        engage(w, m)
    else if # w prefer m over current partner m_old:
        engage(w, m)
        free(m_old)
    else:
        continue # w rejects m
```

- men optimal: gale shapely always returns arrangement where men get their best possible stable matching → reason because men always propose from highest down, if highest is stable already will not change
- women pessimal: gale shapely always returns worst stable matching for women
- Word ram model:** RAM = array of words; runtime counts instructions (e.g. mul = 1), ignore compiler optimizations/ OS multithreading etc.
- Notations: for function $f(n)$ w/ asymptotic (n^2)
 - Big O: $O(n^2) \rightarrow 0 \leq f(n) \leq c \cdot (n^2)$ for some constant c and where $n > k$, for some constant k .
 - Big Omega: $\Omega(n^2) \rightarrow 0 \leq c \cdot (n^2) \leq f(n)$ for some constant c and where $n > k$, for some constant k .
 - Big Theta: $\Theta(n^2) \rightarrow 0 \leq a \cdot (n^2) \leq f(n) \leq b \cdot (n^2)$ for some constant a, b and where $n > k$, for some constant k .
 - small o $o(n^2)$, omega $\omega(n^2)$: like big notation, but **not equal** → $o(n^2)$, $f(n) < c \cdot (n^2)$ for all values c , when $n > k$ for some constant k
- Limit method: as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $f(n)/g(n) \rightarrow x$
 - $x = 0$: $f(n) = o(g(n))$, since $f(n)/g(n) = 0$, $f(n)/g(n) < k$, $f(n) < k \cdot g(n)$.
 - $x < \infty$: $f(n) = O(g(n))$.
 - $x < \infty$, $x > 0$: $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$.
 - $x > 0$: $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$.
 - $x = \infty$: $f(n) = \omega(g(n))$.

Asymptotic useful shit

- Stirling approximation:** $\log(n!) = \Theta(n \log n)$; also, $\log(a) \cdot \log(b) = \log(ab)$.
- $e^x \geq 1 + x$
- $k^n > n^a$, for any $k > 1$, and a .
- $\lg^2(n) = (\lg n)^2 \neq \lg \lg n$, $\lg(ab) = \lg a + \lg b$, $\lg(a^n) = n \lg a$, $\log_b(a) = 1/\log_a(b)$, $a^{\log_b(c)} = c^{\log_b(a)}$.
- $1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + \dots = \ln(n) + O(1)$ (Harmonic), $1 + 2 + \dots + n = n(n+1)/2$ (AP), $1 + x$

$$+ x^2 + \dots + x^n = (x^{n+1} - 1)/(x - 1) \text{ (GP)}.$$

- L'hopitals: $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)/g(x) = f'(x)/g'(x)$, e.g. $(\log n)/n = 1/n$

Correctness

Using a loop invariant (e.g. array $[1 \dots j]$ sorted after j iterations)

- initialization: show loop invariant true before iteration
- maintenance: show if invariant true before iteration, remain true after 1 iteration
- termination: when algo terminates, can be used as property to show correctness (e.g. array $[1..n-1]$ is sorted and no element $gt[n]$ exists, imply whole array sorted)

solving recurrence

- Recursion tree: draw whole recursion tree, e.g. merge sort; Ign levels each level n ops, total $n \lg n$
- master method: $T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n)$ where $a \geq 1$, $b > 1$, f asymptotic positive. compare $f(n)$ w/ $n^{\log(a/b)}$.
 - $f(n) = O(n^{\log a - \epsilon})$ for some constant $\epsilon > 0$: $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log a - \epsilon})$ (fn grows polynomially slower than $n^{\log a}$ base b (by $n^{\log a - \epsilon}$ factor) $f(n) = O(n^{\log a - \epsilon})$ $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log a})$)
 - $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log a})$, $k \geq 0$, (e.g. $k = 2 \rightarrow \lg \lg n$), then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log a} \lg^{k+1} n)$, both grow at similar rates $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log a} \lg^k n)$ $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log a} \lg^{k+1} n)$
 - $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log a + \epsilon})$, $\epsilon > 0$, $T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$, $f(n)$ grows faster by n^{ϵ} , **satisfies regularity condition** $af(n/b) \leq cf(n)$ for some $c < 1$ $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log a + \epsilon})$ $T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$
- substitution method:
 - guess a time complexity, e.g. $T(n) = n^2$, so $T(n) \leq cn^2$ for some c
 - substitute recurring T 's with eqn: $T(n) = T(n/2) \rightarrow T(n) = c(n^2)/4$.
 - show result is less than guess: $c(n^2)/4 \leq cn^2$.

Randomized algorithms

- Basis works on $E(X) = \sum (\text{All possible cases} \cdot \text{probability of each case})$
- Ex: quicksort, let A_i be a permutation where the i th largest element is the first index (i.e. picked as pivot). $E(X) = \sum (\text{Expected } A_i \text{ runtime}) / n$
- Randomized algorithms usually are simple, can approximate good solutions much faster than deterministic

Hashing

- U: universe, all possible values to be hashed, M: the size of array to be mapped to, N: number of stored items
- Randomization: randomize the hash function used, so we do not keep colliding on specific indexes
- Universal hashing: suppose randomized hash function, set of all hash functions = H. Universal hashing → $|h(x)|/|H| \leq 1/M$: for all x, y where $x \neq y$, number of hash functions h that collide / number of hash functions total $\leq 1/M$.
- For any universal set of hash functions mapping $U \rightarrow M$, for any N elements the expected number of collisions $< N/M$
- For any universal set of hash functions, if $M \geq N$, expected cost for N insertion/deletion/queries = $O(N) \rightarrow$ for each in N expected cost $O(N/M) = O(1)$ each

- Perfect hashing: expected worst case constant time, if $M=N^2$, expected num collisions < 1 . (**Theorem: there exists hash function $U \rightarrow M$ where $|M| = N^2$ with no collisions**)
 - 2 level scheme: each index is another hash map. at each index choose second level hash function with no collisions ($M=N^2$)
 - if H is universal, Expected size of all secondary arrays in 2 level scheme $< 2n$

Amortized analysis

- $O(n)$ = worst case of a single operation; Amortized worst case = average each case in worst case running all k operations.
- **NOT the same as average case analysis.**
- Aggregate method
 - sum worst possible k operations, divide by k . (if many diff operations pick worst possible permutation of operations)
 - tedious, provide upper bound on true cost. cannot provide amortized cost of each operation, only of whole set of operations
- Accounting/ Bankers method
 - Assign common, low cost ops a higher cost, which pays off higher cost, less common operations e.g. increase INSERT cost by 1 so DELETE ALL cost can be 0
 - Actual cost must be \leq amortized cost
- Potential method
 - define potential function $\phi(i)$ such that $\phi(i) \geq 0$ for all i , $\phi(i)$ = potential at the end of i th operation. Try to select ϕ such that costly operation, $\Delta\phi$ is negative, negates actual expensive cost. (find decreasing qty during operation)
 - Amortized cost of i th operation = $\text{actual_cost}(i) + \phi(i) - \phi(i-1)$. (potential difference, $\Delta\phi(i) = \phi(i) - \phi(i-1)$)
 - Amortized cost n operations = $\text{actual cost } n \text{ ops} + \phi(n) - \phi(0)$.
 - To show actual cost n ops = $O(f(n) + \phi(0))$, just show amortized $O(f(n))$.
 - e.g. binary addition, expensive operation, flip k bits to 0, longest suffix of 1's decrease. $\phi(i) = 1 + \text{length longest suffix}$.
 - draw table, columns actual cost, $\Delta\phi$, amortized cost. each row = 1 case, e.g. expensive case + normal case. show amortized cost still same, total cost = $n * \text{amortized cost}$.