

BRAD: Bachelor's thesis project plan

Technical University of Denmark

Javad Asgari Shafique (s224792@student.dtu.dk)

Magnus August McCubbin (s215773@student.dtu.dk)

Hjalte Corneilius Nannestad (s224772@student.dtu.dk)

Advisor: Christian Gram Kalhauge (chrg@dtu.dk)

30/04/2025

Abstract

In the search of problem-solving tools the need for a programming language that has the ability to be flexible yet strongly typed, fast and concurrent has arisen to be able to solve common problems in an incrementally improved way. By developing a minimal viable programming language called DRAD we will demonstrate the impact improvements to multiple parts of the BRAD language toolchain has on the performance, usability and effectiveness of the tool relative to DRAD. We will achieve this by doing 3 separate research projects; one on the compilation assessing interpreted versus compiled performance, another on the type system and inference assessing how many types we can omit and infer, and on the concurrency model of the language to assess how it can speed up idiomatic DRAD code. Based on these research projects we can conclude whether their aspect of a programming language had a quantitative impact on the usability and performance of the tool.

Project plan

In this document we present the overall project plan for the BRAD project, in appendix A the project plan is visualized as a Gantt chart, followed by a structured overview of the tasks in appendix B. In appendix C a small risk matrix is presented.

Part 1: Dynamic compilation and optimization

Currently, the research language DRAD runs exclusively interpreted. This is significantly slower than other methods of execution and as such is desirable to improve. To solve this issue, a compiler will be made with the goal of compiling to machine code that is able to run natively on the computer's CPU. As improving performance is the main goal, development will be focused on x86_64 as it is what is available to test on. Testing will be done by benchmarking a series of programs with the interpreter, and then as compiled programs to measure the improvement. Testing will also be done against versions of the programs in already existing compiled languages to see how the compiler measures up against real world examples, though this is not the goal of the project.

Part 2: Type-system and inference

The DRAD language has a simple forward type system where all type parameters have to be specified at every option, eg. function arguments and output, variables, generics in types and function invocation and more. Brad however allows all type parameters to be optional, in which case the type system will infer the type. This requires replacing the simple type system with another, better and more complex type system with type inference. A likely candidate for this is a variation of a Hindley-Milner type system with open sum types, and potentially more. A series of test programs will be written that the different type systems will be tested against, both in terms of correctness and in speed. Where the number of types inferred in each test program is better.

Part 3: Asynchronous runtime

To properly take advantage of concurrent systems (multiple cpus, multiple machines) we want to write applications that take advantage of every possible way it can be made concurrently. This is in practice really difficult so we rely on abstractions on multiple levels to attain concurrency. Lots of languages provide some way to interact with concurrency either through low-level primitives (os-threads), higher level green threads and cooperative scheduling or super high level “black box” concurrency.

These concurrency solutions are typically split down between traditional thread based concurrency and operating system i/o concurrency. What this project intends to do is to take advantage of modern operating system primitives that can integrate both traditional thread based concurrency and i/o concurrency into a single abstraction managed fully by the language's runtime. By extending our research language with a simple task api (`async / await`) and a standard library with concurrency primitives we can integrate it with `io_uring` to achieve performant, efficient and concurrent application execution with minimal load on the developer experience.

To evaluate the success of this approach we will compare a selection of programs that use conventional network and file operations in DRAD then improve them with our new task api and compare runtime, throughput and memory efficiency.

Appendix A: Gantt chart




Figure 1: Gantt Chart

Appendix B: Task overview

Activities	Start Date	End Date	Type	Task Owner
Initial Phase	29/03/2025	30/04/2025	Main Task	Group
Tentative PDR	15/04/2025	18/04/2025	Sub Task	Javad
Tentative project timeplan	15/04/2025	18/04/2025	Sub Task	Javad
Project planning phase	29/03/2025	30/04/2025	Sub Task	Group
Drad finalization and feature freeze	30/04/2025	30/04/2025	Milestone	Group
Outline feature set	31/03/2025	18/04/2025	Sub Task	Magnus
Treesitter grammar	31/03/2025	18/04/2025	Sub Task	Javad
Minimal drad specification	31/03/2025	18/04/2025	Sub Task	Hjalte
Type system compat	31/03/2025	18/04/2025	Sub Task	Hjalte
Test suite runnable in drad	31/03/2025	30/04/2025	Sub Task	Magnus
Main Phase	30/04/2025	05/08/2025	Main Task	Group
Solver v2 kickoff	30/04/2025	30/05/2025	Milestone	Hjalte
Complete type system	16/04/2025	22/07/2025	Main Task	Hjalte
Complete v2 solver	16/04/2025	20/05/2025	Sub Task	Hjalte
Complete type simplification	20/05/2025	13/06/2025	Sub Task	Hjalte
Complete type formatting	13/06/2025	25/06/2025	Sub Task	Hjalte
Codegen v2 kickoff	30/04/2025	30/04/2025	Milestone	Magnus
Complete IR spec	15/04/2025	30/04/2025	Sub Task	Magnus
IR to compiled executable	30/04/2025	22/07/2025	Main Task	Magnus
IR to assembly instructions	30/04/2025	22/07/2025	Sub Task	Magnus
Assembly to bytecode	30/05/2025	22/07/2025	Sub Task	Magnus
Bytecode to executable	30/06/2025	22/07/2025	Sub Task	Magnus
Async kickoff	30/04/2025	30/04/2025	Milestone	Javad
Primitives for net/sync/disk	30/05/2025	05/08/2025	Main Task	Javad
Build demo application	30/04/2025	05/08/2025	Main Task	Hjalte
Test suite and examples	30/04/2025	05/08/2025	Main Task	Javad
Fuzz AST	31/03/2025	15/04/2025	Sub Task	Javad
Fuzz formatter	31/03/2025	15/04/2025	Sub Task	Javad
Fuzz type solver	15/05/2025	20/05/2025	Sub Task	Javad
Fuzz optimization	15/05/2025	20/05/2025	Sub Task	Javad
Program flow analysis representation	16/04/2025	15/05/2025	Main Task	Hjalte
Integration & finalization	30/04/2025	05/08/2025	Main Task	Group
Focus on report writing	15/06/2025	04/08/2025	Sub Task	Group
Finalize and deliver report	05/08/2025	05/08/2025	Milestone	Group

Appendix C: Risk Assessment

Risk Description	Impact	Likelihood	Mitigations
DRAD core not finalized by feature freeze	High	Medium	Prioritize essential features early. Freeze scope strictly by 30/04/2025.
Compilation backend too complex to finish in time	High	Medium	Focus on basic IR-to-assembly pipeline first; delay optimization if needed.
Type inference model too slow or error-prone	Medium	Medium	Benchmark early; fall back to a more constrained inference model if needed.
io_uring integration proves unstable/incompatible	Medium	Low	Abstract I/O layer; allow fallback to epoll or native threading where necessary.
Integration of components delayed	High	Medium	Conduct early integration tests; use stubs/interfaces for parallel development.
Gantt schedule underestimates testing/debug time	Medium	High	Reserve buffer weeks in July; re-allocate non-critical subtasks if needed.

Table 2: Risk assessment focusing on critical project dependencies