

**Due date: Tuesday, September 4th, 2012 (before class).**

1. (8pts) Show that each of these implications is a tautology by using truth tables.

(a)  $[q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow q$ .

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$	$[q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow q$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	T

(b)  $[(p \vee q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow r$ .

$p$	$q$	$r$	$p \vee q$	$p \rightarrow r$	$q \rightarrow r$	$(p \vee q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$	$[(p \vee q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow r$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	F	F	T
F	F	T	F	T	T	F	T
F	F	F	F	T	T	F	T

(c)  $[\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)] \rightarrow q$ .

$p$	$q$	$\neg p$	$p \vee q$	$\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)$	$[\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)] \rightarrow q$
T	T	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	F	T

(d)  $[(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$ .

$p$	$q$	$r$	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow r$	$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$	$p \rightarrow r$	$[(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	T	F	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	T	T

2. (2pts) Determine whether  $(\neg p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg q$  is a tautology.

$p$	$q$	$\neg p$	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$	$\neg q$	$(\neg p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg q$
T	T	F	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

Thus  $(\neg p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg q$  is not a tautology.

3. (2pts) Show that  $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \rightarrow r)$  and  $p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$  are logically equivalent.

$p$	$q$	$r$	$p \rightarrow q$	$p \rightarrow r$	$(p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \rightarrow r)$	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	F	T

4. (4pts) Use truth tables to verify the associative laws

(a)  $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$ .

$p$	$q$	$r$	$p \wedge q$	$(p \wedge q) \wedge r$	$q \wedge r$	$p \wedge (q \wedge r)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F
T	F	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	F	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	F	F	T	F
F	T	F	F	F	F	F
F	F	T	F	F	F	F
F	F	F	F	F	F	F

(b)  $(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$ .

$p$	$q$	$r$	$p \vee q$	$(p \vee q) \vee r$	$q \vee r$	$p \vee (q \vee r)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	T	T	T
F	F	F	F	F	F	F

5. (4pts) Suppose you are given the following truth table

$p$	$q$	$r$	
F	F	F	T
F	F	T	F
F	T	F	T
F	T	T	F
T	F	F	T
T	F	T	T
T	T	F	F
T	T	T	F

Now give the **disjunctive normal form** corresponding to this table.

$$(\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r) \vee (\neg p \wedge q \wedge \neg r) \vee (p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r) \vee (p \wedge \neg q \wedge r).$$

6. (2pts) Show that  $[(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r)] \rightarrow (q \vee r)$  is a tautology.

$p$	$q$	$r$	$p \vee q$	$\neg p \vee r$	$(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r)$	$(q \vee r)$	$[(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r)] \rightarrow (q \vee r)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	T	T
T	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	T	F	T	T
F	F	F	F	T	F	F	T

7. (8pts) Let  $C(x)$  be the statement “ $x$  has a cat,” let  $D(x)$  be the statement “ $x$  has a dog,” and let  $F(x)$  be the statement “ $x$  has a ferret.” For each of the following sentences, choose the correct statements expressed in terms of  $C(x)$ ,  $D(x)$ ,  $F(x)$ , quantifiers, and logical connectives. Let the universe of discourse consist of all students in your class.

- (a) Some student in your class has a cat and a ferret, but not a dog.
- $\exists x(C(x) \wedge D(x) \wedge F(x)).$
  - $\exists x(C(x) \wedge \neg D(x) \wedge F(x)).$  (Correct)
- (b) No student in your class has a cat, a dog, and a ferret.
- $\neg \exists x(C(x) \wedge D(x) \wedge F(x)).$  (Correct)
  - $\forall x(\neg C(x) \wedge \neg D(x) \wedge \neg F(x)).$
- (c) A student in your class has a cat, a dog, and a ferret.
- $\forall x(C(x) \wedge D(x) \wedge F(x)).$
  - $\exists x(C(x) \wedge D(x) \wedge F(x)).$  (Correct)
- (d) For each of the three animals, cats, dogs, and ferrets, there is a student in your class who has one of these animals as a pet.
- $\exists x(C(x)) \wedge \exists y(D(y)) \wedge \exists z(F(z)).$  (Correct)
  - $\exists x(C(x) \wedge D(x) \wedge F(x)).$
8. (6pts) Translate these statements into English, where  $R(x)$  is “ $x$  is a rabbit” and  $H(x)$  is “ $x$  hops” and the universe of discourse consists of all animals.
- (a)  $\exists x(R(x) \wedge H(x)).$   
There exists an animal that is a rabbit and hops. Alternatively, some rabbits hop.  
Or, some hopping animals are rabbits.
- (b)  $\forall x(R(x) \rightarrow H(x)).$   
If an animal is a rabbit, then that animal hops. Alternatively, every rabbit hops.
- (c)  $\forall x(R(x) \wedge H(x)).$   
Every animal is a rabbit and hops.
9. (6pts) Suppose the universe of discourse of the propositional function  $P(x)$  consists of the integers -2, -1, 0, 1, and 2. Write out each of these propositions using disjunctions, conjunctions, and negations.
- (a)  $\exists xP(x).$   
 $P(-2) \vee P(-1) \vee P(0) \vee P(1) \vee P(2).$
- (b)  $\forall xP(x).$   
 $P(-2) \wedge P(-1) \wedge P(0) \wedge P(1) \wedge P(2).$
- (c)  $\exists x\neg P(x).$   
 $\neg P(-2) \vee \neg P(-1) \vee \neg P(0) \vee \neg P(1) \vee \neg P(2).$
- (d)  $\forall x\neg P(x).$   
 $\neg P(-2) \wedge \neg P(-1) \wedge \neg P(0) \wedge \neg P(1) \wedge \neg P(2).$

- (e)  $\neg \exists x P(x)$ .  
 $\neg(P(-2) \vee P(-1) \vee P(0) \vee P(1) \vee P(2))$ . (Same as (d))
- (f)  $\neg \forall x P(x)$ .  
 $\neg(P(-2) \wedge P(-1) \wedge P(0) \wedge P(1) \wedge P(2))$ . (Same as (c))
10. (8pts) Determine the truth value of each of these statements if the universe of discourse of each variable consists of all real numbers.
- (a)  $\exists x(x^2 = 3)$ .  
 True. ( $x = \sqrt{3}$ )
- (b)  $\exists x(x^2 = -1)$ .  
 False. ( $x = \sqrt{-1}$  is not a real number)
- (c)  $\forall x(x^3 + 1 \geq 1)$ .  
 False. (consider the case when  $x < 0$ )
- (d)  $\forall x(x^2 \neq x)$ .  
 False. (not true for  $x = 1$  or  $x = 0$ )
11. (6pts) Find a counterexample, if possible, to these universally quantified statements, where the universe of discourse of all variables consists of all real numbers.
- (a)  $\forall x(x^2 \neq x)$ .  
 False since  $1^2 = 1$ .
- (b)  $\forall x(x^2 \neq 2)$ .  
 False since  $x = \sqrt{2}$  is a counter example.
- (c)  $\forall x(|x| > 0)$ .  
 False since  $|0| = 0$ .
12. (8pts) Let  $R(x)$  be “ $x$  is in the correct place,”  $E(x)$  be “ $x$  is in excellent condition,”  $T(x)$  be “ $x$  is a tool,” and the universe of discourse be all things. For each of the following sentences, choose the correct logical expressions.
- (a) All tools are in the correct place and are in excellent condition.  
 i.  $\forall x(T(x) \rightarrow (R(x) \wedge E(x)))$ . (Correct)  
 ii.  $\forall x(R(x) \wedge E(x))$ .
- (b) Everything is in the correct place and in excellent condition.  
 i.  $\exists x(R(x) \wedge E(x))$ .  
 ii.  $\forall x(R(x) \wedge E(x))$ . (Correct)
- (c) No tool is in the correct place.  
 i.  $\forall x(T(x) \rightarrow \neg R(x))$ . (Correct)  
 ii.  $\forall x(T(x) \wedge \neg R(x))$ .

(d) Nothing is in the correct place and is in excellent condition.

i.  $\exists x \neg (R(x) \wedge E(x))$ .

ii.  $\forall x \neg (R(x) \wedge E(x))$ . (Correct)