

# NOTES ON PROJECTIVE MODEL OF K3 SURFACE

FEI SI

ABSTRACT. This is a reading note on the paper of B.Saint-Donat.

## CONTENTS

|   |    |
|---|----|
| 1. Linear system on K3                    | 1  |
| 2. classification of the projective model | 6  |
| 2.1. <b>Hyperelliptic</b>                 | 7  |
| 2.2. <b>Nonhyperelliptic</b>              | 8  |
| 3. Examples in low degree                 | 10 |
| 4. Cone structures of K3                  | 11 |
| References                                | 11 |

## 1. LINEAR SYSTEM ON K3

In the note,  $S$  will always be a K3 over  $\mathbb{C}$ . This section contains several technique lemmas in [SD3]

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $C \subset S$  be an irreducible curve with  $C^2 > 0$ , Then  $|\mathcal{O}_S(C)|$  is base point free.*

*Proof.* It's sufficient to show

$$H^1(S, I_x \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(C)) = 0$$

Take blowups  $\pi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  centered at  $x$  with  $E_x$  as exceptional divisor. then

$$\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(-E_x)) = I_x$$

By projection formula,

$$\pi_*(\pi^*(\mathcal{O}_S(C)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(-E_x)) = \mathcal{O}_S(C) \otimes I_x$$

By Riemann-Roch and Lemma 1.2 and 1.4,

$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(C)) = 2 + \frac{1}{2}C^2 \geq 3$$

,thus we can choose nontrivial section  $s \in H^0(S, I_x \otimes I_x \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(C))$  In this way,

$$\pi^*s \in H^0(\pi^*(\mathcal{O}_S(C)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(-2E_x))$$

will be a non trivial section. By lemma 1.2 and 1.4,

$$0 = H^1(\tilde{S}, \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_S(-C)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(2E_x) = H^1(\tilde{S}, \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_S(C)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(-E_x) \quad (1.1)$$

$$= H^1(S, \pi_*(\pi^*(\mathcal{O}_S(C)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(-2E_x)) = H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C)) \otimes I_x \quad (1.2)$$

where (1.1) follows serre duality and (1.2) comes from projection formula and Leray spectral sequence.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.** (*C.P.Ramanujam*) Let  $D$  be a 1-connected effective divisor on  $S$ , then  $H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows[R4]  $\square$

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $X$  be nonsingular surface and  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  blowup centered at  $x \in X$ .  $E := \pi^{-1}(x)$  exceptional curve and  $C \in \text{Pic}(X)$  with each divisor in  $|C|$  is 2-connected. then each  $D \in |\pi^*\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-2E)|$  is 1-connected.

*Proof.*  $\square$

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $C \subset S$  be an irreducible curve, then any  $D \in |C|$  is 2-connected.

*Proof.* suppose  $C = D_1 + D_2$  with  $D_1, D_2$  effective. Set

$$r_i = \frac{C \cdot D_i}{C^2}, \Gamma_i = r_i C - D_i, \quad i = 1, 2$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 + r_2 &= 1, r_i \geq 0 \\ \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 &= 0, \Gamma_i \cdot C = 0 \end{aligned}$$

By Hodge index theorem,

$$\Gamma_i^2 \leq 0$$

with  $=$  iff  $\Gamma_i = 0$ . Thus

$$D_1 \cdot D_2 = (r_1 C - \Gamma_1)(r_2 C - \Gamma_2) = r_1 r_2 C^2 - \Gamma_1^2 \geq r_1 r_2 C^2$$

Now we need to take analysis in detail.

case1:  $r_1 = 0$ , ie,  $D_1 \cdot C = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$D_1 \cdot D_2 = -D_1^2 = -\Gamma_1^2 \geq 2$$

since  $D_1 \neq 0$

case2:  $D_1 \cdot C = 1, D_2 \cdot C = 1$ . then

$$D_1 \cdot D_2 = \frac{1}{2} - \Gamma_1^2$$

case3:  $r_1 \geq \frac{2}{C^2}$

$\square$

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $D$  be effective divisor on  $S$ . then*

$$h^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-D)) = \dim H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D) - 1$$

*in particular, if  $D$  is 1-connected, then  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-D)) = 0$*

*Proof.* consider the following exact sequence and take its cohomology:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow 0$$

□

**Lemma 1.6.** *Let  $L \in \text{Pic}(S)$  be an invertible sheaf without fixed components and  $|L| \neq \emptyset$ , then*

- (1)  $L^2 > 0$ , then generic member of is an irreducible curve of  $p_a = 1 + \frac{1}{2}L^2$  and  $h^1(L) = 0$
- (2)  $L^2 = 0$ , then  $L \simeq \mathcal{O}_S(kE)$  where integer  $k > 0$  and  $E$  is irreducible curve of  $p_a(E) = 1$ . and  $h^1(L) = k - 1$

*Proof.*

□

**Lemma 1.7.** *Let  $C \subset S$  be an irreducible curve and  $C^2 > 0, D \geq 0 \in \text{Div}(S)$  st  $D^2 \geq 0$  and  $|D - C| = \emptyset$ , then  $D.C > 1$ . in particular, for  $D = E$  irreducible curve with  $E^2 = 0, E.C > 1$*

*Proof.* (1) If  $C$  is a component of  $D, |D - C| = \emptyset$  implies  $D = C$  since  $D$  is effective, then

$$D.C = C^2 \geq 2$$

- (2) If  $C$  is not a component of  $D$ , then

$$D.C \geq 0$$

- (3) If  $D.C = 0$ , then by Hodge index theorem,

$$D \leq 0$$

with " $\leq$ " iff  $D = 0 \Rightarrow D = 0$  since  $D^2 \geq 0 \Rightarrow |C| = |D - C| = \emptyset$ , contradiction !

- (4) If  $D.C = 1$ , suppose  $D = \sum_{i=1}^N n_i D_i$  where  $D_i$  is irreducible component and  $n_i > 0 \Rightarrow$  only possibility:  $n_1 = 1, D_1.C = 1, D_i > C = 0$  for  $i > 1. \Rightarrow (D - C)^2 = D^2 + C^2 - 2 \geq 0$  since  $C^2 > 0$  By Riemann-Roch,  $h^0(D - C) + h^0(C - D) = h^1(D - C) + \frac{1}{2}(D - C)^2 + 2 \geq 2$ , thus we get contradiction since  $|D - C| = \emptyset$ .

□

**Remark 1.8.** (Basic facts about fixed components of a linear system)

Let  $D \in \text{Div}(S)$  be an effective divisor with  $D^2 > 0$ , we can write

$$D \sim D' + \Delta$$

then fixed part  $\dim|\Delta| = 0$  and moving part  $D'$  has no fixed component. and  $\dim|D| = \dim|D'|$  Using

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rightarrow 0,$$

we have

$$h^0(\Delta, \mathcal{O}_\Delta) = h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-\Delta)) + 1 = N$$

where  $N$  is the number of connected components and

$$\Delta = \sum_i \Delta_i, \Delta_i^2 = -2, \Delta_i \cdot \Delta_j = 0.$$

By lemma 1.6, there are only two cases:

*Case 1.*  $D'$  irreducible. If  $D' \cdot \Delta_i > 0$ , then  $D' \cdot \Delta_i = 0$

Conversely, if  $\Gamma$  is a connected reduced curve with  $\Gamma^2 = -2$ , then  $\Gamma$  is fixed in  $|D' + \Gamma|$  iff  $\Gamma \cdot D' = 0$ , or 1

*Case 2.*  $D' = kE$  where  $E$  is irreducible of  $p_a(E) = 1, k > 1$ .

then  $\exists ! \Delta_j$  st:  $\Delta_j \cdot E > 0$  and such case  $\Delta_j \cdot E = 1$  will occur.

Conversely, if  $\Gamma$  is a connected reduced curve with  $\Gamma^2 = -2$ , then  $\Gamma$  is fixed in  $|kE + \Gamma|$  iff  $\Gamma \cdot E = 0$ , or 1

**Theorem 1.9.**  $L \in \text{Pic}(S)$  is ample, then  $L$  is one of the following:

- (1)  $L \cong \mathcal{O}_S(C)$  where  $C$  is irreducible curve of  $p_a(C) > 1$
- (2)  $L \cong \mathcal{O}_S(kE + B)$  where  $E$  is an irreducible curve of  $p_a(E) = 1$ ,  $B$  is a rational curve st:  $B \cdot E = 1$ ,  $k \geq 3$

*Proof.* By ampleness,  $L^2 > 0$ .

If  $L$  base point free, then it's case (1) by lemma 1.6.

If not, suppose  $L = D + \Delta$  where  $D$  is mobile part and  $\Delta$  is fixed part. By lemma 1.6, either  $D$  is irreducible curve or  $D = kE$  with  $E$  is irreducible curve of  $p_a(E) = 1$  and  $k \geq 2$ . If  $D$  is irreducible, then  $h^1(\mathcal{O}(D)) = 0$  by lemma 1.5, thus

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}(D)) = \frac{1}{2}D^2 + 2 = h^0(L) = \frac{1}{2}L^2 + 2 + h^1(L) \geq \frac{1}{2}L^2 + 2$$

$\Rightarrow 2D \cdot \Delta + \Delta^2 \leq 0$ . however by Nakai's

$$L \cdot \Delta = D \cdot \Delta + \Delta^2 > 0$$

since  $L$  is ample and  $\Delta > 0$ . This causes a contradiction! So  $D = kE$ , by remark 1.8,  $E \cdot \Delta = 1$ . By  $L \cdot \Delta = k - 2 > 0$ , we have  $k > 2$ . This is case (ii).  $\square$

**Theorem 1.10.**  $L \in \text{Pic}(S)$  is ample, then  $L^n$  is generated by sections for  $n \geq 2$  and very ample for  $n \geq 3$

**Morrison's discussion:** Following ideas in Reider's paper [4], D. Morrison [2] gave a detailed discussion of linear system of K3, We summarise as follows: The key to Reider's method is Bogomolov property.

**Definition 1.11.** 1.A rank=2 vector bundle  $E$  has Strong Bogomolov property (SBP) if  $\exists M, N \in \text{Pic}(X)$  and a 0-cycle  $A \in A^2(X)$  st:

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_A \otimes N \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.3)$$

$$h^0((M \otimes N^{-1})^{\otimes k}) > 0, k > 0 \quad (1.4)$$

2..A rank=2 vector bundle  $E$  has weak Bogomolov property (WBP) if  $L := c_1(E)$  is nef and  $\exists M, N \in \text{Pic}(X)$  and a 0-cycle  $A \in A^2(X)$  st:

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_A \otimes N \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.5)$$

$$L.M \otimes N^{-1} \geq 0 \quad (1.6)$$

Remark:clearly,  $h^0((M \otimes N^{-1})^{\otimes k}) > 0 \Rightarrow$

$\exists kD \in |M \otimes N^{-1}|^{\otimes k}$  st:  $L.kD \geq 0 \Rightarrow$

$L.M \otimes N^{-1} = L.D \geq 0$ .so SBP implies WBP if  $L$  nef.

given  $Z \in A^2(X)$  cycle of codim =2 and  $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ ,we can associate a rank 2 vector bundle  $E$  by a section

$$s \in \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes L) = H^1(X, \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes L)$$

ie,

$$0 \rightarrow {}^{\times s} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes L \rightarrow 0$$

Thus,

$$c_2(E) = \deg(Z)$$

**Lemma 1.12.** As above,if  $L^2 > 0$  and  $E$  has WBP w.r.t

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_A \otimes N \rightarrow 0$$

,then  $\exists D \geq 0 \in \text{Pic}(X)$  st:

$$Z \in D, N = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$$

and  $D = 0$  implies  $Z = \phi$

*Proof.* observe

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_X & & \\ \times s \downarrow & \searrow \mu \circ s & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathcal{I}_A \otimes N \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu \circ s \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{I}_A \otimes N) = H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_A \otimes N)$$

claim:  $\mu \circ s \neq 0$ . Then we set  $D := \{\mu \circ s = 0\}$  If  $\mu \circ s \neq 0$ , then  $\text{im}(s) \subset \ker(\mu) \Rightarrow \text{im}(s) \subset M, M \simeq \mathcal{O}_X \Rightarrow$  By WBP,

$$L = c_1(E) = c_1(M) + c_1(N) = c_1(N), L.M \otimes N^{-1} = L.N^{-1} = -L^2 \geq 0$$

,contradiction. Note that  $Z = \{s = 0\}$  ,thus  $Z \in D$   $\square$

**Theorem 1.13.** Let  $L \in \text{Pic}(S)$  be nef line bundle, then

- (i) If  $p \in S$  is a base point of  $L$  and  $L^2 = 2g - 2 > 0$ , then  $\exists D \geq 0 \in \text{Div}(S)$  containing  $p$  st:

$$L.D = 1, D^2 = 0$$

- (ii) If  $p, q \in S$  are not base points of  $L$  and not separated by  $L$ ,  $L^2 \geq 4$ , then  $\exists D \geq 0 \in \text{Div}(S)$  containing  $p, q$  such that only one of the following is possible:

- (a)  $L.D = 0, D^2 = -2$
- (b)  $L.D = 1$  or  $2, D^2 = 0$
- (c)  $L.D = 4, D^2 = 2, L^2 = 8, L \approx 2D$

*Proof.* 1. proof of (i): let  $F := L - gD$ , then  $F^2 = -2$ , thus

$$h^0(F) + h^0(-F) = h^1(F) + 1 \geq 1, \quad L.F = (2g - 2) - g = g - 2$$

thus,  $F$  is effective. By

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((n-1)D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(nD) \rightarrow 0$$

, then we have

$$h^0(gD) \geq h^0(D) + g - 1 \geq g + 1$$

while  $h^0(gD) \leq h^0(L) = g + 1$ , thus  $|L| = |gD|$

2. proof of (ii): It relies on Bogomolov's weak property, which is the key point of Reider's method. □

Conversely, We have:

**Theorem 1.14.** *Let  $L \in \text{Pic}(S)$  be nef and big line bundle with  $L^2 = 2g - 2 > 0$ .*

- (i) If  $\exists D \geq 0 \in \text{Div}(S)$  containing  $p$  st:

$$L.D = 1, D^2 = 0$$

*then  $|L|$  has a fixed component  $L - gD$*

- (ii) If  $L^2 > 10$  and  $\varphi_L$  is not birational, then  $\exists$  a pencil  $\{D_t\}$  st:

$$D_t^2 = 0, L.D_t = 1, \text{ or } 2$$

- (iii) If  $|L|$  base point free and  $\exists D \geq 0 \in \text{Div}(S)$  st:  $L.D = 2, D^2 = 0$ , then the morphism has degree 2 and each smooth curve  $C \in |L|$  is hyperelliptic.

**Remark:** If  $L$  is nef, we can use

## 2. CLASSIFICATION OF THE PROJECTIVE MODEL

Throughout the section,  $L$  will be always big and base point free,  $L^2 = 2l = 2g - 2 > 0$ .

### 2.1. Hyperelliptic.

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $L^2 \geq 4$ , then  $|L|$  is hyperelliptic only when*

- (i)  $\exists E$  irreducible curve st:  $E^2 = 0$ ,  $E.L = 2$
- (2)  $\exists B$  irreducible curve st:  $B^2 = 2$ ,  $L \simeq \mathcal{O}_S(2B)$

*Proof.* The proof follows lemma2.2.

By lemma 1.6, take  $C \in |L|$  irreducible. By lemma 1.7, for each irreducible curve of  $p_a(E) = 1$ ,

$$L.E = C.E \geq 2$$

If there is a such curve st:  $L.E = C.E = 2$ , this is the case(i).

Otherwise,

$$C.E \geq 3$$

for each irreducible curve of  $p_a(E) = 1$ , this satisfy conditions in lemma2.2. since  $C$  is hyperelliptic and  $\varphi_L = \varphi_C$  is a degree 2 map, we can choose carefully a open subset  $U \subset S - \mathcal{E}_C$  st:  $x \neq y \in U$  with property

$$\varphi_C(x) = \varphi_C(y), \quad \varphi_C(U)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_C$  is union of curves  $\Delta$  st:  $C.\Delta$ .

Take blowups  $\pi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  centered at  $x, y$  with  $E_x, E_y$  as exceptional divisors. consider

$$\Gamma \in |\pi^*(\mathcal{O}_S(C)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(-2E_x) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(-2E_y)|$$

Then  $\Gamma$  is not 1-connected. If not, by lemma1.4,

$$H^1(S, I_x \otimes I_y \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(C)) = 0$$

, which contradicts to  $\varphi_C(x) = \varphi_C(y)$ .

$\pi^*(D) = \Gamma + 2E_x + 2E_y$ , suppose  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$  with  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 > 0$ . set  $\Delta_i = \Gamma_i + (\Gamma_i.E_x)E_x + (\Gamma_i.E_y)E_y$ ,  $i = 1, 2$

then  $\Delta_i \geq 0$  so we can choose  $D_i \in \text{Pic}(S)$  st:  $\pi^*(D_i) = \Delta_i$  so

$$D = D_1 + D_2$$

$$D_1.D_2 = \Delta_1.\Delta_2 = \Gamma_1.\Gamma_2 + (\Gamma_1.E_x)(\Gamma_2.E_x) + (\Gamma_1.E_y)(\Gamma_2.E_y)$$

$$\Gamma_1.E_x + \Gamma_2.E_x = \Gamma.E_x = (C - 2E_x - 2E_y).E_x = 2$$

$$\Gamma_1.E_y + \Gamma_2.E_y = \Gamma.E_y = (C - 2E_x - 2E_y).E_y = 2$$

$$\Gamma_1.E_x, \Gamma_2.E_x, \Gamma_1.E_y, \Gamma_2.E_y \geq 0$$

We know  $\Gamma$  is not 1-connected and thus can choose  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 > 0$  st:  $\Gamma_1.\Gamma_2 = 0$ , thus

$$D_1.D_2 = (\Gamma_1.E_x)(\Gamma_2.E_x) + (\Gamma_1.E_y)(\Gamma_2.E_y) \leq 2$$

By lemma1.4, above inequality is equality and only= iff

$$\Gamma_1.E_x = \Gamma_2.E_x = \Gamma_1.E_y = \Gamma_2.E_y = 1$$

Now apply lemma2.2, we have

$$D_1 \sim D_2 \sim B$$

where  $B$  is an irreducible curve with  $p_a(B) = 2$  since  $x, y \in U - \mathcal{E}_C$  will imply

$$D.D_1 = C.D_1 > 0$$

which excludes case (i) in lemma2.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.**  $C \subset S$  irreducible curve with  $C^2 \geq 4$  and  $E.C \geq 3$  for each irreducible curve of  $p_a(E) = 1$ . If  $D = D_1 + D_2$  with  $D \in |C|$ ,  $D_1, D_2 \geq 0$ , then

$$D_1.D_2 \geq 3$$

except:

- (i)  $D_1.D_2 = 2$  and  $D_1^2 = -2$
- (ii)  $D_1 \sim D_2 \sim B$  where  $B$  is an irreducible curve with  $p_a(B) = 2$

### Explicit description

- (1) in Theorem2.1  $\varphi_L(S)$  is a rational normal scroll of degree  $g-1$  in  $\mathbb{P}^g$
- (2) in Theorem2.1

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\varphi_L} & \mathbb{P}^5 \\ \varphi_B \downarrow & \nearrow \nu & \\ \mathbb{P}^2 & & \end{array}$$

where  $\nu : \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$  is veronese embedding.

## 2.2. Nonhyperelliptic.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $L \in \text{Pic}(S)$  be an invertible sheaf without fixed component and  $\varphi_L$  is birational morphism. then

- (i) generic curve  $C \in |L|$  is nonsingular
- (ii) the natura map

$$\text{Sym}(H^0(S, L)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(S, L^n)$$

is surjective

- (iii) Let  $\bar{S} = \varphi_L(S)_{\text{red}}$ , then  $\varphi_L : S \rightarrow \bar{S}$  is a map contracting  $(-2)$  curve to rational double points.

*Proof.* we only give proof of (ii). the proof based on induction of  $n$  and a theorem M.Noether(see[ACGH]1). (M.Noether) If  $C$  is nonsingular curve and not hyperelliptic, then for any integer  $k > 0$ , the natural map

$$\text{Sym}^k(H^0(C, \omega_C)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \omega_C^k)$$

is surjective

$n=1$ , it's trivial.

Now suppose  $H^0(S, L^k)$  is generated by element in  $(H^0(S, L))$  for  $k < n$ .



choose generic curve  $C \in |L|$ , it's smooth by (1). By taking tensor  $L^{n+1}$  to  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$0 \rightarrow L^n \rightarrow L^{n+1} \rightarrow \omega_C^{n+1} \rightarrow 0$$

where last term follows from adjunction formula  $K_S + C|_C = C|_C = K_C$   
 $\Rightarrow$

$$0 \xrightarrow{\mu} H^0(S, L^n) \xrightarrow{\nu} H^0(S, L^{n+1}) \rightarrow H^0(C, \omega_C^{n+1}) \rightarrow H^1(S, L^n) = 0$$

Suppose  $H^0(S, L) = \text{span}\{s_0, \dots, s_g\}$ . take  $f \in H^0(S, L^{n+1})$ , when restriction on  $C$ , by theorem of M. Noether and  $H^0(S, L) \rightarrow H^0(C, \omega_C) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\exists$  a polynomial  $P$  of degree  $n+1$  st:

$$f|_C = P(s_0, \dots, s_g)|_C$$

then

$$f - P(s_0, \dots, s_g) \ker(\nu) \cong \text{im}(\mu)$$

by the above exact sequence. By induction hypothesis,

$$f - P(s_0, \dots, s_g) = s \cdot Q((s_0, \dots, s_g))$$

where  $Q$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  and  $s \in H^0(S, L)$ . This completes proof.  $\square$

**Remark:** It's interesting to know the kernel

$$I := \ker(\text{Sym}(H^0(S, L)) \rightarrow \bigoplus H^0(S, L^n))$$

The following result give an answer.

**Theorem 2.4.** *As in theorem 2.2, If  $L^2 \geq 8$ , then  $I$  is generated by elements of degree 2 and 3.  $I$  is generated by degree 2 elements except in following cases:*

(1)  $\exists E$  irreducible curve st:

$$E^2 = 0, \quad E.L = 3$$

(2)  $L \cong \mathcal{O}_S(2B + \Gamma)$  where  $B$  is an irreducible curve of  $g(B) = 2$  and  $B$  is an irreducible rational curve with  $B.\Gamma = 1$

*Proof.*  $\square$

Following [5], we define the Noether-Lefschetz divisor for moduli space  $K_{2l}$  as:

$$NL_{h,d} := \{(S, L) \in K_{2l} : \exists \text{ curve } C \text{ st } : L.C = d, \quad C^2 = 2h - 2\}$$

We summarize the results above in view of moduli space

**Theorem 2.5.**

### 3. EXAMPLES IN LOW DEGREE

For polarization  $(S, L)$ , we call  $L^2 = 2l$  degree. The section is devoted to give some examples of projective model of low degree. These examples are mainly taken from D.Morrison's lecture note2.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  be a double cover branched along a smooth curve  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  of degree  $= 6$ , then by covering we have

$$K_X = \pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^2} \otimes 3H) = \mathcal{O}_X, \quad H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$$

where  $H$  is hyperplane section of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Take

$$L = \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(l))$$

, Then  $(X, L)$  is a degree  $= 2l$  polarized K3. By projection formula,

$$H^0(X, L) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(l) \odot \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(l-3))$$

For  $l = 1, 2$ ,  $L$  is base point free and hyperelliptic

For  $l = 3$ ,  $\varphi_L$  gives an embedding.

Apply Similar covering techniques, we can construct double covering

$$\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

branched over a smooth bidegree  $(2, 2)$  curve  $C$ , then

$$K_X = \pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^1 \otimes \mathbb{P}^1} \otimes \frac{1}{2}C) = \pi^*\mathcal{O}_X(-2, -2) \times \mathcal{O}_X(\frac{1}{2}(4, 4)) = 0$$

**Example 3.2.**  $S := \{f_1 = 0, f_2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ , where  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_4]$  are homogenous polynomial of degree 2, 3 respectively.

By Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem,

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$$

and  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(2 + 3 - 4 - 1) = \mathcal{O}_X$ , thus  $X$  is a K3. Let  $L = i^*(\mathbb{P}^4(l))$ ,  $l > 0$  where  $i : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$  is natural inclusion. Then

$$L^2 = l^2 \deg(X) = 6l^2$$

$(X, L)$  is a polarised K3 of degree  $6l^2$ .

**Example 3.3.** (Kummer surface)

$T := \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$  where  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  is a lattice of rank 4 with bilinear form induced by the inclusion naturally.

$$\iota : T \rightarrow T, \text{ via } z + \Lambda \mapsto -z + \Lambda$$

$\Rightarrow \text{Fix}(\iota) = 16$  and  $\text{Sing}(T/\iota) = \text{Fix}(\iota)$ . Take minimal resolution

$$X \rightarrow T/\iota$$

**Prop:**  $X$  is a K3.

*Proof.*

□

**Remark:** In general, such K3 is not projective.

**Example 3.4.** Set

$$X := Gr(2, 6) \cap \mathbb{P}^8 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{14}$$

with plucker embedding

**Example 3.5.** S.Mukai's examples:

#### 4. CONE STRUCTURES OF K3

**Definition 4.1.**

- The Kahler cone  $\mathcal{K}(X) := \{\omega \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) : \omega \text{ is Kahler form}\}$
- The ample cone  $Amp(X) := \{\}$
- The effective cone  $Eff(X) := \{\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) : \alpha = \sum a_i [C_i], C_i \subset X \text{ Curves}\}$

**Theorem 4.2** (Kovács). *The ample cone of a K3 surface*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P.A.Griffiths, J.Harris *Geometry of algebraic Curve, Vol1.*
- [2] David R.Morrison, *The geometry of K3 surfaces.* 1988
- [3] B.saint,Donat, *projective model of K3 surfaces.* Amer,J,Math.**96**(1974).602-639
- [4] I.Reider, *Vector bundle of rank 2 and linear system on algebraic surface.* Annals of Math.(1988)
- [5] *The cone of curves of a K3 surface.* The cone of curves of a K3 surface. Math. Ann. 300 (1994), no. 4, 681–691. 14J28

SHANGHAI RESEARCH CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, SHANGHAI,  
200433, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA  
*Email address:* 15110840002@fudan.edu.cn