

CS 115 Functional Programming

Lecture 17:

Error-handling Monads (part 2)





Previously

- Error-handling in Haskell
- The error function revisited
- Error-handling in the IO monad
- Extensible exceptions
- The **Either** datatype
- Using (Either e) as an error-handling monad





Today

- More error-handling monads
- Throwing and catching errors in the Either e monad
- The MonadError type class
- Functional dependencies





- We were doing computations involving Integers that could fail in particular ways
- We defined an algebraic datatype called
 ArithmeticError to represent our error values:

```
data ArithmeticError =
    DivideByZero
    | NotDivisible
    -- could define more error values here
    deriving Show
```





We used the Either datatype to define a monad
 Either e (for any error type e), with this definition:

```
instance Monad (Either e) where
Left e >>= _ = Left e
Right v >>= f = f v

return v = Right v
```





• We started with functions like this:

```
g :: Integer -> Integer -> Integer
       -> Either ArithmeticError Integer
g i j k = -- i \dot k + j \dot k 
  case i `safe divide` k of
    Left err1 -> Left err1
    Right q1 ->
      case j `safe divide` k of
        Left err2 -> Left err2
        Right q2 \rightarrow Right (q1 + q2)
```







 We used the Either ArithmeticError monad to simplify g to:



This is much cleaner than the previous version of g



 We used the liftm2 function to simplify g still further to:



- This is almost as simple as the definition
- g i j k = i 'div' k + j 'div' k
- that does no error-handling at all!





So far

- We know how to represent computations that can result in exceptional values using the Either datatype and an error type e
- We know how to combine such computations using the (Either e) monad
- But some critical parts of the error-handling process haven't been dealt with yet



What's missing

- We need to know how to signal an error situation ("throw" an error)
- We need to know how to recover from an error situation ("catch" an error)
- This will take up the entire lecture
- However, don't worry: it's not hard!





MonadError

- Haskell defines a type class called MonadError which defines the throwing and catching functions we need
- Definition:

```
class Monad m => MonadError e m | m -> e where
  throwError :: e -> m a
  catchError :: m a -> (e -> m a) -> m a
```

- Defined in the module Control.Monad.Except
- There's a lot to talk about here!





MonadError

```
class Monad m => MonadError e m | m -> e ...
```

- MonadError is a type class with two type parameters m and e
- m must be an instance of the Monad type class
 - hence the constraint Monad m =>
 - m is therefore a (unary) type constructor
- e is the error type used
- The | m → e stuff is a functional dependency
 - We'll discuss this later in the lecture





```
class Monad m => MonadError e m | m -> e where
  throwError :: e -> m a
  catchError :: m a -> (e -> m a) -> m a
```

- throwError is used to signal an error
- It takes an error value of type e and creates a monadic computation of type m a
- The type a is not relevant, since throwError will not "return" in the normal sense
 - much like the error function has the type String -> a





```
class Monad m => MonadError e m | m -> e where
  throwError :: e -> m a
  catchError :: m a -> (e -> m a) -> m a
```

- catchError is used to recover from an error
- First argument is a monadic computation that may throw an error
- Second argument is an error handler
 - takes an error thrown by the first argument
 - "handles" it to give the final result





Example

 As an example, let's look at our safe_divide function from last time:

 We will use this function to derive the MonadError instance for the Either ArithmeticError monad





Example

Structure of the instance declaration:

```
instance MonadError ArithmeticError (Either ArithmeticError)
where
   throwError err = ...
catchError val handler = ...
```

- Note that MonadError takes two type parameters:
 - the Either ArithmeticError monad
 - the ArithmeticError error type
- You might think that these need to be related
 - And they do! (We'll get back to this)





throwError for this instance will have the type:

```
throwError :: ArithmeticError -> Either ArithmeticError a
```

- Therefore, whatever the result type of throwError, it cannot depend on the monadic result type a
- Therefore, how can we fill in this definition:

```
throwError err = ???
```

• ?





```
throwError err = ???
```

 Recall that to signal e.g. a divide-by-zero error in safe divide, we have

```
safe_divide _ 0 = Left DivideByZero
```

We could rewrite this as:

```
safe divide 0 = throwError DivideByZero
```

from which we get the definition:

```
throwError err = Left err
```

Or just:

```
throwError = Left
```





Let's rewrite safe_divide using throwError:





- This is OK, but the Right constructor seems out of place
- Recall that return in this monad is just Right
- This leads to the next version:





- This is extremely generic code!
- In fact, (without the type signature) it would work for any instance of MonadError where the error type is ArithmeticError
- Knowing this, we can rewrite the code again





```
safe_divide :: MonadError ArithmeticError m =>
   Integer -> Integer -> m Integer

safe_divide _ 0 = throwError DivideByZero

safe_divide i j | i `mod` j /= 0 = throwError NotDivisible

safe divide i j = return (i `div` j)
```

All we have changed is the type signature



```
safe_divide :: MonadError ArithmeticError m =>
   Integer -> Integer -> m Integer
safe_divide _ 0 = throwError DivideByZero
safe_divide i j | i `mod` j /= 0 = throwError NotDivisible
safe_divide i j = return (i `div` j)
```

- Two questions remain:
 - 1. How do we know that m is a monad?
 - 2. How do we know that this monad is somehow connected to ArithmeticError (like Either ArithmeticError is)?





- How do we know that m is a monad?
- We might expect that the type signature would have to be

```
safe_divide :: (Monad m, MonadError ArithmeticError m) =>
Integer -> Integer -> m Integer
```

- This is unnecessary because the class definition is:
- class Monad m => MonadError e m ...
- Conclusion: if ArithmeticError and m are an instance of MonadError, m must be a monad





- How do we know that the monad m is somehow connected to the error type ArithmeticError?
- This will be revealed shortly when we discuss functional dependencies
- For now, let's move on to error recovery using catchError





- Let's look at how catchError would work for the specific types:
 - ArithmeticError as the error type
 - Either ArithmeticError as the monad
- In this case, catchError has this type signature:

```
catchError :: Either ArithmeticError Integer ->
   (ArithmeticError -> Either ArithmeticError Integer) ->
   Either ArithmeticError Integer
```





- The first argument to catchError is the result of a computation in the Either ArithmeticError monad
- It will be
 - Left err if an error occurred
 - Right val if not
- If no error occurred, then the error handler (second argument to catchError) is never called, and the value Right val is the result of the entire call to catchError



- If an error did occur, the error value must be unpacked from the Left err value and passed as the argument to the error handler
- The result of this function application will be the result of the entire call to catchError
- Together, this gives us the definition of catchError we want



```
catchError :: Either ArithmeticError Integer ->
   (ArithmeticError -> Either ArithmeticError Integer) ->
   Either ArithmeticError Integer
catchError (Left err) h = h err
catchError (Right val) _ = Right val
```

And that's it!



```
catchError :: Either ArithmeticError Integer ->
   (ArithmeticError -> Either ArithmeticError Integer) ->
   Either ArithmeticError Integer
catchError (Left err) h = h err
catchError (Right val) _ = Right val
```

 We have defined a complete exception handling system as a couple of trivial functions!



```
catchError :: Either ArithmeticError Integer ->
   (ArithmeticError -> Either ArithmeticError Integer) ->
   Either ArithmeticError Integer
catchError (Left err) h = h err
catchError (Right val) _ = Right val
```

 (Contrast with most languages, where exception handling is a complex feature which must be "baked into" the language)





MonadError

The entire instance definition is:

```
instance MonadError (Either ArithmeticError)
where
   throwError = Left
   catchError (Left err) h = h err
   catchError (Right val) _ = Right val
```

In fact, none of this is specific to
 ArithmeticError, so we can generalize this further





MonadError

 The most general instance definition of MonadError for the (Either e) monad is:

```
instance MonadError (Either e)
where
   throwError = Left
   catchError (Left err) h = h err
   catchError (Right val) _ = Right val
```

This works for all error-handling monads of the form
 (Either e) where e is the error type





Error handling

- In reality, most of the complexity is being handled by the error handling function
- Consider our previous function divide:
 - we caught NotDivisible errors and divided the numbers, throwing out the remainder (no longer an error)
 - we let DivideByZero errors pass through (i.e. it's still an error)
- What would the error handling function look like?





Error handling

First try:

- Not clear what to do in the second case
- Before we deal with this, let's clean up the code by getting rid of the Left/Right constructors





Error handling

Second try:

- Problem with the second case:
- We don't have the information needed to recover from the error!
- In previous functions, we have been acquiring this information from an outer scope (not available here)





 To fix this, we need to augment our error type to contain information needed to recover from the error:

```
data ArithmeticError =
    DivideByZero
    | NotDivisible Integer Integer
    -- save the indivisible values
    deriving Show
```



Using this, we need to rewrite safe_divide again:

- Now the NotDivisible error contains all the information needed to recover from the error
- Let's go back to our error handler





Third try:

 Now we can write our divide function from last time as:

```
divide :: Integer -> Integer
     -> Either ArithmeticError Integer
divide i j = catchError (i `safe_divide` j) handler
```





 We can make this a bit prettier by putting the catchError after the main computation:



We can inline the definition of handler:





- In this case, we have i and j available from context,
 so NotDivisible doesn't need arguments anymore
 - Can change the definition of ArithmeticError back to what it was before (design choices!)





 Note the overall structure of functions that handle errors:





 Very reminiscent of e.g. Java exception handling except that multiple catch clauses have been combined into a single error handler that handles all cases





- We still haven't dealt with one issue: the relationship between the error type e and the monad type constructor m in the MonadError type class
- We have seen the case where
 - m is Either ArithmeticError
 - e is ArithmeticError
- It makes sense that there should be a relationship between these two entities
- The question: what kind of a relationship should there be, and how can we enforce it?





 Let's look again at the throwError function for our monad:

It should be clear that if we are using the Either
 ArithmeticError monad, we can only throw errors of the type ArithmeticError into the monadic computations





- We want to be able to enforce a constraint that says: for this monad type (Either ArithmeticError) we want to be certain that only ArithmeticError errors are used with it
- We could generalize this to say that for any
 MonadError instance of the form (Either e) we
 can only use error type e as the error type
- Haskell's solution is even more general than this!





Recall the class definition for MonadError:

```
class Monad m => MonadError e m | m -> e where
  throwError :: e -> m a
  catchError :: m a -> (e -> m a) -> m a
```



Consider the first line:

```
class Monad m => MonadError e m | m -> e where
```

- The | m -> e part is a functional dependency (also known as a fundep)
- It enforces a very general relationship between the monadic type constructor m and the error type e



class Monad m => MonadError e m | m -> e where

- This constraint does not say anything about the internal structure of monad m, or that it has to somehow "contain" the type e
- What it does say is that monad m determines the type e
- So: if you know what m is, there can be one and only one choice for e
- If you define two instances with the same m but different es, it will not compile!





```
    class Monad m => MonadError e m | m -> e where
    In our case, we defined this instance:

            instance MonadError ArithmeticError
                 (Either ArithmeticError)

    Given this, we could later also define

            instance MonadError String (Either String)
            but we couldn't define
```

(Either ArithmeticError)

because that would violate the functional dependency



instance MonadError String



- Note that there is no requirement that we define the "sensible" instance first!
- We could start by defining the stupid instance

and if so, we couldn't later define the sensible instance

 It's up to you to use the power of fundeps correctly to prevent users from defining stupid instances





- Imagine if we didn't use fundeps with MonadError
- We could then define these two instances:

Then in a particular computation in the Either
 ArithmeticError monad, we have no idea what kind of errors could be thrown!





- We could legitimately try to catch errors of type String inside a computation that throws errors of type ArithmeticError, which will not work properly
- Functional dependencies prevent problems like this
- They allow the programmer to state the relationship between types / type constructors in a multiparameter type class so that everything behaves correctly (and enforceably!)



- Functional dependencies have more uses than just in error-handling monads
- They are a basic tool when working with multiparameter type classes to express relationships between the type (or type constructor) variables of the type class
- We will revisit them in the discussion of state monads



Using Either as a monad

- We don't actually have to define Either e as a monad
- You can just import the module Control.Monad.Except
 - in older versions of GHC, this was Control.Monad.Error, which still exists but is deprecated



Trade-offs

- This form of exception handling is very simple, but not as powerful as many built-in exception handling systems
- Notice that we had to handle all cases of exceptions in our exception handler, even ones that just propagated through the computation
- Also, propagating exceptions have to go through each function they propagate through
 - can't just "jump down" to the right point in the stack
 - therefore somewhat inefficient





Coming up

- Existential types!
 - How to simulate dynamic types in a statically-typed language
- State monads!
 - How to simulate state passing in a non-imperative language

