

Assignment
<b>Peer Assessment Form</b>

<b>Self Review</b>	
Student Name: Reem	Role: Requirements Analyst
Student Number: s5341074	Grade [Out of 10]: 8.5
<p>Comments:</p> <p>My performance in this sprint/milestone was effective in getting the required work done, however I found that I procrastinated. As the requirements analyst, I was responsible for the User Stories and FURPS framework and I was able to complete them to a high standard via asking peers around me for 'testing' but getting the Use Case diagram completed took a couple days longer than expected and in return, slightly delayed the group. I also missed the first stakeholder meeting however for all these issues I communicated adequately in the teams group chat to ensure my progress is known, and when tasks were completed.</p>	

<b>Peer Review</b>	
Student Name: Chanutda	Role: Project Manager
Student Number: s5313405	Grade [Out of 10]: 10
<p>Comments:</p> <p>Chaan was the project manager of the group, and I feel as if she highly exceeded our expectations! Not only was she on top of all her tasks quickly, but she also organised the group well. Her Kanban and Gantt chart were made well, and I found myself looking back at it over the past couple weeks when deducing which of my tasks are due. Further, she explained any issues we had to her best ability and offered her assistance in my own tasks when explaining I had an exam due the same day. All of her work was impressive and detailed, and I truly believe she would make an amazing manager post-study.</p>	

<b>Peer Review</b>	
Student Name: Satyam	Role: Developer (Front End UI/UX):
Student Number: s5330583	Grade [Out of 10]: 8.5
<p>Comments:</p> <p>Satyam was the main developer of the Front-End system, and although this sprint didn't focus on making the prototypes, he provided his assistance in the planning stage. Where I was responsible for gathering the requirements, Satty continued to ask whether I needed help and gave his own insights on what we should do. He also developed the activity UML with Luke, asking for feedback when stuck and wrote the required explanation paragraph to further explain his work. My only mention is that he needed to be guided with the UMLs which he mentioned was due to working which is understandable. He also communicated his progress well on the group chat and the stakeholder meetings.</p>	

<b>Peer Review</b>	
Student Name: Luke	Role: Developer (Backend Systems):
Student Number: s5341152	Grade [Out of 10]: 8.5

Comments:

Luke was responsible for being the developer of the Backend Systems, where similarly to Satyam, wasn't needed yet to be submitted in this sprint. He still showed to each stakeholder meeting and when I asked about requirements as a stakeholder, he provided accurate examples I was able to use. Further, Luke helped to develop the activity diagram UML with Satyam and managed to complete the UML state diagram in a fast manner whilst asking for help. Although there isn't much to grade Luke in, he was still a supportive team member.