Initialization & References

Fun times!



masks strongly recommended

Attendance

bit.ly/3iyTlzk

Square Hole Video



Today



- Initialization

- Using auto
- References
- If time: Const

Definition

Initialization: How we provide initial values to variables

Reminder: Structs in Code

```
struct Student {
  string name; // these are called fields
  string state; // separate these by semicolons
  int age;
Student s;
s.name = "Sarah";
s.state = "CA";
s.age = 21; // use . to access fields
```

Recall: Two ways to initialize a struct

```
Student s; // initialization after we declare
 s.name = "Sarah";
 s.state = "CA";
 s.age = 21;
 //is the same as ...
 Student s = \{ "Sarah", "CA", 21 \};
// initialization while we declare
```

Multiple ways to initialize a pair...

```
std::pair<int, string> numSuffix1 = {1, "st"};
std::pair<int, string> numSuffix2;
numSuffix2.first = 2;
numSuffix2.second = "nd";
std::pair<int, string> numSuffix2 =
                       std::make pair(3, "rd");
```

Definition

Uniform initialization: curly bracket initialization. Available for all types, immediate initialization on declaration!

Uniform Initialization

```
std::vector<int> vec{1,3,5};
std::pair<int, string> numSuffix1{1,"st"};
Student s{"Sarah", "CA", 21};
// less common/nice for primitive types, but
possible!
int x\{5\};
string f{"Sarah"};
```

Careful with Vector initialization!

```
std::vector<int> vec1(3,5);
// makes \{5, 5, 5\}, not \{3, 5\}!
// uses a std::initializer list (more later)
std::vector<int> vec2{3,5};
// makes \{3, 5\}
```

TLDR: use uniform initialization to initialize every field of your non-primitive typed variables - but be careful not to use vec(n, k)!

Questions?

Today



Initialization

- Using auto
- References
- If time: Const

Recap: Type Deduction with auto

Definition

auto: Keyword used in lieu of type when declaring a variable, tells the compiler to deduce the type.

Type Deduction using auto

```
// What types are these?
auto a = 3;
auto b = 4.3;
auto c = 'X';
auto d = "Hello";
auto e = std::make_pair(3, "Hello");
```

auto does not mean that the variable doesn't have a type.

It means that the type is **deduced** by the compiler.

Type Deduction using auto

```
// What types are these?
auto a = 3; // int
auto b = 4.3; // double
auto c = 'X'; // char
auto d = "Hello"; // char* (a C string)
auto e = std::make pair(3, "Hello");
// std::pair<int, char*>
```

It means that the type is **deduced** by the compiler.

auto does not mean that the variable doesn't have a type.

le auto does not mean that the variable doesn't have a type.

It means that the type is **deduced** by the compiler.

When should we use auto?

Code Demo! quadratic.cpp

a general quadratic equation can always be written:

$$ax^2 + bx + c = 0$$

Radical

the solutions to a general quadratic equation are:

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

If Radical < 0, no real roots

Quadratic: Typing these types out is a pain...

```
int main() {
   int a, b, c;
   std::cin >> a >> b >> c;
   std::pair<bool, std::pair<double, double>> result =
                                               quadratic(a, b, c);
   bool found = result.first;
   if (found) {
      std::pair<double, double> solutions = result.second;
      std::cout << solutions.first << solutions.second << endl;</pre>
   } else {
      std::cout << "No solutions found!" << endl;
```

Quadratic: Typing these types out is a pain...

```
int main() {
   int a, b, c;
                                                    Cleaner!
   std::cin >> a >> b >> c;
  auto result = quadratic(a, b, c);
  bool found = result.first;
   if (found) {
      auto solutions = result.second;
      std::cout << solutions.first << solutions.second << endl;</pre>
   } else {
      std::cout << "No solutions found!" << endl;
```

Don't overuse auto

Don't overuse auto!

```
int main() {
   auto a, b, c;
   std::cin >> a >> b >> c;
   auto result = quadratic(a, b, c);
   bool found = result.first;
   if (found) {
      auto solutions = result.second;
      std::cout << solutions.first << solutions.second << endl;</pre>
   } else {
      std::cout << "No solutions found!" << endl;
```

Can't deduce the type b/c no value provided

```
int main() {
                                          ERROR!
  auto a, b, c; //compile error!
   std::cin >> a >> b >> c;
  auto result = quadratic(a, b, c);
  bool found = result.first;
   if (found) {
      auto solutions = result.second;
      std::cout << solutions.first << solutions.second << endl;</pre>
   } else {
      std::cout << "No solutions found!" << endl;
```

For simple types (like bool) type it out

```
int main() {
                                                LESS CLEAR
   int a, b, c;
   std::cin >> a >> b >> c;
  auto result = quadratic(a, b, c);
   auto found = result.first; //code less clear :/
   if (found) {
      auto solutions = result.second;
      std::cout << solutions.first << solutions.second << endl;</pre>
   } else {
      std::cout << "No solutions found!" << endl;
```

Don't overuse auto

...but use it to reduce long type names

Questions?

Structured Binding

Structured binding lets you initialize directly from the contents of a struct

Before

```
auto p =
    std::make_pair("s", 5);
string a = p.first;
int b = p.second;
```

After

```
auto p =
   std::make_pair("s", 5);
auto [a, b] = p;
// a is string, b is int
// auto [a, b] =
   std::make_pair(...);
```

This works for regular structs, too. Also, no nested structured binding.

A better way to use quadratic...

```
int main() {
   int a, b, c;
   std::cin >> a >> b >> c;
   auto result = quadratic(a, b, c);
   bool found = result.first;
   if (found) {
      auto solutions = result.second;
      std::cout << solutions.first << solutions.second << endl;</pre>
   } else {
      std::cout << "No solutions found!" << endl;
```

Using Structured Binding

```
int main() {
   int a, b, c;
   std::cin >> a >> b >> c;
   auto [found, solutions] = quadratic(a, b, c);
   if (found) {
      auto [x1, x2] = solutions;
      std::cout << x1 << " " << x2 << endl;
   } else {
      std::cout << "No solutions found!" << endl;</pre>
```

This is better is because it's *semantically clearer*: variables have clear names.

Questions?

Today



- Initialization
- Using auto
- References
- If time: Const

Definition

Reference: An alias (another name) for a named variable

References in 106B

```
void changeX(int& x) { // changes to x will persist
  x = 0;
void keepX(int x) {
  x = 0;
int a = 100;
int b = 100;
changeX(a); // a becomes a reference to x
keepX(b); // b becomes a copy of x
cout << a << endl; //0
cout << b << endl; //100
```

Standard C++ vector (intro)

Stanford Vector vs Standard std::vector

```
Vector<int> v;
Vector<int> v(n, k);
v.add(k);
v[i] = k;
auto k = v[i];
v.isEmpty();
v.size();
v.clear();
v.insert(i, k);
v.remove(i);
```

```
std::vector<int> v;
std::vector<int> v(n, k);
v.push back(k);
v[i] = k;
auto k = v[i];
v.empty();
v.size();
v.clear();
// stay tuned
// stay tuned
```

References in 106L: References to variables

```
vector<int> original{1, 2};
vector<int> copy = original;
vector<int>& ref = original;
original.push back(3);
copy.push back(4);
ref.push back(5);
cout << original << endl;</pre>
cout << copy << endl;
cout << ref << endl;</pre>
```

```
vector<int> original{1, 2};
vector<int> copy = original;
vector<int>& ref = original;
original.push back(3);
copy.push back(4);
ref.push back(5);
cout << original << endl; // {1, 2, 3, 5}
cout << copy << endl;
cout << ref << endl;</pre>
```

```
vector<int> original{1, 2};
vector<int> copy = original;
vector<int>& ref = original;
original.push back(3);
copy.push back(4);
ref.push back(5);
cout << original << endl; // {1, 2, 3, 5}
cout << copy << endl; // {1, 2, 4}
cout << ref << endl;</pre>
```

```
vector<int> original{1, 2};
vector<int> copy = original;
vector<int>& ref = original;
original.push back(3);
copy.push back(4);
ref.push back(5);
cout << original << endl; // {1, 2, 3, 5}
cout << copy << endl;</pre>
                       // {1, 2, 4}
cout << ref << endl;</pre>
                        // {1, 2, 3, 5}
```

```
vector<int> original{1, 2};
                              "=" automatically makes
vector<int> copy = original; *
avoid this.
original.push back(3);
copy.push back(4);
ref.push back(5);
cout << original << endl; // {1, 2, 3, 5}
cout << copy << endl;</pre>
                        // {1, 2, 4}
cout << ref << endl;</pre>
                       // {1, 2, 3, 5}
```

The classic reference-copy bug 1.0:

```
void shift(vector<std::pair<int, int>>& nums) {
   for (size_t i = 0; i < nums.size(); ++i) {
      auto [num1, num2] = nums[i];
      num1++;
      num2++;
   }
}</pre>
```

The classic reference-copy bug 1.0:

```
void shift(vector<std::pair<int, int>>& nums) {
   for (size t i = 0; i < nums.size(); ++i) {</pre>
      auto [num1, num2] = nums[i];
      num1++;
      num2++;
                                     This creates a copy of the
                                            course
         This is updating that same
                 copy!
```

The classic reference-copy bug 1.0: Fixed

```
void shift(vector<std::pair<int, int>>& nums) {
    for (size_t i = 0; i < nums.size(); ++i) {
        auto      [num1, num2] = nums[i];
        num1++;
        num2++;
    }
}</pre>
```

The classic reference-copy bug 2.0:

```
void shift(vector<std::pair<int, int>>& nums) {
   for (auto [num1, num2]: nums) {
      num1++;
      num2++;
   }
}
```

The classic reference-copy bug 2.0:

```
void shift(vector<std::pair<int, int>>& nums) {
   for (auto [num1, num2]: nums) {
      num1++;
      num2++;
                                     This creates a copy of the
         This is updating that same
                                            course
                 copy!
```

The classic reference-copy bug 2.0, fixed:

```
void shift(vector<std::pair<int, int>>& nums) {
   for (auto [num1, num2]: nums) {
      num1++;
      num2++;
   }
}
```

Definition: **1-values** vs **r-values**

- I-values can appear on theleft or right of an =
- x is an **I-value**

```
int x = 3;
int y = x;
```

I-values have names

I-values are **not temporary**

Definition: **1-values** vs **r-values**

- I-values can appear on theleft or right of an =
- x is an **I-value**

```
int x = 3;
int y = x;
```

I-values have names

I-values are **not temporary**

- r-values can ONLY appear on the right of an =
- 3 is an **r-value**

```
int x = 3;
int y = x;
```

r-values don't have names

r-values are temporary

The classic reference-rvalue error

```
void shift(vector<std::pair<int, int>>& nums) {
  for (auto& [num1, num2]: nums) {
     num1++;
     num2++;
shift({{1, 1}});
```

The classic reference-rvalue error

```
void shift(vector<std::pair<int, int>>& nums) {
  for (auto& [num1, num2]: nums) {
     num1++;
     num2++;
shift({{1, 1}});
```

// {{1, 1}} is an rvalue, it can't be referenced

The classic reference-rvalue error, fixed

```
void shift(vector<pair<int, int>>& nums) {
  for (auto& [num1, num2]: nums) {
     num1++;
     num2++;
auto my nums = \{\{1, 1\}\};
shift(my nums);
```

Note: You can only create references to variables

```
int& thisWontWork = 5; // This doesn't work!
```

Questions?

Today



- **Initialization**
- - Using auto
- References
- If time: Const

BONUS: Const and Const References

```
std::vector<int> vec{1, 2, 3};
const std::vector<int> c vec{7, 8}; // a const variable
const std::vector<int>& c ref = vec; // a const reference
vec.push back (3);
c vec.push back(3);
ref.push back(3);
c ref.push back(3);
```

```
std::vector<int> vec{1, 2, 3};
const std::vector<int> c vec{7, 8}; // a const variable
const std::vector<int>& c ref = vec; // a const reference
vec.push back(3); // OKAY
c vec.push back(3);
ref.push back(3);
c ref.push back(3);
```

```
std::vector<int> vec{1, 2, 3};
const std::vector<int> c vec{7, 8}; // a const variable
const std::vector<int>& c ref = vec; // a const reference
vec.push back(3); // OKAY
c vec.push back(3); // BAD - const
ref.push back (3);
c ref.push back(3);
```

```
std::vector<int> vec{1, 2, 3};
const std::vector<int> c vec{7, 8}; // a const variable
const std::vector<int>& c ref = vec; // a const reference
vec.push back(3); // OKAY
c_vec.push back(3); // BAD - const
ref.push back(3); // OKAY
c ref.push back(3);
```

```
std::vector<int> vec{1, 2, 3};
const std::vector<int> c vec{7, 8}; // a const variable
const std::vector<int>& c ref = vec; // a const reference
vec.push back(3); // OKAY
c_vec.push back(3); // BAD - const
ref.push back(3); // OKAY
c ref.push back(3); // BAD - const
```

Can't declare non-const reference to const variable!

```
const std::vector<int> c_vec{7, 8}; // a const variable

// BAD - can't declare non-const ref to const vector

std::vector<int>& bad_ref = c_vec;
```

Can't declare non-const reference to const variable!

```
const std::vector<int> c_vec{7, 8}; // a const variable

// fixed
const std::vector<int>& bad_ref = c_vec;
```

Can't declare non-const reference to const variable!

```
const std::vector<int> c vec{7, 8}; // a const variable
// fixed
const std::vector<int>& bad ref = c vec;
// BAD - Can't declare a non-const reference as equal
// to a const reference!
std::vector<int>& ref = c ref;
```

const & subtleties

```
std::vector<int> vec{1, 2, 3};
const std::vector<int> c vec{7, 8};
std::vector<int>& ref = vec;
const std::vector<int>& c ref = vec;
const auto copy = c ref; // a const copy
const auto& c aref = ref; // a const reference
```

Remember: C++, by default, makes copies when we do variable assignment! We need to use & if we need references instead.

When do we use references/const references?

- If we're working with a variable that takes up little space in memory (e.g. int, double), we don't need to use a reference and can just copy the variable
- If we need to alias the variable to modify it, we can use references
- If we don't need to modify the variable, but it's a big variable (e.g. std::vector), we can use const references

You can return references as well!

```
// Note that the parameter must be a non-const reference to return
// a non-const reference to one of its elements!
int& front(std::vector<int>& vec) {
    // assuming vec.size() > 0
    return vec[0];
int main() {
    std::vector<int> numbers{1, 2, 3};
    front (numbers) = 4; // vec = \{4, 2, 3\}
    return 0;
```

Can also return const references

```
const int& front(std::vector<int>& vec) {
    // assuming vec.size() > 0
    return vec[0];
}
```

Questions?

Recap:

- Uniform Initialization

- A "uniform" way to initialize variables of different types!

- References

- Allow us to alias variables

- Const

- Allow us to specify that a variable can't be modified