


## Background and Motivation

- Autonomous control of vehicles is critical for missions
  - Typical operations require extensive planning and human interaction
  - Vehicles must operate safely in hazardous environments
  - Applicable to under-water, aerial, and spacecraft scenarios
- Key technology for autonomy is large angle reorientations in the presence of obstacles
  - Spacecraft have sensitive payloads e.g. optical sensors
  - Reorient while not pointing in dangerous directions e.g. Sun, Moon



Hubble



Agile S/C

- Problem: reorient a vehicle while avoiding certain directions
  - Sensor exclusion zone around the Sun
  - UAVs maneuvering in restricted and congested locations
  - Laser emitters on industrial robots

## Spacecraft Orientation

- Rigid body attitude dynamics** has been extensively studied
- Configuration manifold is curved and nonlinear
  - Dynamics evolve on the Special Orthogonal Group: SO(3)
  - Unique properties: cannot be represented as a linear vector space
- Previous work is based on reduced attitude representations
  - Euler angles: 24 possible combinations which suffer singularities
  - Quaternions: no singularities but double cover SO(3)
- Geometric control**: the development of control systems for systems evolving on nonlinear manifolds
  - Many systems cannot be defined correctly on Euclidean spaces
  - Innovative techniques avoid ambiguities and local coordinates and exactly describe the evolution of the system

## Attitude Dynamics

- Spacecraft is modeled as a rigid body rotating about its center of mass described by the Special Orthogonal Group

$$SO(3) = \{R \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \mid R^T R = I, \det R = 1\}$$

- Euler's equations** of motion govern the dynamics of a rigid body

$$J\dot{\Omega} + \Omega \times J\Omega = u + W(R, \Omega)\Delta, \\ \dot{R} = R\hat{\Omega},$$

- $R \in SO(3)$  defines the orientation of the spacecraft with respect to an inertial reference frame
- $W(R, \Omega)\Delta$  models a wide range of external disturbances
  - Solar radiation pressure (SRP)
  - Gravity gradient moment
  - Air turbulence and gusts
  - Unknown mass distribution

## Adaptive Attitude Control with Collision Avoidance


- Constraint is defined in terms of unit-vectors on the two-sphere:

$$S^2 = \{q \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \|q\| = 1\}$$


- We wish to avoid pointing spacecraft in a particular direction
  - Sensitive optical sensor -  $r \in S^2$  defines the sensor direction
  - Constraint direction -  $v \in S^2$  defines direction to distant object
- Hard cone constraint** - strictly avoid pointing sensor towards the celestial object

$$r^T R^T v \leq \cos \theta$$


- Logarithmic barrier function causes the error to grow as  $r^T R^T v \rightarrow \cos \theta$ 
  - $B(R) \rightarrow \infty$  as the constraint boundary is neared  $r^T R^T v \rightarrow \cos \theta$
  - $B(R)$  has little impact on  $\Psi$  when far from constraint as the logarithmic function quickly decays



Attractive  $A(R)$



Repulsive  $B(R)$



Configuration  $\Psi$

- We can easily generalize this technique to an arbitrary number of constraints

$$\Psi = A \left[ 1 + \sum_i C_i \right] \text{ where } C_i = B - 1$$

- Lyapunov analysis is used to derive an adaptive control scheme which guarantees stability in the face of disturbances and obstacles


$$u = -k_R e_R - k_\Omega e_\Omega + \Omega \times J\Omega - W\bar{\Delta} \\ \dot{\bar{\Delta}} = k_\Delta W^T (e_\Omega + c e_R)$$

## Numerical Simulation


- Geometric Adaptive Controller** is able to stabilize the rigid body while avoiding multiple constraints with a fixed but unknown external disturbance

$$\text{Initial: } R_0 = \exp(225^\circ \times \frac{\pi}{180} \hat{e}_3) \quad \text{Final: } R_d = I \quad \text{Disturbance: } \Delta = [0.2 \ 0.2 \ 0.2]^T$$


- The adaptive controller accurately accounts for the disturbance and ensures all constraints are satisfied



Configuration error  $\Psi$




Disturbance estimate  $\bar{\Delta}$



Attitude trajectory

## UAV Validation


- Hexrotor UAV developed by the [Flight Dynamics and Controls Laboratory](#)
  - Three pairs of counter-rotating propellers
  - Attached to a spherical joint to emulate a fully actuated rigid body
  - Onboard computer module receives measurements from Vicon motion capture system and computes control input in real-time




Attitude control testbed

- Hexrotor rotates about vertical axis while automatically avoiding the obstacle

$$\text{Initial: } R_0 = \exp(\frac{\pi}{2} \hat{e}_3) \quad \text{Final: } R_d = I$$



Configuration error  $\Psi$



Attitude Trajectory

- Adaptive controller is robust to uncertainties and disturbances

## Conclusions

- Constrained geometric adaptive controller on SO(3)
  - Completely avoids singularities and ambiguities
  - Geometrically exact and conceptually simple attitude controller
  - Automatically satisfies multiple constraints without added complexity
- Obstacle avoidance computed in real-time with on-board software
  - Typical planning methods are only able to determine an obstacle-free path after multiple iterations and extensive computation
  - Large computation costs limit these methods to a priori calculation and make responsive control impossible
  - Randomized search algorithms can only offer a stochastic guarantee of convergence as the computation time increases
- Our control system is capable of handling any number of obstacles and offers a rigorous stability proof
  - Ideal for challenging scenarios with multiple obstacles or an environment which requires complex control
  - Computationally efficient and ideal for embedded systems with energy or computation limitations
  - Stability proof ensures maneuvers always satisfy pointing constraints