Predictive Capacity of Meteorological Data

Will it rain tomorrow?

Bilal Ahmed

Department of Computing and Information Systems
The University of Melbourne
Victoria, Australia
bahmad@student.unimelb.edu.au

Abstract—With the availability of high precision digital sensors and cheap storage medium, it is not uncommon to find large amounts of data collected on almost all measurable attributes, both in nature and man-made habitats. Weather in particular has been an area of keen interest for researchers to develop more accurate and reliable prediction models. This paper presents a set of experiments which involve the use of prevalent machine learning techniques to build models to predict the day of the week given the weather data for that particular day i.e. temperature, wind, rain etc., and test their reliability across four cities in Australia {Brisbane, Adelaide, Perth, Hobart}. The results provide a comparison of accuracy of these machine learning techniques and their reliability to predict the day of the week by analysing the weather data. We then apply the models to predict weather conditions based on the available data.

Keywords—Predictive Analytics; Data Mining; Big Data; Data Modelling; Naïve Bayes; Weka; Random Forests; J48; IB1

I. INTRODUCTION

Weather is perhaps the most commonly encountered natural phenomenon which affects a large proportion of the human population on a daily basis. Given the large number of variables which may contribute to the overall weather of a given location, it is quite challenging to accurately predict what the weather would be like on a given day and the day of the week based on the given weather conditions.

For our experiments we train our classifiers using historical data to:

- 1) Predict the day of the week {Mon, Tue, Wed, Thu, Fri, Sat, Sun} by analysing the given weather conditions for that day which includes temperature, rain, wind and time of the year among other attributes.
- 2) Predict weather conditions for a given day i.e. the likelihood of rain, wind and temperature range.
- 3) Test the robustness of these models by applying them across various cities in Australia and compare their results.

II. CLASSIFIERS

The following classification algorithms have been used to build prediction models to perform the experiments:

A. Naïve Bayes (NB)

Naïve Bayes classifier is a simple probabilistic classifier based on applying Bayes' theorem with strong (naive)

independence assumptions i.e. the classifier assumes that the presence (or absence) of a particular feature of a class is unrelated to the presence (or absence) of any other feature, given the class variable. It is simple to build and fast to make decisions. It efficiently accommodates new data by changing the associated probabilities.

B. Random Forests (RF)

Random Forests classifier is a variant of the decision tree classification model. It operates by constructing a multitude of decision trees at training time and outputting the class that is the mode of the classes output by individual trees. This method is similar to bagging in many respects but the construction of each tree is different to the standard decision tree method. Random Forests are shown to be one of the best classification methods experimentally.

C. J48

J48 classifier is a variant of the decision tree classification model and is based on C4.5 algorithm. The C4.5 algorithm generates a classification-decision tree for the given data-set by recursive partitioning of data. The decision is grown using depth-first strategy. J48 employs two pruning methods to reduce the size of the generated decision trees. The first is known as sub-tree replacement and the second is termed sub-tree rising.

D. *IB1*

IB1 classifier is an instance based learner, based on simple Euclidean distance. IB1 uses a simple distance measure to find the training instance closest to the given test instance, and predicts the same class as the training instance. If multiple instances are the same (smallest) distance to the test instance, the first one found is used.

III. METHODOLOGY

The classifiers described in Section 2 are trained on a range of datasets to predict the day of the week based on the weather conditions. The algorithms are compared based on the accuracy of their results. We further investigate the correlation between the discretisation techniques and the accuracy of the results.

A. Pre-processing

Following steps have been applied to pre-process the datasets

1) Missing Values: The missing values for attributes in the dataset are replaced with the modes and means based on

existing data. The ReplaceMissiongValues filter in Weka¹ is used to replace values for missing attributes in the dataset. Adding the missing values provides a more complete dataset for the classifiers to be trained on.

- 2) Discretisation: The following two techniques were applied to discretise the attributes which were originally in continuous form. 相当于是聚类
- a) Unsupervised Discretisation is used to discretise attributes into the following "groups" or bins:
 - 10 bins High resolution
 - 4 bins Medium resolution
 - 2 bins Low resolution
 - 1 bin (similar to supervised discretisation)

b) Supervised Discretisation: The classifiers are also trained on data discretised using supervised discretisation technique.

For instance following results are obtained when unsupervised discretisation is applied to the attribute (F4) which represents the aggregate precipitation (in mm), given in the training data set for Brisbane.

• Rainfall data discretised into 10 separate categories or ranges, i.e. from 0 to 0.5 mm, 0.5 to 5.5 and so on.

Missing: 0	(0%) Distinct: 10	Unique: 0 (0%)
No.	Label	Count
1	'(-inf-0.5]'	11378
2	'(0.5-5.5]'	735
3	'(5.5-12.5]'	682
4	'(12.5-29.5]'	645
5	'(29.5-59.5]'	650
6	'(59.5-115]'	681
7	'(115-184.389639]'	372
8	'(184.389639-184.8	1170
9	'(184.889639-452]'	585
10	'(452-inf)'	582

Fig. 1. Rainfall data discretized into 10 categories

 Rainfall data discretised into 4 separate categories or ranges.

Name: Missing:	TO THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF	Type: Nominal Unique: 0 (0%)
No.	Label	Count
	1 '(-inf-0.5]'	11378
	2 '(0.5-26.5]'	2039
	3 '(26.5-184.389639]'	1726
	4 '(184.389639-inf)'	2337

Fig. 2. Rainfall data discretized into 4 categories

Rainfall data discretised into 2 separate categories or ranges

Name Missing	: F4 : 0 (0%)	Distinct: 2	Type: Nominal Unique: 0 (0%)
No.	Label		Count
	1 '(-inf-0.5]'	11378
	2 '(0.5-inf)	'	6102

Fig. 3. Rainfall data discretized into 2 categories

As can be observed higher bin values provide higher resolution in terms of categorisation. For example by discretising data into 10 bins we get a much higher resolution as compared to when the attribute values are discretised into 2 bins. In the latter case, the data is divided into two very broad categories .i.e. (0 - 0.5mm) and (0.5mm - higher) and hence provides results of coarse resolution for the attribute. For instance, using the 2 bins approach we can only predict how likely it was to rain either more or less than 0.5 mm, since we only have two categories (0 - 0.5 mm) and (0.5 mm - higher). On the other hand discretising into 10 bins provides higher resolution results; which does not necessarily mean higher accuracy. We use this knowledge when we try to predict rain, temperature and wind for a given day as part of our experiments. This is further discussed in Section 4.2 and the results in Section 4.2 (Result Set 2) further elaborate on this discussion.

The choice of discretisation resolution depends on the task (context) and on the type of data used.

¹ Weka is open source software issued under the GNU General Public License.

IV. RESULTS

A. Result Set 1

Predicting the day of the week {Mon ... Sun}, {Weekday, Sat, Sun} and {Weekday, Weekend} using training and development data. The following section outlines the results of the experiments.

1) Predicting the day of the week {Mon, Tue, Wed, Thu, Fri, Sat, Sun} by analysing the given weather conditions.

Result: Discretising the Year attribute (F1) into 2 Bins coupled with Random Forest classifier yielded the highest accuracy, at 16.01 % for Brisbane data. The second and third best performing algorithms have been marked in the following table (Table I).

TABLE I. PREDICTION OF WEEKDAYS ON BRISBANE DATA

Brisbane					
Discretisation	Naïve Bayes Simple	Random Forests	J48	IB1	
F1 to F20 – Supervised discretised	14.53 %	14.53 %	14.53%	15.54%	
F1 (Year) into 2 Bin	14.16 %	16.01%	13.19%	12.04%	
F1 (Year) into 10 Bin	14.16 %	12.82%	12.50%	10.38%	
F1 to F20 into 10 Bins	14.02 %	13.24%	14.48%	13.05%	
F1 to F20 into 4 Bins	13.19 %	13.38%	14.81%	13.01%	
F1 to F20 into 2 Bins	13.75 %	14.30%	14.21%	15.45%	
F1 to F20 into 1 Bin	12.59 %	12.59%	12.59%	15.54%	

The following table (Table II) shows the results of the prediction algorithms as they are applied across the four cities. Random Forest classifier performs best on Adelaide weather data, yielding 19.04 % accuracy when the data is discretised into 10 bins.

TABLE II. PREDICTION OF WEEKDAYS ACROSS CITIES

Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 10 Bins for Brisbane, Adelaide, Perth and Hobart							
DiscretisationNaïve Bayes SimpleRandom ForestsJ48IB1							
Brisbane	14.02%	13.24%	14.48%	13.05%			
Adelaide	15.42%	17.95%	19.04%	16.93%			
Perth	12.10%	13.53%	14.36%	12.44%			
Hobart	13.81%	11.89%	13.39%	8.75%			

2) Distinguishing between {Weekdays, Saturday, Sunday} Result: Both Naïve Bayes Simple and J48 classifiers were able to distinguish between Weekdays, Saturday and Sunday, with 73.34% accuracy.

TABLE III. PREDICTION FOR WEEKDAYS, SATURDAY AND SUNDAY ON BRISBANE DATA

Brisbane					
Discretisation	Naïve Bayes Simple	Random Forests	J48	IB1	
F1 to F20 into 4 Bins	73.34%	69.14%	73.34%	65.22%	

3) Distinguishing between {Weekdays, Weekends} Result: Naïve Bayes Simple and Random Forests were the best performing algorithms both yielding 73.34% accuracy.

TABLE IV. PREDICTION FOR WEEKDAYS AND WEEKENDS ON BRISBANE DATA

Brisbane						
Discretisation	Naïve Bayes Simple	Random Forests	J48	IB1		
F1 to F20 into 4 Bins	73.34%	73.34%	67.80%	67.90%		
Please refer to Table VII (Result Set 1) provided in the Appendix, to						

Please refer to **Table VII (Result Set 1)** provided in the Appendix, to view the complete set of results across the four cities.

B. Result Set 2

Predicting rain, average temperature and maximum wind for a given day using training and development data

Will it rain tomorrow? In this section we try to predict weather conditions for a given day, i.e. we try to answer questions like "Given tomorrow is Monday of the 2nd week of December (for a given year), how likely is it to rain? In addition to rainfall we also try to predict the temperature and wind velocity for a given day. In the following section we provide the results of our experiments.

In **Table V** (below) we have used the prediction models to correctly predict the likelihood of rain, the average temperature and the maximum wind velocity for a given day in **Perth.**

TABLE V. PREDICTION FOR RAINFALL, WIND AND TEMPERATURE USING PERTH DATA

Perth						
Dist.	Class	NB	RF	J48	IB1	
F1-F20	Rain	73.39%	84.46%	84.41%	80.37%	
into 10	Temp	63.50%	66.90%	71.37%	48.16%	
Bins	Wind	20.02%	19.58%	20.07%	15.99%	
F1-F20	Rain	76.68%	87.06%	87.41%	81.65%	
into 4	Temp	81.60%	82.98%	85.10%	77.47%	
Bins	Wind	41.96%	40.29%	43.04%	35.91%	
	Rain	87.90%	89.03%	89.72%	86.72%	
F1-F20 into 2 Bins	Temp	92.43%	92.23%	93.36%	92.13%	
	Wind	62.03%	62.08%	65.13%	54.06%	

By discretising the data into 10 bins we can not only say whether or not it will rain on a given day, but we can also predict how much it will rain, if it does. This provides results of higher resolution and hence adds more meaning to the results. The following table (Table VI) shows the different categories or ranges for the Rainfall attribute.

TABLE VI. CATEGORIES FOR THE RAINFALL ATTRIBUTE

Unsupervised Discretisation into 10 Bins			
Nominal Label	Rainfall in mm		
a = '(-inf-0.05)'	0 – 0.05 mm		
b = '(0.05-0.4]'	0.05 mm – 0.4 mm		
c = '(0.4-1.05]'	0.4 mm – 1.05mm		
d = '(1.05-2.85]'	1.05 mm – 2.85 mm		
e = '(2.85-5.05]'	2.85 mm – 5.05 mm		
f = '(5.05-8.15]'	5.05 mm – 8.15 mm		
g = '(8.15-10.85]'	8.15 mm – 10.85 mm		
h = '(10.85-17.05)'	10.85 mm – 17.05 mm		
i = '(17.05-30.85]'	17.05 mm – 30.85 mm		
j = '(30.85-inf)'	30.85 mm - higher		

Similarly, we can not only predict whether it would be warm on a given day, **but we can also predict <u>how warm</u>** it is going to be or <u>how windy</u> it is going to be. This additional resolution or "degree" adds much more meaning to results as compared to just answering "Yes" /"No" type questions.

Observations: In Table V we can see that the accuracy of predictions goes down as the resolution of results goes up. In other words, we can predict with higher accuracy between a smaller numbers of choices (coarse resolution). But as we increase the number of choices (higher resolution) the accuracy goes down.

All of the four classifiers performed exceptionally well on Perth and Adelaide data for predicting the average temperature on a given day. With J48 classifiers yielding 93.36% accuracy on Perth data discretized into 4 bins (Table V).

Please refer to **Table VIII** (**Result Set 2**) provided in the Appendix, to view the complete set of results across the four cities.

V. CONCLUSION

The choice of discretisation technique(s) and classifier algorithm(s) used predominantly depends on the context and type of available data. Some algorithms are more suitable for nominal values while others perform best with numerical data.

It is hard to make a clear judgment based on the results obtained as part of this experiment, but in most cases Random Forests and J48 yielded in higher accuracy; slightly better results as compared to IB1 and noticeably better Naïve Bayes simple. Although in some instances Naïve Bayes yielded much higher accuracy, while the others were down.

From what we have observed in the test results, the accuracy of predictions goes down as the resolution of results goes up and vice versa. In other words, we can predict with higher accuracy between a smaller numbers of choices (coarse resolution). For instance, predicting between weekends and weekdays i.e. between 2 choices, resulted in much figures as compared to predicting the day of the week i.e. between 7 different choices.

One of the guiding principles is to ensure we provide as much meaning to our results as possible and to strike a balance between the resolution and accuracy of the results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank Professor Rao Kotagiri and Jeremy Nicholson for their invaluable guidance throughout this research. The author would also like to thank Professor Justin Zobel.

REFERENCES

- [1] Zobel, J. and Dart, P. Phonetic String Matching: Lessons from Information Retrieval
- [2] Zhao, Y. and Zhang, Y. Comparison of decision tree methodsfor finding active objects
- [3] Khoo, A., Marom, Y., and Albrecht, D. Experiments with Sentence Classification
- [4] Witten, I. H. and Frank, E. WEKA Machine Learning Algorithms in Java, Chapter 8, Data Mining: Practical Machine Learning Tools and Techniques with Java Implementations, 2000, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers
- [5] Analytics: Decision Tree Induction, Available at: http://gautam.lis.illinois.edu/monkmiddleware/public/monkmiddleware/public/index.html
- [6] Naïve Bayes, Available at http://docs.oracle.com/cd/B28359_01/datamine.111/b28129/algo_nb.ht

TABLE VII. RESULT SET 1 – PREDICTING THE DAY OF THE WEEK {MON ... SUN}, {WEEKDAY, SAT, SUN} AND {WEEKDAY, WEEKEND} USING TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT DATA

Data Set	Properties	Class Attribute	Naïve Bayes Simple	Random Forest	J48	IB1
Brisbane						
bris.dev.arff	Raw (No Pre-Processing)	Week day (F21)	13.8838%	13.2380%	12.7768%	12.1310%
bris.dev.prepro_14_0.arff	Replace Missing Values	Week day (F21)	14.2989%	13.3764%	12.8229%	12.1771%
bris.dev.prepro_13_0.arff	Supervised Discretisation of all attributes	Week day (F21)	14.5295%	14.5295%	14.5295%	15.5443%
bris.dev.prepro_14_1.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of Year attribute into 2 Bins	Week day (F21)	14.1605%	16.0055%	13.1919%	12.0387%
bris.dev.prepro_14_2.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of Year attribute into 10 Bins	Week day (F21)	14.1605%	12.8229%	12.5000%	10.3782%
bris.dev.prepro_14_3.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 10 Bins	Week day (F21)	14.0221%	13.2380%	14.4834%	13.0535%
bris.dev.prepro_14_6.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 4 Bins	Week day (F21)	13.19%	13.38%	14.81%	13.01%
bris.dev.prepro_14_4.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 2 Bins	Week day (F21)	13.7454%	14.2989%	14.2066%	15.4520%
bris.dev.prepro_14_5.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 1 Bin	Week day (F21)	12.5923%	12.5923%	12.5923%	15.5443%
bris.dev.prepro_14_7.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 4 Bins	Weekday/Sat/Sun	73.3395%	69.1421%	73.3395%	65.2214%
bris.dev.prepro_14_8.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 4 Bins	Weekday/Weekend	73.3395%	73.3395%	67.8044%	67.8967%
Adelaide						
adel.dev.arff	Raw (No Pre-Processing)	Week day (F21)	14.0361%	17.8313%	17.8313%	10.8434%
adel.dev.prepro_1_0.arff	Replace Missing Values	Week day (F21)	13.9759%	18.6747%	17.6506%	14.6386%
adel.dev.prepro_13_0.arff	Supervised Discretisation of all attributes	Week day (F21)	14.3976%	14.3976%	14.3976%	13.6145%
adel.dev.prepro_1_1.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of Year attribute into 2 Bins	Week day (F21)	13.7349%	18.7349%	18.0723%	15.4819%
adel.dev.prepro_1_2.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of Year attribute into 10 Bins	Week day (F21)	13.9759%	17.7711%	17.5301%	14.5783%
adel.dev.prepro_1_3.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 10 Bins	Week day (F21)	15.4217%	17.9518%	19.0361%	16.9277%
adel.dev.prepro_1_6.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 4 Bins	Week day (F21)	14.8193%	18.9759%	18.6145%	18.4940%
adel.dev.prepro_1_4.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 2 Bins	Week day (F21)	13.8554%	17.9518%	15.9639%	15.2410%
adel.dev.prepro_1_5.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 1 Bin	Week day (F21)	14.3976%	14.3976%	14.3976%	13.6145%
adel.dev.prepro_1_7.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 4 Bins	Weekday/Sat/Sun	70.5422%	69.6386%	70.5422%	64.8795%
adel.dev.prepro_1_8.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 4 Bins	Weekday/Weekend	70.5422%	70.3614%	70.5422%	67.1084%
Perth						
perth.dev.arff	Raw (No Pre-Processing)	Week day (F21)	13.6252%	13.0349%	14.0187%	14.5598%
perth.dev.prepro_1_0.arff	Replace Missing Values	Week day (F21)	13.4776%	12.1987%	13.7236%	9.9852%
perth.dev.prepro_13_0.arff	Supervised Discretisation of all attributes	Week day (F21)	14.8549%	14.8549%	14.8549%	14.4614%
perth.dev.prepro_1_1.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of Year attribute into 2 Bins	Week day (F21)	13.6252%	12.5922%	14.5106%	11.9036%
perth.dev.prepro_1_2.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of Year attribute into 10 Bins	Week day (F21)	13.1825%	12.1495%	13.3792%	9.4442%
perth.dev.prepro_1_3.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 10 Bins	Week day (F21)	12.1003%	13.5268%	14.3630%	12.4447%
perth.dev.prepro_1_6.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 4 Bins	Week day (F21)	12.5922%	13.7236%	14.1663%	14.3138%
perth.dev.prepro_1_4.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 2 Bins	Week day (F21)	13.1825%	13.5268%	14.1663%	14.3630%
perth.dev.prepro_1_5.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 1 Bin	Week day (F21)	14.8549%	14.8549%	14.8549%	14.4614%

perth.dev.prepro_1_7.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 4 Bins	Weekday/Sat/Sun	71.8151%	60.4525%	71.8151%	64.6827%
perth.dev.prepro_1_8.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 4 Bins	Weekday/Weekend		63.5514%	71.8151%	66.0108%
Hobart						
hob.train.arff	Raw (No Pre-Processing)	Week day (F21)	14.8408%	13.7640%	13.5768%	12.4064%
hob.dev.prepro_1_0.arff	Replace Missing Values	Week day (F21)	14.3258%	13.6704%	14.6067%	10.7678%
hob.dev.prepro_13_0.arff	Supervised Discretisation of all attributes	Week day (F21)	13.5300%	13.5300%	13.5300%	15.5431%
hob.dev.prepro_1_1.arff	pervised Discretisation of Year attribute into	Week day (F21)	14.3727%	15.2154%	13.4363%	12.3596%
hob.dev.prepro_1_2.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of Year attribute into 10 Bins	Week day (F21)	13.8109%	11.8914%	13.3895%	8.7547%
hob.dev.prepro_1_3.arff	Nominal - All Attrb - 10 Bins	Week day (F21)	13.5768%	13.2959%	13.8109%	13.4363%
hob.dev.prepro_1_6.arff	Nominal - All Attrb - 4 Bins	Week day (F21)	13.6236%	13.4831%	13.6704%	13.2491%
hob.dev.prepro_1_4.arff	Nominal - All Attrb - 2 Bins	Week day (F21)	13.4831%	12.1255%	13.4831%	14.4663%
hob.dev.prepro_1_5.arff	Nominal - All Attrb - 1 Bins	Week day (F21)	13.5300%	13.5300%	13.5300%	15.5431%
hob.dev.prepro_1_7.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 4 Bins	Weekday/Sat/Sun	73.0805%	68.2584%	73.0805%	65.7772%
hob.dev.prepro_1_8.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 4 Bins	Weekday/Weekend	73.0805%	65.7303%	73.0805%	67.4625%

TABLE VIII. RESULT SET 2 – PREDICTING RAIN, AVERAGE TEMPERATURE AND MAXIMUM WIND FOR A GIVEN DAY USING TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT DATA

Data Set	Properties	Class Attribute	Naïve Bayes Simple	Random Forest	J48	IB1
Brisbane						
	Unsupervised Discretisation	Rainfall (F4)	44.0498%	65.4059%	66.1439%	55.3506%
bris.dev.prepro_14_3.arff	of all Attributes (F1-F20)	Avg Temperature (F7)	54.7509%	61.5314%	67.5738%	48.2472%
	into 10 Bins	Max Wind (F8)	20.0185%	20.2952%	18.1734%	17.2970%
	Unsupervised Discretisation	F4 - rain	54.8432%	67.5738%	69.7878%	58.7638%
bris.dev.prepro_14_6.arff	of all Attributes (F1-F20)	Avg Temperature (F7)	78.2749%	82.1033%	83.8561%	75.2768%
	into 4 Bins	Max Wind (F8)	36.9926%	37.9151%	37.8690%	34.7325%
	Unsupervised Discretisation	Rainfall (F4)	66.5590%	77.2140%	73.8930%	68.2657%
bris.dev.prepro_14_4.arff	of all Attributes (F1-F20)	Avg Temperature (F7)	91.2362%	93.1734%	93.3118%	91.2362%
	into 2 Bins	Max Wind (F8)	58.0258%	62.4539%	65.5904%	58.3948%
Adelaide						
	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 10 Bins	Rainfall (F4)	58.253%	68.193%	67.108%	62.530%
adel.dev.prepro_1_3.arff		Avg Temperature (F7)	57.289%	61.627%	69.699%	44.880%
		Max Wind (F8)	22.590%	24.458%	22.711%	22.349%
	Unsupervised Discretisation	Rainfall (F4)	65.422%	70.542%	70.482%	63.735%
adel.dev.prepro_1_6.arff	of all Attributes (F1-F20)	Avg Temperature (F7)	81.566%	82.831%	83.072%	74.337%
	into 4 Bins	Max Wind (F8)	40.602%	44.458%	39.819%	40.482%
	Unsupervised Discretisation	Rainfall (F4)	75.361%	75.000%	77.470%	72.831%
adel.dev.prepro_1_4.arff	of all Attributes (F1-F20)	Avg Temperature (F7)	91.868%	90.843%	92.169%	91.205%
	into 2 Bins	Max Wind (F8)	65.301%	65.542%	69.337%	62.470%
Perth						
	Unsupervised Discretisation	Rainfall (F4)	73.39%	84.46%	84.41%	80.37%
perth.dev.prepro_1_3.arff	of all Attributes (F1-F20)	Avg Temperature (F7)	63.50%	66.90%	71.37%	48.16%
	into 10 Bins	Max Wind (F8)	20.02%	19.58%	20.07%	15.99%

perth.dev.prepro_1_6.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 4 Bins	Rainfall (F4)	76.68%	87.06%	87.41%	81.65%
		Avg Temperature (F7)	81.60%	82.98%	85.10%	77.47%
		Max Wind (F8)	41.96%	40.29%	43.04%	35.91%
perth.dev.prepro_1_4.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 2 Bins	Rainfall (F4)	87.90%	89.03%	89.72%	86.72%
		Avg Temperature (F7)	92.43%	92.23%	93.36%	92.13%
		Max Wind (F8)	62.03%	62.08%	65.13%	54.06%
Hobart						
hob.dev.prepro_1_3.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 10 Bins	Rainfall (F4)	64.4663%	74.8127%	73.8764%	67.8839%
		Avg Temperature (F7)	60.9551%	59.6910%	67.4625%	43.4457%
		Max Wind (F8)	18.9607%	18.8202%	17.2285%	16.1985%
hob.dev.prepro_1_6.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 4 Bins	Rainfall (F4)	66.8071%	77.4813%	77.1067%	72.0506%
		Avg Temperature (F7)	79.4944%	81.3202%	83.0524%	72.0037%
		Max Wind (F8)	35.2996%	37.5000%	40.8240%	33.4270%
hob.dev.prepro_1_4.arff	Unsupervised Discretisation of all Attributes (F1-F20) into 2 Bins	Rainfall (F4)	76.9195%	81.1798%	83.5206%	72.7996%
		Avg Temperature (F7)	91.7603%	92.0880%	92.5562%	90.6367%
		Max Wind (F8)	60.6273%	61.8446%	65.4494%	58.0056%