

Problem Set 2

Applied Stats/Quant Methods 1

Due: October 14, 2024

Instructions

- Please show your work! You may lose points by simply writing in the answer. If the problem requires you to execute commands in `R`, please include the code you used to get your answers. Please also include the `.R` file that contains your code. If you are not sure if work needs to be shown for a particular problem, please ask.
- Your homework should be submitted electronically on GitHub.
- This problem set is due before 23:59 on Monday October 14, 2024. No late assignments will be accepted.

Question 1: Political Science

The following table was created using the data from a study run in a major Latin American city.¹ As part of the experimental treatment in the study, one employee of the research team was chosen to make illegal left turns across traffic to draw the attention of the police officers on shift. Two employee drivers were upper class, two were lower class drivers, and the identity of the driver was randomly assigned per encounter. The researchers were interested in whether officers were more or less likely to solicit a bribe from drivers depending on their class (officers use phrases like, “We can solve this the easy way” to draw a bribe). The table below shows the resulting data.

¹Fried, Lagunes, and Venkataramani (2010). “Corruption and Inequality at the Crossroad: A Multi-method Study of Bribery and Discrimination in Latin America. *Latin American Research Review*. 45 (1): 76-97.

	Not Stopped	Bribe requested	Stopped/given warning
Upper class	14	6	7
Lower class	7	7	1

- (a) Calculate the χ^2 test statistic by hand/manually (even better if you can do "by hand" in R).

	Not Stopped	Bribe requested	Stopped/given warning	Total
Upper class	$f_o = 14$ $f_e = 13.50$	$f_o = 6$ $f_e = 8.36$	$f_o = 7$ $f_e = 5.14$	27
Lower class	$f_o = 7$ $f_e = 7.50$	$f_o = 7$ $f_e = 4.64$	$f_o = 1$ $f_e = 2.86$	15
Total	21	13	8	42

$$\chi^2 = \sum (f_o - f_e)^2 / f_e$$

First, calculate the expected frequencies (f_e) for each cell:

Upper class:

Not stopped: $f_e = (27 * 21) / 42 = 13.5$

Bribe: $f_e = (13 * 27) / 42 = 8.36$

Warning: $f_e = (8 * 27) / 42 = 5.14$

Lower class:

Not stopped: $f_e = (21 * 15) / 42 = 7.5$

Bribe: $f_e = (13 * 15) / 42 = 4.64$

Warning: $f_e = (8 * 15) / 42 = 2.86$

Now calculate $(f_o - f_e)^2 / f_e$ for each cell:

Upper class:

Not stopped: $(14 - 13.5)^2 / 13.5 = 0.0185$

Bribe: $(6 - 8.36)^2 / 8.36 = 0.6662$

Warning: $(7 - 5.14)^2 / 5.14 = 0.6731$

Lower class:

Not stopped: $(7 - 7.5)^2 / 7.5 = 0.0333$

Bribe: $(7 - 4.64)^2 / 4.64 = 1.1960$

Warning: $(1 - 2.86)^2 / 2.86 = 1.2070$

$\chi^2 = 0.0185 + 0.6662 + 0.6731 + 0.0333 + 1.1960 + 1.2070 = 3.7941$

Therefore, the manually calculated χ^2 test statistic is 3.7941.

- (b) Now calculate the p-value from the test statistic you just created (in R).² What do you conclude if $\alpha = 0.1$?

```

1 #df = (3-1) * (2-1) = 2
2 p_value = pchisq(3.7941, df=2, lower.tail=FALSE)
3 print(p_value)
4 #This gives a p-value of approximately 0.15

```

At $\alpha = 0.1$ significance level, since $p\text{-value} > \alpha$, we fail to reject the null hypothesis. Indicating that there is not enough evidence to conclude that there is a significant association between driver class and police officer behavior.

- (c) Calculate the standardized residuals for each cell and put them in the table below.

	Not Stopped	Bribe requested	Stopped/given warning	Total
Upper class	$f_o = 14$ $f_e = 13.50$	$f_o = 6$ $f_e = 8.36$	$f_o = 7$ $f_e = 5.14$	27
Lower class	$f_o = 7$ $f_e = 7.50$	$f_o = 7$ $f_e = 4.64$	$f_o = 1$ $f_e = 2.86$	15
Total	21	13	8	42

$$Z_{11} = (14 - 13.5) / \sqrt{13.5 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{27}{42}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{21}{42}\right)} = 0.3227$$

$$Z_{12} = (6 - 8.36) / \sqrt{8.36 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{27}{42}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{13}{42}\right)} = -1.9315$$

.

.

.

	Not Stopped	Bribe requested	Stopped/given warning
Upper class	0.322	-1.6437	1.5258
Lower class	-0.322	1.6445	-1.5246

²Remember frequency should be > 5 for all cells, but let's calculate the p-value here anyway.

(d) How might the standardized residuals help you interpret the results?

From our calculations, we observe:

Upper class drivers were less likely to be asked for bribes than expected (-1.6437)

Upper class drivers were more likely to be stopped/given warnings than expected (1.5258)

Lower class drivers were more likely to be asked for bribes than expected (1.6445)

Lower class drivers were less likely to be stopped/given warnings than expected (-1.5246)

These patterns suggest that police may treat drivers from different social classes differently. Upper class drivers appear more likely to receive warnings, while lower class drivers are more likely to be asked for bribes.

However, it's important to note that none of these residuals exceed an absolute value of 2, indicating that while patterns exist, these differences may not be statistically very significant.

Question 2: Economics

Chattopadhyay and Duflo were interested in whether women promote different policies than men.³ Answering this question with observational data is pretty difficult due to potential confounding problems (e.g. the districts that choose female politicians are likely to systematically differ in other aspects too). Hence, they exploit a randomized policy experiment in India, where since the mid-1990s, $\frac{1}{3}$ of village council heads have been randomly reserved for women. A subset of the data from West Bengal can be found at the following link: <https://raw.githubusercontent.com/kosukeimai/qss/master/PREDICTION/women.csv>

Each observation in the data set represents a village and there are two villages associated with one GP (i.e. a level of government is called "GP"). Figure 1 below shows the names and descriptions of the variables in the dataset. The authors hypothesize that female politicians are more likely to support policies female voters want. Researchers found that more women complain about the quality of drinking water than men. You need to estimate the effect of the reservation policy on the number of new or repaired drinking water facilities in the villages.

Figure 1: Names and description of variables from Chattopadhyay and Duflo (2004).

Name	Description
GP	An identifier for the Gram Panchayat (GP)
village	identifier for each village
reserved	binary variable indicating whether the GP was reserved for women leaders or not
female	binary variable indicating whether the GP had a female leader or not
irrigation	variable measuring the number of new or repaired irrigation facilities in the village since the reserve policy started
water	variable measuring the number of new or repaired drinking-water facilities in the village since the reserve policy started

³Chattopadhyay and Duflo. (2004). "Women as Policy Makers: Evidence from a Randomized Policy Experiment in India. *Econometrica*. 72 (5), 1409-1443.

- (a) State a null and alternative (two-tailed) hypothesis.

Null Hypothesis

(H_0) : There is no relationship between whether the GP (Gram Panchayat) has a female leader (female) and the number of new or repaired drinking-water facilities in the village since the reserve policy started (water).

$\beta_1 = 0$ (where β_1 is the coefficient for the female variable)

Alternative Hypothesis

(H_a) : There is a relationship between whether the GP has a female leader and the number of new or repaired drinking-water facilities in the village since the reserve policy started.

$\beta_1 \neq 0$

- (b) Run a bivariate regression to test this hypothesis in R (include your code!).

```
1 # Read the data
2 data <- read.csv("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/kosukeimai/qss/master/PREDICTION/women.csv")
3
4 # Run the regression
5 lm <- lm(water ~ female, data=data)
6
7 # Display the results
8 summary(lm)
```

(c) Interpret the coefficient estimate for reservation policy.

```
Call:
lm(formula = water ~ female, data = data)

Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-22.68 -14.78  -7.81   2.29  317.32

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)   14.813     2.382   6.220 1.56e-09 ***
female         7.864     3.838   2.049  0.0413 *
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 33.51 on 320 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.01295,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.009867
F-statistic: 4.199 on 1 and 320 DF,  p-value: 0.04126
```

Figure 2:

The coefficient estimate for the female variable is 7.864. Since female is a binary variable indicating whether the GP has a female leader or not, this coefficient can be interpreted as follows:

When a GP has a female leader (female=1), compared to GPs without a female leader (female=0), the number of new or repaired drinking-water facilities in the village since the reserve policy started increases by an average of 7.864.

This estimate is statistically significant ($p\text{-value} = 0.0413 < 0.05$), indicating that we have sufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis. There is a significant positive relationship between whether a GP has a female leader and the number of new or repaired drinking-water facilities in the village.