## Formatif\_HD\_week3

## Haofan Xu

## September 2023

```
Prove or disprove: For any set S and any three binary relations R_1, R_2, R_3 \subseteq
S \times S:
(a) (R_1 \cap R_2); R_3 = (R_1; R_3) \cap (R_2; R_3)
Suppose R_1 \cap R_2 = \emptyset
we have (x,y) \in R_1, (x,y') \in R_2, (y,z), (y',z) \in R_3 for all x,y,y',z \in S
So (R_1 \cap R_2); R_3 = \emptyset; R_3 = \emptyset
\Rightarrow (x,z) \notin (R_1 \cap R_2); R_3 (1)
R_{1};R_{3}\overset{def}{=}\left\{ x,z\in S\times S\ there\ exists\ y\in S,\ such\ that\ (x,y)\in R_{1}\ and\ (y,z)\in S\right\} .
R_3
\Rightarrow (x,z) \in R_1; R_3
R_2; R_3 \stackrel{def}{=} \{x, z \in S \times S \text{ there exists } y' \in S, \text{ such that } (x, y') \in S \}
R_2 \ and \ (y', z) \in R_3
\Rightarrow (x,z) \in R_2; R_3
\Rightarrow (x, z) \in (R_1; R_3) \cap (R_2; R_3) (2)
These is a contradiction for (1) and (2), then disproved.
(b) (R_1 \cup R_2); R_3 = (R_1; R_3) \cup (R_2; R_3)
(R_1 \cup R_2); R_3 \stackrel{def}{=} \{x, z \in S \times S \text{ there exists } y \in S, \text{ such that } (x, y) \in S \}
(R_1 \cup R_2) \ and \ (y,z) \in R_3
Since (x,y) \in (R_1 \cup R_2) \Rightarrow (x,y) \in R_1 or R_2
If (x, y) \in R_1 then (R_1 \cup R_2); R_3 \equiv R_1; R_3
\Rightarrow (R_1 \cup R_2); R_3 \subseteq (R_1; R_3) (3)
If (x, y) \in R_2 then (R_1 \cup R_2); R_3 \equiv R_2; R_3
\Rightarrow (R_1 \cup R_2); R_3 \subseteq (R_1; R_3) (4)
\Rightarrow (R_1 \cup R_2); R_3 \subseteq (R_1; R_3) by using (3) and (4)
(R_1; R_3) \cup (R_2; R_3) \stackrel{def}{=} \{x, z \in S \times S \text{ there exists } y \in S, \text{ such that case } 1:
(x,y) \in R_1 \text{ and } (y,z) \in R_3 \text{ or case } 2: (x,y) \in R_2 \text{ and } (y,z) \in R_3
For case 1: we get (x, z) \in (R_1; R_3)
\Rightarrow (x,z) \in (R_1;R_3) \subseteq (R_1 \cup R_2); R_3
\Rightarrow (x,z) \in (R_1 \cup R_2); R_3 (5)
For case 2: we get (x, z) \in (R_2; R_3)
\Rightarrow (x,z) \in (R_2;R_3) \subseteq (R_1 \cup R_2);R_3
```

```
\Rightarrow (x,z) \in (R_1 \cup R_2); R_3 \\ \Rightarrow (x,z) \in (R_1 \cup R_2); R_3 \text{ (6)} \\ \Rightarrow (R_1;R_3) \cup (R_2;R_3) \subseteq (R_1 \cup R_2); R_3 \text{ by using (5) and (6)}.
Therefore (R_1 \cup R_2); R_3 \subseteq (R_1;R_3), (R_1;R_3) \cup (R_2;R_3) \subseteq R_1 \cup R_2); R_3 \Rightarrow (R_1 \cup R_2); R_3 = (R_1;R_3) \cup (R_2;R_3)
```