# Programming assignment #2

Course: CHE1147H - Data Mining in Engineering

# 1 Criminal investigation

#### 1.1 Introduction

You are a member of the Data Science team in the Crime Investigation Unit of Toronto Police. The incoming and outgoing phone calls of a suspect over a few months period are given to you for analysis. Your manager has asked you to answer the following questions.

### 1.2 Most and least frequent outgoing numbers

- 1. Identify the 3 numbers with the most **counts** of outgoing calls.
- 2. Identify the numbers with the least **counts** of outgoing calls. If there are ties (e.g. 1 phone call for many numbers) then identify all numbers.

**Final answer format:** two tables that include two columns: the **numbers** the suspect called; the **counts** of times the respective numbers were called.

## 1.3 Highest and lowest total duration of outgoing calls

1. Repeat the same exercise as in the previous subsection, but with the total duration per number called.

**Final answer format:** two tables that include two columns: the <u>numbers</u> the suspect called; the total duration (i.e. sum) <u>each number</u> were called in the period given.

## 1.4 Location of outgoing calls

1. What are the number of **counts** for **every location** of outgoing calls?

**Final answer format:** a table that includes two columns: the location of the outgoing call; the counts of outgoing calls per location.

### 1.5 Incoming and Outgoing calls pattern

- 1. Calculate the **total duration** of incoming and outgoing calls per month.
- 2. Plot the results in one graph for both incoming and outgoing calls.
- 3. Do you see anything unusual in the pattern? E.g. does this look like a phone line that was used for criminal activities or more like a personal phone?

Final answer format #1: a table that includes three columns: the month, the total duration of the incoming calls per month; the total duration of the outgoing calls per month. Final answer format #2: a plot of the table in #1 with two lines and square symbols to show the data with the plot legend showing which line is incoming and which line is outgoing. Hint for #3:Use all the information you derived in the previous questions.

#### 1.6 Distribution of calls

Plot the histogram of the **outbound call counts**; e.g. x-axis: the call counts per client called, y-axis: number of clients called.

# 2 The ergodicity problem in economics

#### 2.1 Introduction

Here, we will reproduce some simulations from a simple gambling experiment used in the recent paper "The ergodicity problem in economics" by Ole Peters (for a simpler description of the problem and its implications refer to the Bloomberg article here).

Ergodicity is a term used mainly in equilibrium statistical mechanics and in many other areas including economics. A process is called ergodic if the time average and the expectation value are equal. The author, a physicist who specializes in statistical mechanics, claims that its use in economics is abusive and it has led to the narrative of human irrationality.

## 2.2 The statistical problem

Starting with an initial wealth of 100\$, you engage into a simple coin-flipping game in which your wealth increases by 50% every time you flip heads and you lose 40% if you flip tails. Since tossing heads or tails is just as likely, it makes sense to accept such a game if you play enough times because your potential gain is larger than your potential loss each time you play. Yet, as we will see this is a paradox.

## 2.3 Coding the problem

Below is a description of a simple set of steps you can follow to code this problem. It is not optimal for computation, but it is simple to understand the steps. If you want to follow a different logic

- 1. Generate a pandas dataframe that simulates **N** coin tosses for **w** gamblers with the np.random.rand function. Set **N**=100 coin tosses and **w**=100 gamblers and add the prefix 'prob\_' to the column name.
- 2. Initialize an additional **w** columns ['balance\_' + str(x)] with the value 100 to capture the initial wealth of 100\$, where: x in range(0, w).
- 3. Create a two-level for loop that iterates through rows 1 to N first and columns w to  $2\times w$  next implementing the calculation logic for every prob-balance pair:

$$Balance^{i} = \begin{cases} 1.5 \times Balance^{i-1}, & \text{if } prob^{i-1} \ge 0.5\\ 0.6 \times Balance^{i-1}, & \text{if } prob^{i-1} < 0.5 \end{cases}$$

- 4. Plot the time trajectories (in gamble iterations) of the wealth per gambler and calculate how many gamblers have **more** than their initial 100\$. What happens when you increase **N** to 1,000 (Figure 2 in the original paper, except the red and blue line)? How many gamblers with more than 100\$ do you have at t=1,000?
- 5. Plot the time trajectories of the **mean** and **median** wealth at every time point from 0 to 1,000. Try both linear and logarithmic scaler for the wealth axis. What are your observations?
- 6. Repeat steps 3-5 with N=10 and w=1,000 with the following logic:

$$Balance^{i} = \begin{cases} Balance^{i-1} + 50, & \text{if } prob^{i-1} \ge 0.5\\ Balance^{i-1} - 40, & \text{if } prob^{i-1} < 0.5 \end{cases}$$

How are the results different than the previous logic?