



# CS 3011: Artificial Intelligence

## Knowledge-Based Agents and Logic

Instructors: Dr. Durgesh Singh

CSE Discipline, PDPM IIITDM, Jabalpur -482005

# First Order Logic

# Limitation of Propositional Logic

---

P: All dogs are faithful

Q: Tommy is a dog

C: Tommy is faithful

We cannot infer this in propositional logic

- Problem of infinite model
- propositional logic can deal with only a finite number of propositions
- for example, If there are only dogs: Tommy, Jimmy, Laika then I could have written such that T: Tommy is faithful, J: Jimmy is faithful, L : Laika is faithful then all dogs are faithful will be  $T \wedge J \wedge L$ .

# Example

- Propositional logic lacks the expressive power to concisely describe an environment with many objects.
- For example, we were forced to write a separate rule about breezes and pits for each square, such as

$$R_2 : B_{1,1} \Leftrightarrow (P_{1,2} \vee P_{2,1}).$$

$$R_3 : B_{2,1} \Leftrightarrow (P_{1,1} \vee P_{2,2} \vee P_{3,1}).$$

- In English, on the other hand, it seems easy enough to say, once and for all, "Squares adjacent to pits are breezy."
- In First-order logic,

$$\forall s \text{ Breezy}(s) \Leftrightarrow \exists r \text{ Adjacent}(r, s) \wedge \text{Pit}(r)$$

# First-Order Logic

---

- First-Order logic or Predicate Logic is a generalization of Propositional Logic and allows us to express and infer arguments in infinite models like
  - All man are mortal
  - Some birds cannot fly

# Syntax of First-order Logic

<i>Sentence</i>	→	<i>AtomicSentence</i>   <i>ComplexSentence</i>
<i>AtomicSentence</i>	→	<i>Predicate</i>   <i>Predicate</i> ( <i>Term</i> ,...)   <i>Term</i> = <i>Term</i>
<i>ComplexSentence</i>	→	( <i>Sentence</i> )   $\neg$ <i>Sentence</i>   <i>Sentence</i> $\wedge$ <i>Sentence</i>   <i>Sentence</i> $\vee$ <i>Sentence</i>   <i>Sentence</i> $\Rightarrow$ <i>Sentence</i>   <i>Sentence</i> $\Leftrightarrow$ <i>Sentence</i>   <i>Quantifier Variable</i> ,... <i>Sentence</i>
<i>Term</i>	→	<i>Function</i> ( <i>Term</i> ,...)   <i>Constant</i>   <i>Variable</i>
<i>Quantifier</i>	→	$\forall$   $\exists$
<i>Constant</i>	→	<i>A</i>   <i>X</i> <sub>1</sub>   <i>John</i>   ...
<i>Variable</i>	→	<i>a</i>   <i>x</i>   <i>s</i>   ...
<i>Predicate</i>	→	<i>True</i>   <i>False</i>   <i>After</i>   <i>Loves</i>   <i>Raining</i>   ...
<i>Function</i>	→	<i>Mother</i>   <i>LeftLeg</i>   ...
OPERATOR PRECEDENCE	:	$\neg, =, \wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow$

# First-order logic

---

- First-order logic (FOL) models the world in terms of
  - **Objects (term)**, which are things with individual identities
  - **Properties** of objects that distinguish them from other objects
  - **Relations** that hold among sets of objects
  - **Functions**, which are a subset of relations where there is only one “value” for any given “input”
- **Examples:**
  - Objects: Students, lectures, companies, cars ...
  - Relations: bigger-than, outside, part-of, has-color, occurs-after, owns, visits, ...
  - Properties: blue, even, large, ...
  - Functions: father-of, best-friend, one-more-than ...

# User provides

---

- **Constant symbols**, which represent individuals in the world
  - Tom
  - 3
  - Green
- **Function symbols**, which map individuals to individuals
  - father-of(Tom) = John
  - color-of(Sky) = Blue
- **Predicate symbols**, which map individuals to truth values
  - greater(5,3); green(Grass) ; color(Grass, Green)



# FOL Provides

---

- **Variable symbols**

- E.g.,  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $\text{foo}$

- **Connectives**

- Same as in PL: not ( $\neg$ ), and ( $\wedge$ ), or ( $\vee$ ), implies ( $\rightarrow$ ), if and only if (biconditional  $\leftrightarrow$ )

- **Quantifiers**

- Universal  $\forall x$
- Existential  $\exists x$

# Sentences are built from terms and atoms

---

- A **term** (denoting a real-world individual) is a constant symbol, a variable symbol, or an  $n$ -place function of  $n$  terms.

$x$  and  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  are terms, where each  $x_i$  is a term.

- A **complex sentence** is formed from atomic sentences connected by the logical connectives.

- A **quantified sentence** adds quantifiers  $\forall$  and  $\exists$

$(\forall x)P(x,y)$  has  $x$  bound as a universally quantified variable, but  $y$  is free

# Examples

---

- If x is a man then x is mortal

$$\text{man}(x) \rightarrow \text{mortal}(x)$$

- If n is a natural number, then n is either even or odd

$$\text{Natural}(n) \rightarrow \text{even}(n) \vee \text{odd}(n)$$

- All dogs are faithful

$$\forall x (\text{dog}(x) \rightarrow \text{faithful}(x))$$

- All birds cannot fly

$$\exists x (\text{bird}(x) \wedge \neg \text{fly}(x))$$

# Quantifiers

---

- **Universal quantification**

- $(\forall x)P(x)$  means that  $P$  holds for **all** values of  $x$  in the domain associated with that variable

E.g.,  $\forall x (\text{dog}(x) \rightarrow \text{faithful}(x))$

- **Existential quantification**

- $(\exists x)P(x)$  means that  $P$  holds for **some** value of  $x$  in the domain associated with that variable

E.g.,  $(\exists x) (\text{bird}(x) \wedge \neg \text{fly}(x))$

# Quantifiers

---

- Universal quantifiers are often used with “implies” to form “rules”:  
 $(\forall x) (\text{student}(x) \rightarrow \text{smart}(x))$  means “All students are smart”
- Existential quantifiers are usually used with “and” to specify a list of properties about an individual:  
 $(\exists x) (\text{student}(x) \wedge \text{smart}(x))$  means “There is a student who is smart”

# Quantifier Scope

---

- Switching the order of universal quantifiers *does not* change the meaning:
  - $(\forall x)(\forall y)P(x,y) \leftrightarrow (\forall y)(\forall x) P(x,y)$
- Similarly, you can switch the order of existential quantifiers:
  - $(\exists x)(\exists y)P(x,y) \leftrightarrow (\exists y)(\exists x) P(x,y)$
- Switching the order of universal and existential *does* change meaning:
  - There is a person who likes everyone in the world:  $(\exists x)(\forall y) \text{ likes}(x,y)$
  - Everyone in the world is liked by at least one person:  $(\forall y)(\exists x) \text{ likes}(x,y)$

# Connections between All and Exists

---

We can relate sentences involving  $\forall$  and  $\exists$  using De Morgan's laws:

$$(\forall x) \neg P(x) \leftrightarrow \neg(\exists x) P(x)$$

$$\neg(\forall x) P(x) \leftrightarrow (\exists x) \neg P(x)$$

$$(\forall x) P(x) \leftrightarrow \neg(\exists x) \neg P(x)$$

$$(\exists x) P(x) \leftrightarrow \neg(\forall x) \neg P(x)$$

# Inference in First Order Logic



# Inference Rules in FOL

---

- **Substitution:**  $\text{SUBST}(\theta, \alpha)$  denote the result of applying the substitution  $\theta$  to the sentence  $\alpha$ .
- **Inference rules for quantifier:**
  - Universal Elimination
  - Existential Elimination/ Skolemization
  - Existential Introduction

# Universal Elimination/ Instantiation

---

- we can infer any sentence  $P(c)$  by substituting a ground term  $c$  (a constant within domain  $x$ ) from  $\forall x P(x)$ .

e.g.,  $\forall x \text{ Likes}(x, \text{apple})$

substituting  $x$  by Tom gives

**Likes(Tom, apple)**

# Existential Elimination/ Instantiation

---

- Replace an existentially quantified variable with a single **new constant symbol**.
- The **constant symbol** that does not appear elsewhere in the knowledge base.
  - The new name is called a **Skolem constant**.

**e.g.,  $\exists x \text{ Likes}(x, \text{apple})$**

substituting  $x$  by Person gives

**Likes( Person, apple)**

# Existential introduction

---

**Likes(Tom, apple)**

Can be written as

**$\exists x$  Likes(x, apple)**

# Unification

---

- Unification is the process of finding a substitution that makes two atomic sentences identical

e.g.,

$\text{Unify}(\text{Prime}(7), \text{Prime}(x)) = (x/7)$

$\text{Unify}(\text{Divides}(49,x), \text{Divides}(y,7)) = (x/7, y/49)$

# Example Knowledge Base (EKB)

---

- If a perfect square is divisible by a prime number, then it is also divisible by square of that prime number.
- Every perfect square is divisible by some prime.
- 36 is a perfect square.
- Does there exist a prime  $q$  such that square of  $q$  divides 36?

[ Use inference rules ]

# Resolution

Full first-order version:

$$\frac{\ell_1 \vee \dots \vee \ell_k, \quad m_1 \vee \dots \vee m_n}{(\ell_1 \vee \dots \vee \ell_{i-1} \vee \ell_{i+1} \vee \dots \vee \ell_k \vee m_1 \vee \dots \vee m_{j-1} \vee m_{j+1} \vee \dots \vee m_n)\theta}$$

where  $\text{UNIFY}(\ell_i, \neg m_j) = \theta$ .

For example,

$$\frac{\neg \text{Rich}(x) \vee \text{Unhappy}(x) \quad \text{Rich}(\text{Ken})}{\text{Unhappy}(\text{Ken})}$$

with  $\theta = \{x/\text{Ken}\}$

Apply resolution steps to  $\text{CNF}(KB \wedge \neg\alpha)$ ; complete for FOL

# Example: Conversion to CNF

Everyone who loves all animals is loved by someone:

$$\forall x [\forall y \text{ Animal}(y) \Rightarrow \text{Loves}(x, y)] \Rightarrow [\exists y \text{ Loves}(y, x)]$$

1. Eliminate biconditionals and implications

$$\forall x [\neg \forall y \neg \text{Animal}(y) \vee \text{Loves}(x, y)] \vee [\exists y \text{ Loves}(y, x)]$$

2. Move  $\neg$  inwards:  $\neg \forall x, p \equiv \exists x \neg p$ ,  $\neg \exists x, p \equiv \forall x \neg p$ :

$$\forall x [\exists y \neg (\neg \text{Animal}(y) \vee \text{Loves}(x, y))] \vee [\exists y \text{ Loves}(y, x)]$$

$$\forall x [\exists y \neg \neg \text{Animal}(y) \wedge \neg \text{Loves}(x, y)] \vee [\exists y \text{ Loves}(y, x)]$$

$$\forall x [\exists y \text{ Animal}(y) \wedge \neg \text{Loves}(x, y)] \vee [\exists y \text{ Loves}(y, x)]$$



# Conversion to CNF

3. Standardize variables: each quantifier should use a different one

$$\forall x [\exists y \text{ Animal}(y) \wedge \neg \text{Loves}(x, y)] \vee [\exists z \text{ Loves}(z, x)]$$

4. Skolemize: a more general form of existential instantiation.  
Each existential variable is replaced by a **Skolem function** of the enclosing universally quantified variables:

$$\forall x [\text{Animal}(F(x)) \wedge \neg \text{Loves}(x, F(x))] \vee \text{Loves}(G(x), x)$$

5. Drop universal quantifiers:

$$[\text{Animal}(F(x)) \wedge \neg \text{Loves}(x, F(x))] \vee \text{Loves}(G(x), x)$$

6. Distribute  $\wedge$  over  $\vee$ :

$$[\text{Animal}(F(x)) \vee \text{Loves}(G(x), x)] \wedge [\neg \text{Loves}(x, F(x)) \vee \text{Loves}(G(x), x)]$$

# Example

---

- All people who are graduating are happy
- All happy people are smiling
- Some one is graduating

Conclusion: some one is smiling

[ Use Resolution algorithm]