

## 1.1 Model Assumptions

### 1.1 Model Assumptions

We work with models verifying **(S1)–(S10)** below:

**(S1) Finite segmentation with stable proportions.** The number of segments  $k$  is fixed (does not depend on  $n$ ), and  $\alpha_j \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}_j \in ]0, 1]$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k + 1$ .

**(S2) Segmentwise strict stationarity and ergodicity.** For each segment  $j$ , the array  $\{X_t : \omega_j(t) = 1\}$  is strictly stationary and ergodic under  $\mathbb{P}_{\varpi_0}^{(n)}$ . Hence, for any integrable  $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{t=\tau_{j-1}}^{\tau_j-1} g(X_t) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mathbb{E}_j[g(X_1)],$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_j$  denotes expectation under the invariant law of segment  $j$ .

**(S3) Identifiability and local parameterization.** There exists a local direction  $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that local alternatives are encoded as

$$H_0 : \varpi = \varpi_0, \quad H_{1,n} : \varpi = \varpi_0 + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}},$$

and  $\varpi \mapsto \mathbb{P}_{\varpi}^{(n)}$  is identifiable at  $\varpi_0$ .

**(S4) Central sequence and LAN-type expansion.** There exists a *central sequence*  $\Pi_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and a symmetric, nonnegative definite matrix  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  such that, under  $H_0$ ,

$$\log \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{\varpi_0+h/\sqrt{n}}^{(n)}}{d\mathbb{P}_{\varpi_0}^{(n)}} = h^\top \Pi_n - \frac{1}{2} h^\top \Gamma h + o_{\mathbb{P}}(1),$$

with the expansion understood uniformly for  $h$  in compact sets.

**(S5) Martingale/array CLT construction of  $\Pi_n$ .** There exist  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued,  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted increments  $\psi_{n,t}$  with  $\mathbb{E}[\psi_{n,t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = 0$  such that

$$\Pi_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \psi_{n,t},$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\psi_{n,t} \psi_{n,t}^\top \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \Gamma,$$

together with a Lindeberg condition

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\|\psi_{n,t}\|^2 \mathbb{1}\{\|\psi_{n,t}\| > \varepsilon\sqrt{n}\} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}\right] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0.$$

These conditions are used to derive the asymptotic normality in (S6).

## 1.1 Model Assumptions

**(S6) Asymptotic normality.** The central sequence  $\Pi_n$  is asymptotically Gaussian with covariance matrix  $\Gamma$ . More precisely,

$$\Pi_n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \Gamma) \quad \text{under } H_0,$$

and, under local alternatives  $H_{1,n} : \varpi = \varpi_0 + h/\sqrt{n}$ ,

$$\Pi_n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\Gamma h, \Gamma).$$

**(S7) Regular handling of nuisance.** If  $\nu$  denotes nuisance parameters, assume that replacing  $\nu_0$  by an estimator  $\hat{\nu}$  has a negligible first-order effect:

$$\Pi_n(\hat{\nu}) = \Pi_n(\nu_0) + o_{\mathbb{P}}(1),$$

where  $\nu_0$  is the true nuisance configuration under the data-generating process.

**(S8) Non-degeneracy of information.** There exists  $c > 0$  such that

$$\inf_{\|u\|=1} u^\top \Gamma u \geq c,$$

or, more generally,  $u^\top \Gamma u > 0$  for all nonzero  $u$  in the span of admissible local directions.

**(S9) Basic measurability and integrability.** All objects above are measurable, and there exists  $\delta > 0$  with

$$\sup_{n,t} \mathbb{E} \|\psi_{n,t}\|^{2+\delta} < \infty.$$

**(S10)  $\rho$ -mixing.** Under  $H_0$  and  $H_{1,n}$ ,  $(X_t)$  is independent or weakly dependent; this is only used later for indicator-type functionals.