

# Time Series

## Overview of MA(p) and AR(q) Time Series Models

Echcharif EL JAZOULI  
Yakine TAHTAH

Sia Partners

27 janvier 2025

# Table of Contents

- 1 Moving Average (MA) Models
  - Definition & Stationarity
  - Autocovariance & Autocorrelation
  - Examples
  - ACF Cut-Off Explanation
- 2 Identification & Estimation
- 3 Forecasting
- 4 Practical Tips & Pitfalls
- 5 Autoregressive (AR) Models
  - Definition & Stationarity
  - Autocovariance & Partial Autocorrelation
  - Examples
  - Identification & Estimation
  - Forecasting
- 6 Practical Tips & Pitfalls
- 7 Conclusion

# Note

Always verify what are the exact equations of the implementations that you're using. Specifically the sign convention of the parameters of the model.

# MA( $q$ ): Moving Average of order $q$

- A process is said to follow an MA( $q$ ) model if its current value depends on the current random shock plus  $q$  previous shocks.
- **Formally,**

$$\text{MA}(q) : y_t = \mu + \varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \cdots + \theta_q \varepsilon_{t-q}$$

- $\mu$  is the mean of the series (possibly zero for simplicity).
- $\varepsilon_t$  are zero-mean, uncorrelated white noise innovations with variance  $\sigma_\varepsilon^2$ .

# MA( $q$ ): Moving Average of order $q$

- The “moving average” name arises because  $y_t$  is a weighted sum of the last  $q$  noise terms.
- MA models capture how present values depend on current and past “shocks” (error terms). They fade out if  $q$  is finite.
- They are often combined with AR models to form ARMA/ARIMA, but here we focus on pure MA behavior.

# Stationarity of MA( $q$ )

## Stationarity Conditions:

- An MA( $q$ ) process (finite order) is automatically covariance stationary if the noise terms are stationary white noise.
- Because there are a finite number of past error terms, the necessary sum-of-squared coefficients is finite  $\rightarrow$  no infinite memory.

## Implication:

- No complicated root conditions for stationarity (as opposed to AR).
- In practice: As soon as  $q$  is finite, the process is stationary.

# Autocovariance & Autocorrelation

## Autocovariance function of MA(q):

- For  $k > q$ ,  $\gamma_k = 0$ .
- For  $k \leq q$ ,  $\gamma_k$  has a formula involving sums of products of  $\theta$ -coefficients. One version is:

$$\gamma_k = \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \left( -\theta_k + \sum_{j=1}^{q-k} \theta_j \theta_{j+k} \right),$$

## Autocorrelation function (ACF):

- $\rho_k = 0$  for  $k > q$ .
- $\rho_k$  remains nonzero up to lag  $q$ .
- This “cut-off” in the ACF after lag  $q$  is a defining signature of an MA( $q$ ) process.

# MA(1) Example

## Model Form:

$$y_t = \mu + \varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1}.$$

## Autocorrelations:

- $\rho_0 = 1$  (by definition).
- $\rho_1 = \frac{\theta_1}{1+\theta_1^2}$  (up to sign convention).
- $\rho_k = 0$  for  $k > 1$ . Hence a simple “cut-off” at lag 1.

## Key Observations:

- The largest magnitude of  $\rho_1$  can be 0.5 in absolute value.
- As  $\theta_1 \rightarrow \pm 1$ ,  $\rho_1 \rightarrow \pm 0.5$ .
- MA(1) processes can show negative or positive short-term correlations.



# MA(2) Example

## Model Form:

$$y_t = \mu + \varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2}.$$

## Autocorrelations:

- $\rho_1$  depends on  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ .
- $\rho_2$  depends primarily on  $\theta_2$ .
- $\rho_k = 0$  for  $k > 2$ .

## Interpretation:

- The current value depends on the current shock and the last two shocks.

# Why Do We See a Sharp ACF Cut-Off?

## MA( $q$ ) as a finite sum of $q+1$ noise terms:

- The correlation between  $y_t$  and  $y_{t+k}$  only stems from overlapping noise terms.
- Once  $k > q$ , there is no overlap in those noise terms.
- If  $q = 2$ ,  $y_t$  depends on  $\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2}$  while  $y_{t+3}$  depends on  $\varepsilon_{t+3}, \varepsilon_{t+2}, \varepsilon_{t+1}$ .
- No shared noises if  $k = 3$ .
- Memory is only from  $q$  recent “shock” values. After  $q$  lags, no shared random shock remains  $\rightarrow \rho_k = 0$ .

# Identification Strategy

## Steps:

- 1 Check for Stationarity:
  - If data is not stationary, difference or transform.
- 2 Look at ACF:
  - For a pure  $MA(q)$ , we expect a sharp cut-off at lag  $q$ .
- 3 If cut-off is abrupt at some lag  $q$  (and partial autocorrelation does not show “tail”), an  $MA(q)$  is suspect.
- 4 If no cut-off or if we see sinusoidal damping in ACF, suspect AR... or ARMA.

# Estimation Approaches

## Once $q$ is tentatively identified from ACF:

- Method of Moments (matching sample autocorrelations).
- Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) often used in software (e.g., statsmodels in Python).
- Innovations (recursive) algorithms are also possible.

## Software Tools:

- In R: `arma()` with `order=c(0,0,q)`.
- In Python's statsmodels: `SARIMAX(..., order=(0,0,q))`.

## Interpretation of Estimated $\theta_j$ :

- Sign & magnitude show which past shocks push the series up or down.
- Model is typically straightforward to interpret if  $q$  is small.

# Forecasting MA( $q$ )

## Forecasting Logic:

- In an MA( $q$ ), the future value depends on future shocks (not yet realized) + known past shocks.
- Beyond  $q$  steps ahead:
  - The forecast inevitably reverts to the mean (or only slight corrections if partial knowledge of new shocks is present).

## Multistep Forecast:

- For horizon  $h > q$ , the predicted value simplifies to  $\mu$  because older shocks vanish & future shocks have expectation zero.
- For short horizons up to  $q$ , need to carefully handle the “not-yet-observed” error terms.
- In practice, rolling forecasts are used for multi-step if  $q$  is less than the forecast horizon.

# Rolling Forecasting Demonstration

## Rolling or Sliding Window Forecast:

- Fit an  $MA(q)$  on data up to time  $t$ .
- Forecast up to  $q$  steps.
- Shift the window by  $q$  steps, incorporate newly observed data, and repeat.

# Practical Tips & Pitfalls

## ■ Don't forget stationarity:

- Data with trend or seasonality must be differenced or detrended first.
- Otherwise, an MA might appear with spurious patterns.

## ■ Overfitting hazard:

- If the ACF does not neatly cut off, do not force an  $MA(q)$ . Possibly it's an AR, ARMA, or something else.

## ■ Residual diagnostics:

- After fitting an  $MA(q)$ , check residuals → ideally white noise, no further correlation.

## ■ Check invertibility:

- For a later course.

# AR(p): Autoregressive of order p

- A process is said to follow an AR(p) model if its current value depends on  $p$  of its own past values plus a current white noise term.
- **Formally,**

$$\text{AR}(p) : y_t = c + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \cdots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t$$

where

- $c$  is a constant term (often denoted as  $\mu$  or  $C$ ).
- $\phi_i$  are parameters defining how strongly past values influence the present.
- $\varepsilon_t$  are zero-mean, uncorrelated white noise innovations with variance  $\sigma_\varepsilon^2$ .
- The name “autoregressive” arises because  $y_t$  is regressed on its own previous values.



# Stationarity of AR(p)

## Stationarity Conditions:

- Unlike a finite MA(q), an AR(p) process *is not automatically* covariance stationary.
- The characteristic equation  $1 - \phi_1 z - \phi_2 z^2 - \dots - \phi_p z^p = 0$  must have **all roots** lying **outside** the unit circle for the process to be stationary.
- Equivalently,  $|\phi_i| < 1$  is not enough by itself if  $p > 1$ ; you must check the overall polynomial's roots.

## Implications:

- If the stationarity condition is not satisfied (e.g., a root on or inside the unit circle), the process can exhibit non-stationary behavior (like a random walk, if  $\phi_1 = 1$  for AR(1)).
- In practice, you often difference non-stationary data or fit an ARIMA model to handle trends.

# Autocovariance & Partial Autocorrelation for AR(p)

## ■ Autocovariance function (ACF):

- For a stationary AR(p), the ACF typically shows a *gradual* (often exponential or damped sinusoidal) decay rather than a strict cut-off.
- The exact form of  $\gamma_k$  depends on solving a system of Yule-Walker equations.

## ■ Partial Autocorrelation Function (PACF):

- Measures the direct correlation of  $y_t$  with  $y_{t-k}$ , *excluding* indirect correlations via intervening lags.
- For an AR(p), the PACF **cuts off** after lag  $p$ . That is,  $\text{PACF}(k) = 0$  for  $k > p$ .
- This “cut-off” in the PACF at lag  $p$  is a hallmark of a pure AR(p) model.

# AR(1) Example

## Model Form:

$$y_t = c + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t.$$

## Stationarity Condition:

- $|\phi_1| < 1$  for stationarity in the AR(1) case.
- If  $\phi_1 = 1$ , it becomes a *random walk* (non-stationary).
- If  $c \neq 0$  and  $\phi_1 < 1$ , it's an AR(1) with drift.

## Autocorrelation Patterns:

- ACF declines exponentially (or oscillates if  $\phi_1 < 0$ ) but no abrupt cut-off.
- PACF typically shows a significant spike at lag 1, then no large spikes beyond that.

# AR(2) Example

## Model Form:

$$y_t = c + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \varepsilon_t.$$

## Stationarity Condition:

- The polynomial  $1 - \phi_1 z - \phi_2 z^2 = 0$  must have solutions with  $|z| > 1$ .
- If this condition fails, the process may be non-stationary (or even explosive).

## ACF & PACF:

- ACF: Exponential or damped oscillatory decay.
- PACF: Sharp cut-off at lag 2 (i.e., no significant PACF beyond lag 2).

## Interpretation:

- $y_t$  depends on the previous two values  $y_{t-1}, y_{t-2}$ .
- Coefficients  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  indicate how each lag contributes positively or negatively.

# Identification Strategy for AR(p)

## Steps:

### 1 Check for Stationarity:

- If data is not stationary, difference or transform (like taking  $\nabla y_t = y_t - y_{t-1}$ ).
- Use ADF test or KPSS test.

### 2 Look at ACF and PACF:

- An AR(p) often shows a **gradually** decreasing ACF (no abrupt cut-off).
- The PACF **cuts off** sharply after lag  $p$ .

### 3 Tentative identification:

- If the PACF “dies out” after lag  $p$ , suspect an AR(p).
- If both ACF and PACF show slow decay or complex patterns, suspect ARMA or ARIMA.

# Estimation Approaches for AR(p)

Once  $p$  is tentatively identified from PACF:

- **Yule-Walker estimation** (for pure AR processes):
  - Method of moments matching the autocovariances.
- **Least Squares or Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE):**
  - In practice, MLE or conditional least squares is common (e.g., in statsmodels).
- **Software Tools:**
  - R: `arima()` with `order = c(p,0,0)`.
  - Python statsmodels: `SARIMAX(..., order=(p,0,0))`.

**Interpretation of Estimated  $\phi_i$ :**

- Sign and magnitude show which past values push the series up or down.
- Large  $|\phi_i|$  means strong dependency on lag  $i$ .

# Forecasting AR(p)

## Forecasting Logic:

- In AR(p), future values depend on known past values up to  $y_{t-p}$  plus unobserved future shocks.
- Multi-step predictions require iterating the AR recursion:

$$\hat{y}_{t+h} = c + \phi_1 \hat{y}_{t+h-1} + \phi_2 \hat{y}_{t+h-2} + \cdots + \phi_p \hat{y}_{t+h-p}.$$

- As  $h$  grows large, forecasts often revert gradually toward a long-run mean (depending on  $\phi_i$ ).

## Multistep Forecast:

- For horizon  $h$ , if  $h \leq p$ , the past  $p$  observations are partly known.
- For  $h > p$ , the forecast uses previously forecasted points as inputs (iterative approach).
- $\varepsilon_{t+h}$  has expected value zero, so it does not contribute directly to the forecast's mean.

# Practical Tips & Pitfalls for AR Models

## ■ Check Stationarity Carefully:

- AR processes require stricter conditions than finite MA for stationarity. If there is a trend, difference or detrend the data.
- If  $\phi_1 \approx 1$  in an AR(1), the series might be nearly non-stationary and can behave like a random walk.

## ■ Overfitting Hazard:

- Selecting  $p$  too large can lead to over-parameterization and poor forecasts out of sample. Use criteria such as AIC, BIC to find a suitable  $p$ .

## ■ Residual Diagnostics:

- After fitting AR( $p$ ), residuals should be white noise (check with ACF/PACF of residuals. If residuals still show structure, consider higher  $p$  or an ARMA model.

## ■ Roots and Invertibility:

- For *stationarity* of AR, ensure roots of the characteristic polynomial lie outside the unit circle.



# Conclusion

## Key Takeaways:

- Moving Average (MA) models capture dependencies on past shocks, with an ACF that cuts off after lag  $q$ .
- Autoregressive (AR) models capture dependencies on past values, with a PACF that cuts off after lag  $p$ .
- Stationarity is crucial—MA( $q$ ) is always stationary for finite  $q$ , while AR( $p$ ) requires checking roots of its characteristic polynomial.
- Use ACF and PACF for model identification; then use appropriate estimation methods (Yule-Walker, MLE, etc.).
- Always validate the final model via diagnostic checks on residuals.

## Next Steps?

- ARMA and ARIMA models for more complex data.
- Incorporate seasonality / exogenous variables where relevant.

Thank you!