TSC Meeting 20200721

Attendees:

- Jason Keirstead
- Mitch Thomas
- Thierry Supplisson
- Adam Montville

Agenda:

- Define/Nail down change control process

Goal:

- How can we get the presently "internal" change control process externalized, so that it's applicable across projects in addition to STIX-Shifter?

NOTES:

- Thierry walking this group through his slide deck, which describes the process they use in the STIX-Shifter project (see also [stix-shifter issue #378](#)). Basic structure:
    - PRs/Issues are submitted to the project
    - Curators/Maintainers validate review and publish/plan
    - Any adjudication goes to the committee
    - Curators/Maintainers in conjunction with the committee govern aspects like versions/releases, quality, charter texts, etc.
- JK mentions that the key thing is: How do we transition this to be a more public process? We don't need to necessarily widen the change control board, but we should give the community an opportunity to join. Are there other TSC members interested or others in the community? What are the steps to get to this point?
- There needs to be a process to refine a process, which makes a lot of sense.
- This is as simple as writing down the process and scheduling time to review that process.
- JK: Do we want to advertise that this is going on, or is that too wide right now? Should we start with the TSC only at this point?
- General consensus that we do want to advertise and ensure that we put dates on things.
- Draft process should be ready about a month from now (mid August).
- Mitch: Goal for the process is so we can address PRs/issues…what's the driver on this? Are there particular issues/PRs that we are seeking to address?
    - The driver to develop a change process ASAP is a major contributor - in this

case IBM - who has allocated resources and has an internal process for change needs to understand how to make progress and share information in these public repositories. Specifically in the form of addressing open issues and proposed changes (Pull Requests)

- The risk of delaying the formation of a change process is that the contributions to those repos are at best made without public input and at worst distort the projects goals to be those of the single contributor. Or due to dis-organization, the projects lose valuable resources due to dis-interest.

Decisions/Actions:

- Thierry will define process proposal in the stix-shifter wiki by Friday (see issue #381 and Process Proposal)
- This can be circulated/advertised (TSC members at minimum) to get feedback, so that we hit the ground running come mid-August