Swarm Robotics: Exploration and Mapping in Simulated testing Environments

Charlie Anthony [candNo: 246537] Supervisor: Dr Chris Johnson



Department of Informatics and Engineering University of Sussex November 2023

Contents

1	\mathbf{Intr}	roduction	2												
2	\mathbf{Pro}	Professional and Ethical Considerations													
3	Related Work														
	3.1	SLAM	3												
	3.2	Graph-based SLAM	3												
	3.3	Particle Filters	3												
	3.4	Swarm	4												
	3.5	Random Walks	4												
4	Req	uirements Analysis	4												
5	Project Plan														
	5.1	Phase 1 - Research and Planning	6												
	5.2	Phase 2 - Single SLAM Agent	6												
	5.3	Phase 3 - Multi-agent Random Walk	6												
	5.4	Phase 4 - Centralised Multi-agent SLAM	6												
	5.5	Phase 5 - Decentralised Multi-agent SLAM	6												
	5.6	Phase 6 - Analysis and Conclusion	7												
6	Supervisor Meetings														
	6.1	Meeting 1 - $11/10/2023$	7												
	6.2	Meeting 2 - 27/10/2023	7												
	6.3	Meeting 3 - $14/11/2023$	7												
7	App	pendices	7												
8	References														

1 Introduction

Swarms exist everywhere in life. Nearly all organisms exhibit some form of swarming behaviours within their communities. Starlings display impressive organisational behaviour, positioning themselves with respect to the movement of their neighbours [1]. Humans show swarm behaviours when moving in crowds, for example, moving around sports venues or exiting buildings in emergencies. No matter how hard you look, regardless if the context, swarms are typically present.

These behaviours can also be artificially created in robotics [2]. Within the realm of computing, parallelising processes is breaking barrier after barrier - swarm robotics brings the same benefits. Being able to divide and conquer a problem has the ability massively increase the rate of work by employing multiple robots. Therefore, it would be wasteful not to properly dedicate the time which this discipline deserves.

For my project, I am going to try and reproduce some of these behaviours artificially. I will start by simulating robotic agents in a 2D environment. The agents will be placed within close proximity inside a simulated environment and then allowed to explore and combine their findings; ultimately creating a visualization map of its environment. The agents will need to both navigate the environment and avoid collisions, whilst creating an internal representation of its surroundings. The best-case scenario for the agents within the swarm is to be fully independent; creating a decentralized system.

I will initially explore this problem by creating SLAM simulations, and then attempting to apply similar techniques to a centralised system. These initial simulations will employ techniques such as graph-based SLAM, random walks and particle filters in order to create a base-line representation of the environment. This project will also have the flexibility to potentially implement physical robots, given time permits.

2 Professional and Ethical Considerations

My project maintains compliance towards all ethical considerations, as there is minimal external involvement from humans. The majority of my project will be carried out in simulation, therefore no ethical approval is required. Should my project progress to physically implementing agents, considerations such as safety around the robots, will be considered. All tests will be carried out in an environment where people cannot be hit, therefore mitigating any trip hazards.

Research in this project also complies with the BCS code of conduct, as it is within my professional competence, as it significantly relies upon knowledge obtained from modules such as "Acquired Intelligence and Adaptive Behaviour" and "Fundamentals of Machine Learning." Furthermore, I will develop my professional knowledge, skills and competence through communicating with my supervisor and ensuring all relevant gaps in knowledge are explored through reading extensively.

To further maintain compliance with the BCS code of conduct, I accept all responsibility for my work, and will ensure that all work of colleagues is properly referenced. In order to help make research accessible, I will open-source all of my research on Github, allowing others to build upon my work. It can be found here: https://github.com/CharlieAnthony/Dissertation

3 Related Work

3.1 SLAM

SLAM (Simultaneous Localisation and Mapping) is a technique used in robotics to create a map of an unknown environment. It is an important area of research in robotics as it is heavily used in autonomous vehicles, drones and vacuum cleaners; allowing agents to understand and navigate their environment effectively.

SLAM can be broken down into two sub-problems: localisation and mapping. Localisation is the process of determining the location of a robot in its environment, whilst mapping is the process of constructing a map of the environment. The maps are constructed using data collected from sensors, such as cameras and laser scanners. A lot of existing work in SLAM is based on single robot applications, however, there is a growing interest in multi-agent SLAM. One of the greatest challenges in SLAM is crossing the simulation to reality gap, as in the real world, sensor readings are noisy and environments are dynamic, which increases the complexity of the problem.

3.2 Graph-based SLAM

Graph-based SLAM is a technique used to create a map of an environment, by using a graph to represent the environment. It works by having a robot move around its environment, whilst taking measurements of its surroundings. These measurements are usually received by a sensor, such as a camera or laser scanner. The robot then uses these measurements to create a plot of where objects may be, by combining the measurements from sensors with its memory of the route it has taken. This technique is used in many applications, such as autonomous vehicles

One limitation of graph-based SLAM is that it is computationally expensive, as it requires a lot of memory to store the sensor readings. Also, it is not very scalable, as the more sensors that are added, the more memory is required. Another limitation is that it cannot always be reliable, as the algorithm depends heavily on detecting loop closures, which when not detected, can lead to a lot of errors in the map. This, combined with even the slightest inaccuracy from sensors/motors makes it difficult to apply to the real world.

3.3 Particle Filters

Another technique used in SLAM is particle filters. Particle filters, also known as Monte Carlo localisation, is a probabilistic technique used to estimate the localisation of a robot in its environment. It starts with a set of particles randomly distributed across the environment, which represent possible locations of the robot. Then as the robot moves around the environment, the particles weights are updated based on the robots sensors. Overall, this technique is very effective, as it is able to localise the robot in its environment, even when the environment is dynamic. Also, this process is highly parallelisable, as each particle can be updated independently; which makes this a viable solution for real-world applications. However, particle filters are susceptible to the "curse of dimensionality", which means that as the number of dimensions increases, the problem's complexity rapidly increases.

3.4 Swarm

Swarm robotics [2] is a discipline which studies the coordination of large numbers of robots. It is largely inspired by biology, where social organisms achieve complex behaviours through simple interactions with each other and the environment. Swarm robotics is an important area of research in robotics, as it has many applications, such as search and rescue, exploration and mapping.

One of the benefits of swarm robotics is the scalability and flexibility of the system. This is because the system is decentralized, meaning that each agent is able to make decisions independently. This allows for repeatability, as the system can be scaled up or down simply by adding or removing agents. Also, it allows for flexibility, as the system can be adapted to different environments, as each agent is able to make decisions based on its surroundings.

One of the biggest challenges in swarm robotics is the simulation to reality gap. This is because in simulation, the agents are able to easily share information with each other, whilst in the real world, this is more challenging. Furthermore, swarm robotics struggles with more complex environments, like outdoors. As a result, swarm robotics still has a lot of room for research and development.

3.5 Random Walks

Random walk exploration in the context of swarm mapping is a technique where agents individually map an environment, using methods like Graph-based SLAM, Particle Filters, etc. and them combine their findings to a single global map; this is an example of a centralised system.

A example of an implementation of random walk exploration is Brownian motion [3], which is a physics-inspired approach. It works by applying a random force to each agent, which determines its direction. The agents then move in this direction until they detect an obstacle, at which point they will change direction. This process is repeated until the environment is fully mapped. There is randomness provided from the environment, through detecting other agents or obstacles, and randomness in motion, as each path is determined by a random force. Overall, collective behaviour emerges from these simple rules, which lead to a global behaviour which efficiently maps an environment.

One of the biggest drawbacks of this approach is that it is not scalable, as the agents are not able to communicate their maps to each other, which can lead to a lot of redundant exploration [4]. This is because equally, sharing their maps with each other would be very computationally expensive. Also, another limitation is that this approach doesn't guarantee efficiency. This is because of the inherent randomness, which means areas of the environment may be unexplored.

4 Requirements Analysis

Table 1 shows the requirements for my project, along with their justification. Initially, I will create a simulation interface, where the user can see the agents, the environment and a representation of the agents internal map. After I will work towards implementing SLAM and swarm algorithms.

As an extension, I will attempt to implement physical agents, which will act in the real

world. This will require a lot of additional work, so will only be attempted if time permits. When creating the simulation interface, I will be using the Python programming language, along with the PyGame library. This will abstract away a lot of the complexity of creating a graphical user interface, allowing me to focus on the core functionality. I will also use various other scientific python libraries throughout my project, such as NumPy, SciPy and Matplotlib.

ID	Requirement	Justification								
1	Simulation	A graphical user interface, where the user can see the								
	Interface	agent, the environment, and a representation of the								
		agents internal map								
2	SLAM	Implement a SLAM algorithm, which allows a single								
	Implementation	agent to localise and map the environment								
3	Customise	Allow the environment to be easily modified in the								
	Environment	interface - perhaps by uploading a black and white								
		image								
4	Centralized	Implement a centralized swarm algorithm, where the								
	Swarm	agents individually collect data and then feed to a								
		global map								
5	Decentralized	Implement a decentralized swarm algorithm, where								
	Swarm	the agents communicate their findings and create								
		individual representations of the environment								

Table 1: Requirements and their justification

5 Project Plan

	Autumn Semester										Spring Semester											
Task Name	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6	W7	W8	W9	W10	W11	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6	W7	W8	W9	W10	W11
Project Selection																						
Project Proposal																						
Background Reading/Research																						
Interim Report																						
Single SLAM agent development																						
Singe SLAM agent testing/analysis																						
Multi-agent Random Walk development																						
Multi-agent Random Walk testing/analysis																						
Centralised Multi-agent SLAM development																						
Centralised Multi-agent SLAM testing/analysis																						
Decentralised Multi-agent SLAM development																						
Decentralised Multi-agent SLAM testing/analysis																						
Final Analysis and Conclusion																						
Poster Event																						
Final Report																						

Figure 1: Gantt chart showing the project plan

The execution of my project will be split into various phases, where each phase will focus on an area of development. The majority of the project will be software development, therefore a large portion time will be spent here. Figure 1 shows the project plan, where the grey bars represent the time spent at each phase. Should my project overrun, I will have contingency time built into both the Christmas break and the Easter break, which is currently unaccounted for in the project plan.

5.1 Phase 1 - Research and Planning

The first phase of my project involves researching and planning. During this period I will create a project proposal, research SLAM and swarm algorithms, and write this interim report. This phase will be completed by week 7. It is important to carry out this phase as it provides structure for the whole project, which will help ensure that the project is completed on time.

5.2 Phase 2 - Single SLAM Agent

The second phase of my project is where development will start. I will start by creating a basic simulation environment, where the user can see the agent and the environment. After, I will implement the Pose Graph SLAM algorithm on a single agent system. This will give me the experience of implementing SLAM, which will be useful when implementing swarm algorithms as a good portion of the code will be reusable. This phase will be completed by week 8, and will allow me to follow on to multi-agent random walks.

5.3 Phase 3 - Multi-agent Random Walk

In the third phase, I will implement a multi-agent random walk algorithm. This will allow me to explore the challenges of swarm communication and agent coordination. I plan to implement the Brownian motion algorithm as a starting point, then if time permits, I will explore other algorithms, such as Ballistic motion and Levy walk. I will complete this phase by week 11, which will allow me plenty of time to implement the more complex swarm algorithms.

5.4 Phase 4 - Centralised Multi-agent SLAM

The fourth phase will consist of implementing a centralised multi-agent SLAM algorithm. This will finally allow me to combine the knowledge I would've gained from the previous phases and apply it to a more complex problem. I will look to implement an algorithm like the multi agent map merging algorithm, which will allow me to combine the maps of single SLAM agents. Furthermore, I will bring in the communication system developed in Phase 3, which will help structure the agents. This phase will be completed by week 2 of the Spring semester.

5.5 Phase 5 - Decentralised Multi-agent SLAM

The fifth and final phase of development will see me implement a decentralised multi-agent SLAM algorithm. This will be the most complex phase of development, as it will require me me to combine the SLAM elements of the previous phase with the communication from Phase 3. I will look to implement an algorithm like C-SLAM [5], and will analyse it's performance and how it scales. This phase will be completed by week 5 of the Spring semester.

5.6 Phase 6 - Analysis and Conclusion

The final phase of my project will largely consist of writing up my findings and analysing the performance of the algorithms. I will write up my final dissertation, along with creating a poster for the event. I may also spend some time creating visual representations of my findings, such as graphs and charts. This phase may begin as early as week 4 of the Spring semester and will be completed by week 10.

6 Supervisor Meetings

6.1 Meeting 1 - 11/10/2023

Discussed on the project idea and potential directions to take. Discussed the possibility of implementing physical agents, challenges that may occur and potential ways of implementing swarm algorithms. Need to focus on researching SLAM and swarm and looking into existing resources.

6.2 Meeting 2 - 27/10/2023

Discussed potential algorithms, such as particle filters and graph-based SLAM. We also discussed the logistics of the project, ensuring that it remains both realistic and achievable. We also discussed the possibility of implementing physical agents, and where relevant resources could be found.

6.3 Meeting 3 - 14/11/2023

7 Appendices

8 References

References

- [1] H. Hildenbrandt, C. Carere, C.K. Hemelrijk Self-organized aerial displays of thousands of starlings: a model https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arq149
- [3] Khmelnitsky, E. Brownian Motion and Swarm Dynamics. In Autonomous Mobile Robots and Multi-Robot Systems, 2019 https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119213154.ch12
- [4] Kegeleirs, M., Garzón Ramos, D., Birattari, M. Random Walk Exploration for Swarm Mapping, 2019 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-25332-5₁9
- [5] P. Lajoie, G. Beltrame Swarm-SLAM: Sparse Decentralized Collaborative Simultaneous Localization and Mapping Framework for Multi-Robot Systems, 2023 https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2301.06230