#### Breadth\_first\_search:

| Problem | Expansions | Goal Tests | Time Elapsed | Optimality |
|---------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| 1       | 43         | 56         | 0.0328       | Yes        |
| 2       | 3343       | 4609       | 11.30        | Yes        |
| 3       | 14663      | 18098      | 87.84        | Yes        |

## Depth\_first\_graph\_search:

| Problem | Expansions | Goal Tests | Time Elapsed | Optimality |
|---------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| 1       | 21         | 22         | 0.0097       | No         |
| 2       | 624        | 625        | 2.938        | No         |
| 3       | 408        | 409        | 1.400        | No         |

#### Uniform\_cost\_search:

| Problem | Expansions | Goal Tests | Time Elapsed | Optimality |
|---------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| 1       | 55         | 57         | 0.0239       | Yes        |
| 2       | 4853       | 4855       | 8.497        | Yes        |
| 3       | 18151      | 18153      | 38.23        | Yes        |

## A\*\_ignore\_precond:

| Problem | Expansions | Goal Tests | Time Elapsed | Optimality |
|---------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| 1       | 41         | 43         | 0.02751      | Yes        |
| 2       | 1450       | 1452       | 3.209        | Yes        |
| 3       | 5038       | 5040       | 13.47        | Yes        |

## A\*\_planning\_graph:

| Problem | Expansions | Goal Tests | Time Elapsed | Optimality |
|---------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| 1       | 11         | 13         | 0.6073       | Yes        |
| 2       | 86         | 88         | 54.85        | Yes        |
| 3 j     | 313        | 315        | 259.2        | Yes        |

## Optimal Plans

# Problem 1:

Load(C1, P1, SFO)
Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)
Unload(C1, P1, JFK)
Load(C2, P2, JFK)
Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)
Unload(C2, P2, SFO)

#### Problem 2:

Load(C1, P1, SFO)
Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)
Unload(C1, P1, JFK)
Load(C2, P2, JFK)
Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)
Unload(C2, P2, SFO)
Load(C3, P3, ATL)
Fly(P3, ATL, SFO)
Unload(C3, P3, SFO)

#### Problem 3:

Load(C1, P1, SF0)
Fly(P1, SF0, ATL)
Load(C3, P1, ATL)
Fly(P1, ATL, JFK)
Unload(C1, P1, JFK)
Load(C2, P2, JFK)

Fly(P2, JFK, ORD) Load(C4, P2, ORD) Fly(P2, ORD, SFO) Unload(C2, P2, SFO) Unload(C3, P1, JFK) Unload(C4, P2, SFO)

Analysis:

The best heuristic is A\* search ignoring preconditions based on optimality and time elapsed. Compared to planning graph, the heuristic ignoring preconditions is easy to compute. In the related relaxed problem, every action is applicable in every state, and any single goal fluent can be achieved in one step. So we simply add up the number of unsatisfied goals to calculate the number of steps required to solve the relaxed problem.

However, for simple problems like problem 1, other methods like uniform cost search may perform better, which is a simpler algorithm but still effective for simple problems. It uses the path cost in the best\_first\_graph\_search which uses a priority queue. Besides, it also makes two improvements upon bread-first search. The first is that the goal test is applied to a node when it is selected for expansion rather than when it is first generated. The second difference is that a test is added in case a better path is found to a node currently on the frontier. These improvements can be seen from the differences in node expansion and goal tests counts.