

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD METHOD

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD METHOD (MLM) IS A GENERAL METHOD FOR ESTIMATING PARAMETERS OF INTEREST.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

1. WE ARE GIVEN n IID MEASUREMENTS OF PARAMETER x . THESE ARE: $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$
2. ASSUME THAT WE KNOW THE PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION THAT DESCRIBES x : $f_x(x, \theta)$
3. x IS THE CORRESPONDING RANDOM VARIABLE. ALSO $x \in X$.
4. θ IS THE PARAMETER OF INTEREST, WHICH HAS TO BE ESTIMATED.
5. DATA POINTS ARE NOT NECESSARILY RANDOM.
6. THE MAXIMUM-LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATOR OF θ IS θ_{ML} . IT IS THAT θ WHICH MAXIMIZES IT.

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION

1. MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATOR OF θ , θ_{ML} IS THAT θ WHICH MAXIMIZES $f_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta)$

WHERE $f_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ IS THE JOINT PROBABILITY DENSITY FUNCTION OF THE SAMPLE, WRITTEN AS A FUNCTION OF θ .

2. THIS JOINT DENSITY FUNCTION IS ALSO CALLED THE LIKELIHOOD FUNCTION, THAT IS

$$L(\theta; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = f_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta)$$

3. θ_{ML} IS CALLED THE MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATE OF θ , BECAUSE, IT IS THAT ESTIMATE OF θ THAT MAXIMIZES THE LIKELIHOOD OF DRAWING THE SAMPLE: x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .

4. θ_{ML} IS FOUND BY MAXIMIZING $L(\theta; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ WITH RESPECT TO θ .

5. IF THE SAMPLE IS RANDOM FROM $f_X(x, \theta)$ THEN

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= f_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta) \\ &= f_X(x_1, \theta) f_X(x_2, \theta) \dots f_X(x_n, \theta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n f_X(x_i, \theta) \end{aligned}$$

← DENOTES PRODUCT OPERATOR (\prod)

θ_{ML} IS THAT θ WHICH MAXIMIZES $\prod_{i=1}^n f_X(x_i; \theta)$

6. THE θ THAT MAXIMIZES $\prod_{i=1}^n f_X(x_i, \theta)$ IS ALSO THE θ THAT MAXIMIZES

$$\ln \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n f_X(x_i, \theta) \right\} =$$

THIS IS GUARANTEED BECAUSE LOG FUNCTION IS MONOTONIC

DEFINITION A FUNCTION f DEFINED ON A SUBSET OF REAL NUMBERS WITH REAL VALUES IS CALLED MONOTONIC (ALSO MONOTONICALLY INCREASING; INCREASING; OR NON-DECREASING) IF FOR ALL x AND y SUCH THAT $x \leq y$ ONE HAS $f(x) \leq f(y)$. \square

NOTE THAT

$$\ln \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n f_x(x_i, \theta) \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln f_x(x_i, \theta)$$

EXAMPLE RV HAS BERNOULLI DISTRIBUTION WITH PARAMETER $p > 0$

$$b_x(x, p) = \begin{cases} p & x=1 \\ 1-p & x=0 \end{cases}$$

GIVEN: $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$; ESTIMATE p

SOLUTION $b_x(x, p)$ IS REWRITTEN AS

$$b_x(x, p) = p^x (1-p)^{1-x}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln L(p; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= \ln \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n p^{x_i} (1-p)^{1-x_i} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \{ p^{x_i} (1-p)^{1-x_i} \} \\ &= \ln p \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\} + \ln(1-p) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (1-x_i) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{LET } S \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i ; \quad \sum_{i=1}^n (1-x_i) = n - S$$

$$\therefore \ln L(\cdot) = S \ln p + (n-S) \ln(1-p)$$

$$\frac{d \ln L}{dp} = \frac{S}{p} + \frac{(n-S)(-1)}{(1-p)} = 0 \Rightarrow (1-p)S = (n-S)p \Rightarrow S = np$$

$$\text{LET } \hat{p} = \frac{S}{n} \leftarrow n \Rightarrow \text{ESTIMATE OF } p$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \ln L}{dp^2} &= -\frac{S}{p^2} - \frac{(n-S)}{(1-p)^2} ; \quad \left. \frac{d^2 \ln L}{dp^2} \right|_{p=\hat{p}} = -\frac{S}{\hat{p}^2} - \frac{(n-S)}{(1-\hat{p})^2} \\ &= -\left[\frac{S n^2}{S^2} + \frac{(n-S) n^2}{(n-S)^2} \right] \\ &= -n^2 \left[\frac{1}{S} + \frac{1}{n-S} \right] \\ &= -\frac{n^3}{S(n-S)} < 0 \quad \text{IF } S < n \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{p}$ MAXIMIZES $\ln L(\cdot)$

$$\hat{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

EXAMPLE RV X HAS POISSON DISTRIBUTION WITH PARAMETER $\lambda > 0$

$$b_X(x, \lambda) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!}; \quad x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

GIVEN: $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$; ESTIMATE λ

SOLUTION: $L(\lambda; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!}$

$$\ln L(\lambda; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ -\lambda + x_i \ln \lambda - \ln x_i! \right\}$$

$$\frac{d \ln L}{d \lambda} = -n + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \triangleq \hat{\lambda}$$

$$\frac{d^2 \ln L}{d \lambda^2} = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\left. \frac{d^2 \ln L}{d \lambda^2} \right|_{\lambda = \hat{\lambda}} = -\frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}^2} n \hat{\lambda} = -\frac{n}{\hat{\lambda}} < 0$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}$ MAXIMIZES $\ln L(\cdot)$. THUS $\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$

□

EXAMPLE RV X HAS A NORMAL DISTRIBUTION WITH MEAN $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ AND VARIANCE $\sigma^2 > 0$.

$$f_X(x; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right]; x \in \mathbb{R}$$

GIVEN $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$; FIND ESTIMATES OF μ AND σ^2

SOLUTION: $L(\mu, \sigma^2; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x_i-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right]$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln L(\mu, \sigma^2; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}\right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\frac{x_i-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2 \right] \\ &= n \ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}\right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-\mu)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \mu} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n 2(x_i-\mu)(-1) = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} (-1) \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-\mu)^2 = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\text{(1) YIELDS } \mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\text{(2) YIELDS } \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-\mu)^2$$

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2$$

DO $\hat{\mu}$ AND $\hat{\sigma}^2$ MAXIMIZE $\ln L(\mu, \sigma^2; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$?

WE TAKE SECOND DERIVATIVES.

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \mu} = +\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu); \quad \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \mu^2} = -\frac{n}{\sigma^2}; \quad \frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \mu \partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2} = \frac{n}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma^6} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$

THE SECOND DERIVATIVES AT THE ESTIMATED VALUES OF μ AND σ^2 ARE:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \mu^2} = -\frac{n}{\hat{\sigma}^2} ; \quad \frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \mu \partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^4} [n\hat{\mu} - n\hat{\mu}] = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2} = \frac{n}{2\hat{\sigma}^4} - \frac{n}{\hat{\sigma}^4} = -\frac{n}{2\hat{\sigma}^4}$$

$$\text{HESSIAN} = H = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{n}{\hat{\sigma}^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{n}{2\hat{\sigma}^4} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{IS NEGATIVE DEFINITE}$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}$ AND $\hat{\sigma}^2$ MAXIMIZE $\ln L(\cdot; \mu; \sigma^2; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

□