Econometrics Problem Set 1

Chase Bookin & Cole Price
June 28, 2020

Question 1

Sample 1:

- Mean: 5
- Standard Deviation: 1.581

Sample 2:

- -Mean: 69
- -Standard Deviation: 1.581

The standard deviations of sample 1 and sample 2 are equal. This shows that although the samples have different means, they are similarly dispersed around their center.

Question 2

```
z_tokyo <- (380000 - 420000) / 20000</pre>
z_germany <- (3100 - 3200) / 57</pre>
```

Relative to their peers, the worker in Germany is earning more than the worker in Tokyo. This is demonstrated by the z-score of each worker's salary. The z-score of the workers' salaries tells the relative position of their salary to their peers using the mean and standard deviation. In this case, the German worker's z-score of roughly -1.75 is greater than the Tokyo worker's z-score of -2, demonstrating that the German worker is earning comparatively more than the Tokyo worker.

Question 3

a)

```
z_prob_keane <- 1-.192
z_keane <- 0.87
sd_keane <- (25000 - 21000) / 0.87
```

Standard Deviation: 4597.7

b)

```
z_42nd < -0.2
percentile_42 <- (sd_keane * z_42nd) + 21000
42nd Percentile: 20080.46
c)
# -1.55 <= z <= 1.55
percentile_94 <- (sd_keane * 1.55) + 21000
percentile_06 <- (sd_keane * -1.55) + 21000
Middle 88% values: (13873.56, 28126.44)
Question 4
a)
# finding P(1502 <= sample mean <= 1,748)
pop_mean <- 1573
pop_var <- 952021
n <- 85
std_error_a <- sqrt(pop_var / n)</pre>
z_1502 <- (1502 - 1573) / std_error_a
z_1748 <- (1748 - 1573) / std_error_a
CDF_z_1502 <- 0.25143
CDF_z_1748 \leftarrow 0.9505
prob_btwn <- CDF_z_1748 - CDF_z_1502</pre>
The probability the sample average lies between 1502 and 1748 is 0.699
b)
# 92% middle pack boundaries; sample size of 63
std_error_b <- sqrt(pop_var / 63)</pre>
z_0.96 \leftarrow 1.75
z_0.04 \leftarrow -1.75
upper_bound <- round((z_0.96 * std_error_b) + pop_mean, 3)
lower_bound <- round((z_0.04 * std_error_b) + pop_mean, 3)</pre>
The boundaries of the middle 92\% of the sample average estimator with n = 63 are (1357.875, 1788.125).
Question 5
a)
accidents <- c(12, 7, 17, 11, 9, 8, 19, 22, 12, 17, 15, 9, 12, 21, 15)
n <- length(accidents)</pre>
sample_avg <- sum(accidents) / n</pre>
```

The sample average is 13.73.

b)

```
SD_accidents <- tibble(accidents = accidents) %>%
   summarize(SD_accidents = sqrt(sum((accidents - sample_avg)^2) / (n - 1))) %>%
   pull(SD_accidents)
SE_accidents <- SD_accidents / sqrt(n)
```

The standard error of the sample average is 1.22.

c)

```
null <- 11.2
t_critical <- 2.05
t_sample <- (sample_avg - null) / SE_accidents

# p_value to right of null hypothesisis 2*(1-CDF(t-stat))
p_value <- 2 * (1 - 0.98124)</pre>
```

Using the t-stat method to test the friend's claim, we have enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis that the population average for daily car crashes is 11.2. The t-stat of the sample mean is 2.075, which is greater than the t-critical value of 2.05 using a significance level of 4%.

Using the p_value method, we see the p-value is approximately 0.038, which is less than the alpha value of 0.04. Therefore, we reject the null hypothesis.