Time complexity analysis

Ben Liu

ben_0522@ku.edu

Definition

- Time complexity analysis is also called, running time (complexity) analysis. It refers to the analysis of the running time of an algorithm with respect to different size of input.
- Algorithm is a sequence of pre-defined statements for some purpose, the running time of the algorithm depends on:
 - 1. The environment (language, hardware, ...)
 - 2. The implementation (bubble sort vs merge sort)
 - 3. The input size (sort 10 items vs 1000 items)

RAM Model

- RAM is an ideal model used to analyze the running time of an algorithm without taking into consideration the power of environment:
 - 1. Each basic operation takes constant time;
 - 2. Exactly one statement can be executed at one time;
 - 3. Any datum can be stored in one memory block;
 - 4. Any stored datum can be accessed at constant time;

Type of analysis

- Exact analysis
- Approximation analysis
- Asymptotic analysis

Example 1 – exact analysis

```
4 int a = 5;
5 int d = 2;
6 int sum = 0;
7 int k = 100;
8 for(int i = 0; i <= k; ++i)
9 {
10  int a_prime = a + i*d;
11  sum = sum + a_prime;
12 }</pre>
```

Operations:

- 1. Assign, (line 4, line 5, ...) takes t_1 ;
- 2. Compare, (line 8), takes t_2 ;
- 3. Addition, (line 8, line 10) takes t_3 ;
- 4. Multiplication, (line 10) takes t_4 ;

Counting operations:

- 1. Line 4 to line 7 were executed once;
- 2. for-loop was executed 101 times;
- 3. Note only initialize once.

Example 1 – exact analysis

```
4 int a = 5;
5 int d = 2;
6 int sum = 0;
7 int k = 100;
8 for(int i = 0; i <= k; ++i)
9 {
10  int a_prime = a + i*d;
11  sum = sum + a_prime;
12 }</pre>
```

- So, final counts of operations
 - 1. 308 assign;
 - 2. 102 compare (think of why?);
 - 3. 303 addition;
 - 4. 101 multiplication;
- All together:

$$T = 308t_1 + 102t_2 + 303t_3 + 101t_4$$

Example 1 – approximation analysis

```
4 int a = 5;
5 int d = 2;
6 int sum = 0;
7 int k = 100;
8 for(int i = 0; i <= k; ++i)
9 {
10  int a_prime = a + i*d;
11  sum = sum + a_prime;
12 }</pre>
```

- Operation blocks:
 - 1. Initialization, line 4, to line 7;
 - 2. for-loop, line 8 to line 12;
- Note that the running time of forloop dominates Initialization. So it's reasonable for us to use the running time of for-loop to approximate the running time of the algorithm.

Example 1 – approximation analysis

```
4 int a = 5;
5 int d = 2;
6 int sum = 0;
7 int k = 100;
8 for(int i = 0; i <= k; ++i)
9 {
10  int a_prime = a + i*d;
11  sum = sum + a_prime;
12 }</pre>
```

• Now:

$$T = 304t_1 + 102t_2 + 303t_3 + 101t_4$$

Before:

$$T = 308t_1 + 102t_2 + 303t_3 + 101t_4$$

 Not much difference, but it will be much easier for us, especially when the algorithm has tons of operation blocks.

- Asymptotic analysis is more useful when the input size changes, but here in example 1, the algorithm in example 1 doesn't accept input, or we can say the input size is constant.
- Let's see the definition of asymptotic analysis.

- In mathematic, asymptotic analysis studies the behavior of function f(n) when n is very large.
- There are different notations of asymptotic, the following 3 are most used:
 - 1. Big-0, we focus on Big-0 through this tutorial;
 - 2. Little-o;
 - 3. Big- Ω ;
 - 4. Little-ω;
 - 5. Big-Θ;

Definition of Big-0:

for any given function f(n), if there exists two positive constants k, n_0 and another function g(n), we say that $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ if and only if $f(n) \le k \cdot g(n)$ when $n \ge n_0$. (Upper bound)

Note that upper bound doesn't have to be tight, for example:

```
given f(n) = n, g(n) = n^2, k = 1, n_0 = 1, apparently for n \ge n_0, f(n) \le k \cdot g(n), or for n \ge 1, n \le 1 \cdot n^2, so n \in O(n^2).
```

• But, if $g(n) = n^3$, we still have for $n \ge 1$, $n \le 1 \cdot n^3$, so $n \in O(n^3)$ as well.

Definition of Little-o:

for any given function f(n), if there exists two positive constants k, n_0 and another function g(n), we say that $f(n) \in o(g(n))$ if and only if $f(n) < k \cdot g(n)$ when $n \ge n_0$. (Tight upper bound)

• Definition of Big- Ω :

for any given function f(n), if there exists two constants k, n_0 and another function g(n), we say that $f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$ if and only if $f(n) \ge k \cdot g(n)$ when $n \ge n_0$. (Lower bound)

• Definition of Little-ω:

for any given function f(n), if there exists two positive constants k, n_0 and another function g(n), we say that $f(n) \in \omega(g(n))$ if and only if $f(n) > k \cdot g(n)$ when $n \ge n_0$. (Tight lower bound)

• Definition of Big-Θ:

for any given function f(n), if there exists three constants k_1 , k_2 , n_0 and another function g(n), we say that $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$ if and only if $k_1 \cdot g(n) \le f(n) \le k_2 \cdot g(n)$ when $n \ge n_0$. (Tight bound)

• Let' move on another example, sequential search.

Operations:

- 1. empty check, t_1 ;
- 2. return, t_2 ;
- 3. assign, t_3 ;
- 4. compare <, t_4 ;
- 5. get size, t_5 ;
- 6. addition, t_6 ;
- 7. compare ==, t_7 ;
- Dominant statement, for-loop.

- Trivial case, if A is empty, the for-loop will be executed 0 time.
- Best case, if the first item of A is the item we are looking for, the for-loop will be executed 1 time.
- Worst case, if the last item of A is the item we are looking for, the for-loop will be executed N times.

- Average case: assuming each item has equal probability p to be the item we are looking for, the for-loop is expected to be executed Np times.
- Which case should we use?

Example 2 - approximation analysis

In the worst case:

$$T = (t_3 + t_4 + t_5 + t_6) \times N + t_3 + t_7$$

Example 2 - approximation analysis

$$T = (t_3 + t_4 + t_5 + t_6) \times N + t_3 + t_7$$

Let's assume:

$$a = (t_3 + t_4 + t_5 + t_6)$$

 $b = t_3 + t_7$

Then,

$$T = aN + b$$

This is a function of N, so,

$$T(N) = aN + b$$

Example 2 - asymptotic analysis

• Given T(N) = aN + b, let's prove $T(N) \in O(N)$. Let g(N) = N, k = a + b, $n_0 = 1$, Obviously, for $N \ge 1$, which is $N \ge n_0$, b < bN $aN + b \le aN + bN$ $T(N) \le aN + bN$ $T(N) \le (a+b)N$ $T(N) \le (a+b)g(N)$ $T(N) \leq kg(N)$

So, we proved that for $N \ge 1$, $T(N) \in O(N)$.

- The while-loop dominates the algorithm.
- For simplicity, let's assume the while-loop takes *a* time to be executed once.
- In the worst case, the item we are looking for is the first or the last item of the array, the while-loop will be executed $log_2(N)$ times.

• Given $T(n) = a\log_2(n)$, let's prove $T(n) \in O(\log_2(n))$. Let $g(n) = \log_2(n)$, k = 2a, $n_0 = 1$, obviously, $a\log_2(n) \le 2a\log_2(n)$ when n > 1. Hence, $T(n) \in O(\log_2(n))$.

```
void bubbleSortNonDesending(std::vector<int> & A)
  bool ff_not_sorted = true;
 while(ff_not_sorted)
    ff not sorted = false;
    for(int i = 1; i < A.size(); ++i)
      if(A[i-1] > A[i])
        int temp = A[i-1];
       A[i-1] = A[i];
       A[i] = temp;
        ff_not_sorted = true;
```

- The while-loop dominates the algorithm. The for-loop dominates the body of whileloop.
- Inside the for-loop, assume it takes a time to execute the ifstatement if the condition is true, otherwise it takes b time to execute the if-statement.

```
void bubbleSortNonDesending(std::vector<int> & A)
  bool ff_not_sorted = true;
  while(ff_not_sorted)
    ff not sorted = false;
    for(int i = 1; i < A.size(); ++i)
      if(A[i-1] > A[i])
        int temp = A[i-1];
       A[i-1] = A[i];
        A[i] = temp;
        ff_not_sorted = true;
```

- In the worst case, the input array has N items in ascending order.
- For instance, $A = \{5, 4, 3, 2, 1\}$

```
void bubbleSortNonDesending(std::vector<int> & A)
 bool ff_not_sorted = true;
 while(ff_not_sorted)
  ff_not_sorted = false;
   for(int i = 1; i < A.size(); ++i)
      if(A[i-1] > A[i])
       int temp = A[i-1];
       A[i-1] = A[i];
       A[i] = temp;
       ff_not_sorted = true;
```

- The 1st repeat of while-loop:
- Before for-loop:

$$A = \{5, 4, 3, 2, 1\}$$
 $i = 1$:
 $A = \{4, 5, 3, 2, 1\}$
 $i = 2$:
 $A = \{4, 3, 5, 2, 1\}$
 $i = 3$:
 $A = \{4, 3, 2, 5, 1\}$
 $i = 4$:
 $A = \{4, 3, 2, 1, 5\}$

- The 2nd repeat of while-loop:
- Before for-loop:

$$A = \{4, 3, 2, 1, 5\}$$

 $i = 1$:
 $A = \{3, 4, 2, 1, 5\}$
 $i = 2$:
 $A = \{3, 2, 4, 1, 5\}$
 $i = 3$:
 $A = \{3, 2, 1, 4, 5\}$
 $i = 4$:
 $A = \{3, 2, 1, 4, 5\}$

- The 3rd repeat of while-loop:
- Before for-loop:

$$A = \{3, 2, 1, 4, 5\}$$

 $i = 1$:
 $A = \{2, 3, 1, 4, 5\}$
 $i = 2$:
 $A = \{2, 1, 3, 4, 5\}$
 $i = 3$:
 $A = \{2, 1, 3, 4, 5\}$
 $i = 4$:
 $A = \{2, 1, 3, 4, 5\}$

- The 4th repeat of while-loop:
- Before for-loop:

$$A = \{2, 1, 3, 4, 5\}$$

 $i = 1$:
 $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$
 $i = 2$:
 $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$
 $i = 3$:
 $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$
 $i = 4$:
 $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$

- The 5th repeat of while-loop:
- Before for-loop:

$$A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$$

 $i = 1$:
 $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$
 $i = 2$:
 $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$
 $i = 3$:
 $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$
 $i = 4$:
 $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$

```
void bubbleSortNonDesending(std::vector<int> & A)
  bool ff_not_sorted = true;
 while(ff_not_sorted)
    ff not sorted = false;
    for(int i = 1; i < A.size(); ++i)
      if(A[i-1] > A[i])
        int temp = A[i-1];
       A[i-1] = A[i];
       A[i] = temp;
        ff_not_sorted = true;
```

• Since the 5th repeat of while-loop doesn't swap any item, the flag ff_not_sorted will be true, so the while-loop condition will be false, the algorithm will be terminated.

```
void bubbleSortNonDesending(std::vector<int> & A)
  bool ff_not_sorted = true;
 while(ff_not_sorted)
   ff not sorted = false;
    for(int i = 1; i < A.size(); ++i)
      if(A[i-1] > A[i])
        int temp = A[i-1];
       A[i-1] = A[i];
       A[i] = temp;
        ff_not_sorted = true;
```

In summary,

$$T(5)$$
= $(4a) + (3a + b) + (2a + 2b)$
+ $(a + 3b) + (4b)$
= $(a + b) \frac{4(1 + 4)}{2}$

• More generally,

$$T(n) = (a+b)\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

• Given $T(n)=(a+b)\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$, let's prove $T(n)\in O(n^2)$. Let $g(n)=n^2$, k=a+b, $n_0=1$, obviously, when n>1,

$$n \le n^{2},$$

$$\frac{(a+b)}{2} n \le \frac{(a+b)}{2} n^{2}$$

$$\frac{(a+b)}{2} n^{2} + \frac{(a+b)}{2} n \le \frac{(a+b)}{2} n^{2} + \frac{(a+b)}{2} n^{2}$$

$$T(n) = \frac{(a+b)}{2} n^{2} - \frac{(a+b)}{2} n < \frac{(a+b)}{2} n^{2} + \frac{(a+b)}{2} n \le (a+b)n^{2}$$

Hence, $T(n) \in O(n^2)$.

Example 5 – Multiplication of matrices

```
import numpy as np

import numpy as np

# A and B are both n-by-n matrices

def multipleMatrices(A, B):

if(A.shape[1] != B.shape[0]):

return None

ans = np.zeros((A.shape[0], B.shape[1]))

for i in range(A.shape[0]):

for j in range(B.shape[1]):

for k in range(A.shape[1]):

ans[i,j] += A[i,k]*B[k,j]

return ans
```

- The nested for-loop dominates the algorithm.
- For simplicity, assuming both matrices are *n*-by-*n* square matrices.
- Assume it takes *a* time to execute line 11.

• In summary,

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a = an^{3}$$

```
void mergeSort(vector<int>& A)
  mergeSortSplit(A, 0, A.size());
void mergeSortSplit(vector<int>& A, int begin, int end)
  if(end - begin <= 1) return; //one item itself is sorted</pre>
  int middle = int((begin + end) / 2);
 mergeSortSplit(A, begin, middle);
 mergeSortSplit(A, middle, end);
  mergeSortMerge(A, begin, middle, end);
void mergeSortMerge(vector<int>& A, int begin, int middle, int end)
    vector<int> B(A.begin()+begin, A.begin()+middle);
    vector<int> C(A.begin()+middle, A.begin()+end);
    int p = 0, q = 0, k = begin;
    while(p < B.size() && q < C.size())</pre>
        if(B[p] < C[q]) A[k++] = B[p++];
                         A[k++] = C[q++];
        else
    while(p < B.size()) A[k++] = B[p++];
    while(q < C.size()) A[k++] = C[q++];
```

- Merge sort is a typical divide and conquer algorithm.
- During the divide stage, the original problem is divided into equally two half, so

$$T(N) = 2T\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) + f(N)$$

• f(N) is the time taken to merge the subproblem (function mergeSortMerge).

```
void mergeSort(vector<int>& A)
  mergeSortSplit(A, 0, A.size());
void mergeSortSplit(vector<int>& A, int begin, int end)
  if(end - begin <= 1) return; //one item itself is sorted</pre>
  int middle = int((begin + end) / 2);
 mergeSortSplit(A, begin, middle);
 mergeSortSplit(A, middle, end);
 mergeSortMerge(A, begin, middle, end);
void mergeSortMerge(vector<int>& A, int begin, int middle, int end)
    vector<int> B(A.begin()+begin, A.begin()+middle);
    vector<int> C(A.begin()+middle, A.begin()+end);
    int p = 0, q = 0, k = begin;
    while(p < B.size() && q < C.size())</pre>
        if(B[p] < C[q]) A[k++] = B[p++];
                         A[k++] = C[q++];
        else
    while(p < B.size()) A[k++] = B[p++];
    while(q < C.size()) A[k++] = C[q++];
```

- In function *mergeSortMerge*, the array B and array C sorted the sorted sub-array and the 3 while-loop will merge the 2 sorted subarray into 1 array.
- In each repeat of any of the while-loop, one element is put into where it's supposed to be.

```
void mergeSort(vector<int>& A)
  mergeSortSplit(A, 0, A.size());
void mergeSortSplit(vector<int>& A, int begin, int end)
  if(end - begin <= 1) return; //one item itself is sorted</pre>
  int middle = int((begin + end) / 2);
 mergeSortSplit(A, begin, middle);
 mergeSortSplit(A, middle, end);
  mergeSortMerge(A, begin, middle, end);
void mergeSortMerge(vector<int>& A, int begin, int middle, int end)
    vector<int> B(A.begin()+begin, A.begin()+middle);
    vector<int> C(A.begin()+middle, A.begin()+end);
    int p = 0, q = 0, k = begin;
    while(p < B.size() && q < C.size())</pre>
        if(B[p] < C[q]) A[k++] = B[p++];
                         A[k++] = C[q++];
        else
    while(p < B.size()) A[k++] = B[p++];
    while(q < C.size()) A[k++] = C[q++];
```

• The 3 while-loops are dominant. Assume it takes takes *a* time to execute one repeat of the while-loop.

$$f(N) = aN$$

 Where, N is the total sizes of the 2 subproblems. So,

$$T(N) = 2T\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) + aN$$

• Solve it, $T(N) = N + a \cdot N \cdot \log_2(N)$ $T(N) \in O(N\log_2(N))$

$$T(N) = 2T\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) + aN, \text{ so, } T\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) = 2T\left(\frac{N}{2^2}\right) + a\frac{N}{2}, T\left(\frac{N}{2^2}\right) = 2T\left(\frac{N}{2^3}\right) + a\frac{N}{2^2}$$
 Plugging in, we have
$$T(N) = 2\left(2T\left(\frac{N}{2^2}\right) + a\frac{N}{2}\right) + aN = 2^2T\left(\frac{N}{2^2}\right) + aN + aN$$

$$T(N) = 2^2\left(2T\left(\frac{N}{2^3}\right) + a\frac{N}{2^2}\right) + aN + aN = 2^3T\left(\frac{N}{2^3}\right) + aN + aN + aN$$
 ..., assuming $N = 2^k$
$$T(N) = 2^kT\left(\frac{N}{2^k}\right) + akN = N + aN\log_2(N)$$

Example 7 – compute Fibonacci 1

```
void fibonacci(int N)
 int ans = 0
 if(N == 0) return 0;
 else if (N < 2) return 1;
int f1 = 0, f2 = 1;
 for(int i = 2; i \le N; ++i)
    int ans = f1 + f2;
   f1 = f2;
    f2 = ans;
  return ans;
```

- The for-loop dominate the algorithm.
- Assume it takes *a* time to execute the body of for-loop.
- $T(N) = a(N-1) \in O(N)$.

Example 8 – compute Fibonacci 2

```
// naive computation of fibonacci
void fibonacci(int N)
{
  if(N == 0) return 0;
  if(N == 1) return 1;
  return fibonacci(N-1)+fibonacci(N-2);
}
```

• The running time of the algorithm:

$$T(N) = T(N-1) + T(N-2) + 1$$

Solving the linear recursive equation:

$$T(N) = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^N + \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^N$$

• Given $T(n)=\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n+\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n$, let's prove $T(n)\in O(2^n)$. Let $g(n)=2^n, \ k=2, \ n_0=1,$ obviously, when n>1,

$$\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \le 2 \to \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n \le 2^n$$

$$\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \le 2 \to \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n \le 2^n$$

$$T(n) \le 2 \cdot 2^n$$

Hence, $T(n) \in O(2^n)$.