CS6170: RANDOMIZED ALGORITHMS PROBLEM SET #1

Name: Your name Marks: 25

ROLL No: Your roll number Due: August 27, 23:59

Problem 1 3 marks

Suppose that you want to generate a random permutation of the sequence of numbers 1 to *n*. You have at your disposal, a source of unbiased random bits. Give an efficient algorithm to generate a random permutation using as few random bits as possible from the source.

Solution:

Number of possible permutations = n!. We can number every single possible permutation and match it with a certain number. For example, we can use increasing order as the base queue and count the remaining permutations in a similar manner to alphabetic order.

This gives a requirement of ciel(log(n!)) as the number of random bit required to generate a number representing a permutation.

Problem 2 4 marks

While discussing Frievald's algorithm for verifying matrix multiplication, the following algorithms were proposed in class.

- 1. **Algorithm 1**: Given A, B, C, choose a number j uniformly at random from $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and multiply A with the j^{th} column of B and check if it matches the j^{th} column of C entrywise.
- 2. **Algorithm 2**: Given A, B, C, choose two numbers i and j uniformly at random from $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and multiply the i^{th} row of A and the j^{th} column of B and check if the product is equal to the $(i, j)^{th}$ entry of C.

Analyze the two algorithms and explain which one is better. Are these algorithms better than Frievald's algorithm? What is the running time of this algorithm if I want to make the error probability ϵ ?

Solution:

Algorithm 1:

Let the j^{th} column of B be B_j and similarly for C_j

The two cases:

Case 1: $AB_i \neq C_i$: Then Pr(error) = 0

Case 2: $AB_i = C_i$: Then $Pr(Error) = Pr(AB \neq C)$

 $D = AB - C \neq 0$, thus D must have at least 1 non zero element. $Pr(D[i][j] \neq 0) \geq 1/n^2$

The probability of choosing a non-zero element in D_j is $\geq n * \frac{1}{n^2} = 1/n$

$$\therefore Pr(error) \leq 1 - 1/n$$

Run-time of Algo 1: $O(n^2)$

Algorithm 2:

Let A_i be the i^{th} row of A and B_j be the j^{th} column of B.

Similarly this algo has a non-zero Pr(error) if $A_iB_j=C_{i,j}$

$$\therefore Pr(Error) \le 1 - 1/n^2$$

Run-time of Algo 1: O(n)

Comparison:

Let us compare to obtain an error of ϵ

Algo 1(Repeat k times):

$$Pr(Error)^k \le \epsilon$$

$$(1 - 1/n)^k \le e^{k/n} \le \epsilon$$

$$k = nln(\epsilon)$$

Run-Time =
$$k * O(n^2) = O(n^3 \epsilon)$$

Algo 2(Repeat k times):

$$Pr(Error)^k \le \epsilon$$

$$(1 - 1/n^2)^k \le e^{k/n^2} \le \epsilon$$

$$k = n^2 ln(\epsilon)$$

Run-Time =
$$k * O(n) = O(n^3 \epsilon)$$

Thus the effective performance is equivalent in both algorithms.

Both Algorithms are worse than Freivald's Algorithm with a much higher Pr(error)

Difference: Algo 1 uses 1 random variable(i) to pick a value from 1 to n whereas Algo 2 uses 2 random variables(i, j) from 1 to n. Algo 2 uses twice the amount of random bits to run.

Collaborator: A Balakrishnan (CS20B012)

Problem 3 5 marks

An s-t-cut in a graph is a set of edges such that their removal gives a new graph which does not contain a path from s to t. Consider the following modification of Karger's algorithm to compute the smallest s-t-cut in the graph: Choose a random edge in the graph such that it not between supernodes containing s and t, and contract it; keep continuing until the only

two supernodes are the ones containing *s* and *t*, and output this as the minimum *s*-*t*-cut.

Show that there are graphs such that the success probability of this algorithm finding the minimum *s-t*-cut is exponentially small.

Solution:

The graph used to depict the given problem consists of 2 segments, one having s and the other having t. We have a min-cut of n edges between them and both the segments have $2n^2 + 2n$ edges and 3n + 1 vertices each.

The configuration of the graph: We can look at the graph as 4 parts.

Part 1: A Star-like graph where s is the center connected to 2n vertices. 2n edges in Part 1.

Part 2: n vertices. Dense connection between the 2n vertices in Part 1 to n vertices. 2n * n edges between Part 2 and Part 1.

Part 3: *n* vertices. One on One connection between Part 2 and Part 3. *n* edges between Part 2 and 3.

Part 4: Similar to Part 1 along with a dense connection to Part 3, 2n vertices plus t at the center. 2n * n edges between 3, 4 and 2n in Part 4.

As we can see, the min-cut is n edges from Part 2 to Part 3. Let $m = 4n^2 + 5n$ be the total number of edges and v = 6n + 2 be the total number of vertices.

The probability that the min-cut edges are not chosen in each iteration can be calculated:

$$P = \frac{m-n}{m} * \frac{m-n-1}{m-1} * \frac{m-n-2-\delta}{m-2-\delta}.....(v-2terms)$$

Delta is a possible variable because one contraction of vertices can remove more than one edge making P only have v-2 terms representing v-2 iterations.

$$\begin{split} & \frac{m-n-i-\delta}{m-i-\delta} < \frac{m-n-i}{m-i} \text{ for all } v-2 \text{ terms.} \\ & P < \frac{m-n}{m} * \frac{m-n-1}{m-1} * \frac{m-n-2}{m-2} \frac{m-n-v+1}{m-v+1} \\ & P < (1-n/m)*(1-n/m-1)*(1-n/m-2).....(1-n/m-v+1) < e^{-n/m}*e^{-n/m-1}....e^{-n/m-v+1} \\ & P < e^{-n*1/m+1/m-1+1/m-2....1/m-v+1} < e^{-n*H(m)} \\ & \therefore P < e^{-n*H(4n^2+5n)} \end{split}$$

Collaborator: Abdullah Mohammed (CS20B001)

Problem 4 8 marks

Consider the following randomized algorithm.

Algorithm 1:

- $_1$ Set X ← 0
- ² repeat *n* times
- Set $X \leftarrow X + 1$ with probability $1/2^X$
- $_{4} \text{ Set } Y \leftarrow 2^{X} 1$
- (a) (5 marks) Compute $\mathbb{E}[Y]$.

Solution:

Let X_t denote the value of X after t iterations and P(t, i) denote $Pr(X_t == i)$.

$$E[Y] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(n, i) * (2^{i} - 1)$$

Let us expand P(n, i)

$$P(n,i) = P(n-1,i-1) * 1/2^{i-1} + P(n-1,i) * (1-1/2^{i})$$

$$P(n,i) = \frac{P(n-1,i-1)}{2^{i-1}} - \frac{P(n-1,i)}{1/2^{i}} + P(n-1,i)$$

$$P(n,i)*(2^i-1) = 2^i*P(n-1,i) + 2P(n-1,i-1) - 2P(n-1,i) + \frac{P(n-1,i)}{1/2^i} - \frac{P(n-1,i-1)}{2^{i-1}}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(n,i)(2^{i}-1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P(n-1,i)(2^{i}) + 2P(n-1,0) - 2P(n-1,n) + \frac{P(n-1,n)}{1/2^{n}} - \frac{P(n-1,0)}{2^{0}} + \frac{P(n-1,n)}{2^{n}} - \frac{P(n-1,n)}{2^{n}} + \frac{P(n-1,n)}{2^{n}} - \frac{P(n-1,n)}{2^{n}} - \frac{P(n-1,n)}{2^{n}} + \frac{P(n-1,n)}{2^{n}} - \frac{P(n-1,n)}{2^{n}} - \frac{P(n-1,n)}{2^{n}} + \frac{P(n-1,n)}{2^{n}} - \frac{P$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(n,i)(2^{i}-1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P(n-1,i)(2^{i}-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P(n-1,i)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(n,i)(2^{i}-1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P(n-1,i)(2^{i}-1) + 1$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(n,i)(2^{i}-1) = \sum_{i=1}^{1} P(1,i)(2^{i}-1) + n - 1$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(n, i)(2^{i} - 1) = n$$

 $\therefore E[Y]$ is n.

(b) (3 marks) Give a tight bound on the number of bits required to represent X. Notice that the number of bits required to represent X is a random variable.

Solution:

We use Markov's Inequality :

$$Pr(Y \ge a) \le \frac{E[y]}{a}$$

$$E[Y] = n$$
, Let us take $a = n * c$

$$Pr(Y \ge nc) \le 1/c \rightarrow Pr(Y < nc) \ge 1 - 1/c$$

$$X = log_2(Y + 1) \rightarrow Pr(X < log_2(nc + 1)) \ge 1 - 1/c$$

Let us take $c = n, Pr(X < log_2(n^2 + 1)) \ge 1 - 1/n$

... With a probability at least of 1 - 1/n, X requires at most $log_2(log_2(n^2 + 1))$

Or in a generalized manner: $c = 1/\delta$

 \therefore With a probability at least of $1 - \delta$, X requires at most $log_2(log_2(n/\delta + 1))$

Problem 5 5 marks

A collection of *n* bits X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are said to be *k*-wise independent if for every subset *S* of

k bits among the n, and for $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k \in \{0, 1\}$, we have

$$\Pr\left[\bigcap_{i\in S} X_i = b_i\right] = \prod_{i\in S} \Pr\left[X_i = b_i\right]$$

Consider the following construction: Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \{0, 1\}^{\ell}$ be n vectors such that every set of k vectors are linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_2 . Let $\mathbf{y} \in \{0, 1\}^{\ell}$ be chosen uniformly at random. Define X_i s as follows:

$$X_i = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{x}_{i,j} \mathbf{y}_j\right) \mod 2.$$

Show that X_i s are k-wise independent.

Solution: Type your solution here.