## STATE OF OHIO DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Division of Financial Institutions Consumer Finance

In the matter of:	) Case No. M2006-9992876
CATHY L. HOWARD 3874 Wintergreen Boulevard. Gahanna, OH 43230	<ul> <li>DIVISION ORDER</li> <li>Denial of Loan Officer License Renewal Application</li> <li>&amp;</li> <li>Notice of Appellate Rights</li> </ul>

WHEREAS, the Ohio Department of Commerce, by and through the Superintendent of the Division of Financial Institutions ("Division"), is charged with the responsibility of administering and enforcing the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act, as amended by Ohio Senate Bill 76 and codified in Ohio Revised Code ("R.C.") Chapter 1322, the Division finds that this Order is necessary and appropriate, in the interest of the public, and is consistent with the purposes of the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act; and

WHEREAS, Cathy L. Howard ("Respondent") applied to the Division to renew her loan officer license pursuant to R.C. Chapter 1322; and

WHEREAS, on June 29, 2006, the Division issued Respondent a Notice which informed Respondent that the Division had conducted an investigation of Respondent and, as a result thereof, alleged that:

- 1. Respondent has not proven to the superintendent, by a preponderance of the evidence, that she is honest, truthful, and of good reputation, and that there is no basis in fact to believe that she will be subject to a judgment for fraud and conversion again, as required by R.C. 1322.041(A)(4) and (B)(3); and
- 2. Respondent's character and general fitness do not command the confidence of the public and warrant the belief that the business will be operated honestly and fairly in compliance with the purposes of the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act, as required by R.C. 1322.041(A)(5); and
- 3. Because Respondent did not meet the conditions for renewal outlined in R.C. 1322.041(A)(4), (A)(5), and (B)(3), the Division has the authority to refuse to renew Respondent's loan officer license.

WHEREAS, the Notice informed Respondent of the Division's intent to deny Respondent's loan officer license application and of the opportunity for a hearing regarding the denial if requested within thirty days from the mailing of the Notice. The Notice further cautioned Respondent that "[i]f the Ohio Division of Financial Institutions d[id] not receive a written request for a hearing in its offices within thirty (30) days of the time of the mailing of th[e] Notice, the Superintendent w[ould] issue an order denying Respondent a loan officer license[;]"

WHEREAS, the Notice was mailed to Respondent, via certified mail, on June 29, 2006, and service was perfected;

WHEREAS, Respondent failed to timely request a hearing by the statutory deadline and thereby failed to defend against the Division's allegations;

WHEREAS, the Division finds that the allegations contained in the Notice are true and that Respondent's loan officer license renewal application should be denied;

Respondent, Cathy L. Howard, is hereby denied a loan officer license.

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Respondent is hereby notified that this Order may be appealed, pursuant to R.C. 119.12, by filing a notice of appeal with the Ohio Division of Financial Institutions, setting forth the Order appealed from and the grounds for the appeal. A copy of such notice of appeal must also be filed with the court of common pleas of the county in which the place of business of the Respondent is located, or the county in which the Respondent is a resident. A notice of appeal must be filed within fifteen (15) days after the date of mailing of this Order.

Signed and sealed this 15<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2006.

\_\_\_\_\_

## ROBERT M. GRIESER

Deputy Superintendent for Consumer Finance Division of Financial Institutions Ohio Department of Commerce