

**STATE OF OHIO**  
**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Division of Financial Institutions**  
**Consumer Finance**

In the matter of:	)	Case No. 04-0346-LOD
	)	
<b>JASON S. PORTERFIELD</b>	)	<b>Notice of Intent to Deny Loan Officer License Application</b>
2525 Gooding Road	)	<b>&amp;</b>
Marion, Ohio 43302	)	<b>Notice of Opportunity for a Hearing</b>
	)	

---

**JURISDICTION**

The Ohio Department of Commerce, by and through the Superintendent of the Division of Financial Institutions ("Division"), is charged with the responsibility of administering and enforcing the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act, codified in Ohio Revised Code ("R.C.") Chapter 1322. In accordance therewith, the Division is accountable for the licensing of individuals as residential mortgage loan officers.

**RESPONDENT**

**JASON S. PORTERFIELD** ("Respondent") has applied to the Division for a loan officer license. His address of record is 2525 Gooding Road, Marion, Ohio 43302, and his date of birth is April 4, 1972. Respondent's employer of record is Greystone Mortgage, 5304 McKittrick Boulevard, Columbus, Ohio 43235.

**NOTICE OF PROPOSED ACTION**

In accordance with sections 1322.041 and 1322.10 of the R.C., and R.C. Chapter 119, the Division intends to DENY Respondent's loan officer license application.

**BASIS FOR PROPOSED ACTION**

The Division has conducted an investigation of Respondent, pursuant to R.C. 1322.031(B), and has found the following:

- A. In or around 1990, in the Marion County Municipal Court, Marion County, Ohio, Respondent was convicted of THEFT.
- B. In or around 1991, in the Marion County Municipal Court, Marion County, Ohio, Respondent was convicted of CRIMINAL TRESPASS.
- C. In or around 1991, in the Court of Common Pleas, Marion County, Ohio, Respondent was convicted of THEFT, a misdemeanor of the first degree.
- D. In or around 1992, in the Port Clinton Municipal Court, Ottawa County, Ohio, Respondent was convicted of FALSIFICATION, a misdemeanor of the first degree.
- E. In or around 1992, in the Port Clinton Municipal Court, Ottawa County, Ohio, Respondent was convicted of UNAUTHORIZED USE OF PROPERTY.
- F. In or around 1992, in the Port Clinton Municipal Court, Ottawa County, Ohio, Respondent was convicted of ATTEMPTED TAMPERING WITH RECORDS, a misdemeanor of the first degree.

- G. In or around 1993, in the Court of Common Pleas, Marion County, Ohio, Respondent was convicted of **TRAFFICKING IN MARIJUANA**, a felony of the fourth degree.
- H. In or around 1995, in the Port Clinton Municipal Court, Ottawa County, Ohio, Respondent was convicted of **GIVING FALSE INFORMATION TO AN ARRESTING OFFICER**.
- I. In or around 2000, in the United States District Court, Southern District of Ohio at Columbus, Respondent was convicted of **UNLAWFUL DISTRIBUTION OF COCAINE**, and **POSSESSION WITH INTENT TO DISTRIBUTE MARIJUANA**.

As a result of the findings listed above, the Division has determined that:

- 1. Respondent's actions, as listed above in Paragraph A, show that he has not proven that he is honest, truthful, and of good reputation, and that there is no basis in fact for believing that he will not commit another criminal offense involving theft. See R.C. sections 1322.031(A)(2) and 1322.041(A)(3).
- 2. Respondent's action, as listed above in Paragraph A, shows his character and general fitness do not command the confidence of the public and warrant the belief that the business will be operated honestly and fairly in compliance with the purposes of the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act. See R.C. 1322.041(A)(5).
- 3. Respondent's actions, as listed above in Paragraph B, show his character and general fitness do not command the confidence of the public and warrant the belief that the business will be operated honestly and fairly in compliance with the purposes of the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act. See R.C. 1322.041(A)(5).
- 4. Respondent's actions, as listed above in Paragraph C, show that he has not proven that he is honest, truthful, and of good reputation, and that there is no basis in fact for believing that he will not commit another criminal offense involving theft. See R.C. sections 1322.031(A)(2) and 1322.041(A)(3).
- 5. Respondent's action, as listed above in Paragraph C, shows his character and general fitness do not command the confidence of the public and warrant the belief that the business will be operated honestly and fairly in compliance with the purposes of the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act. See R.C. 1322.041(A)(5).
- 6. Respondent's action, as listed above in Paragraph D, shows his character and general fitness do not command the confidence of the public and warrant the belief that the business will be operated honestly and fairly in compliance with the purposes of the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act. See R.C. 1322.041(A)(5).
- 7. Respondent's action, as listed above in Paragraph E, shows his character and general fitness do not command the confidence of the public and warrant the belief that the business will be operated honestly and fairly in compliance with the purposes of the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act. See R.C. 1322.041(A)(5).
- 8. Respondent's action, as listed above in Paragraph F, shows his character and general fitness do not command the confidence of the public and warrant the belief that the business will be operated honestly and fairly in compliance with the purposes of the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act. See R.C. 1322.041(A)(5).
- 9. Respondent's actions, as listed above in Paragraph G, show that he has not proven that he is honest, truthful, and of good reputation, and that there is no basis in fact for believing that he will not commit

another criminal offense involving drug trafficking. See R.C. sections 1322.031(A)(2) and 1322.041(A)(3)

10. Respondent's action, as listed above in Paragraph G, shows his character and general fitness do not command the confidence of the public and warrant the belief that the business will be operated honestly and fairly in compliance with the purposes of the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act. See R.C. 1322.041(A)(5).
11. Respondent's action, as listed above in Paragraph H, shows his character and general fitness do not command the confidence of the public and warrant the belief that the business will be operated honestly and fairly in compliance with the purposes of the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act. See R.C. 1322.041(A)(5).
12. Respondent's actions, as listed above in Paragraph I, show that he has not proven that he is honest, truthful, and of good reputation, and that there is no basis in fact for believing that he will not commit another criminal offense involving drug trafficking. See R.C. sections 1322.031(A)(2) and 1322.041(A)(3).
13. Respondent's action, as listed above in Paragraph I, shows his character and general fitness do not command the confidence of the public and warrant the belief that the business will be operated honestly and fairly in compliance with the purposes of the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act. See R.C. 1322.041(A)(5).

#### **NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING ON PROPOSED ACTION**

Therefore, pursuant to R.C. Chapters 1322 and 119, Respondent is hereby notified that thirty-one (31) days from the date of the mailing of this Notice, the Superintendent intends to issue an order denying Respondent a loan officer license under the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act.

Respondent is further notified, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 119, that Respondent is entitled to a hearing on this matter. If Respondent desires to request a hearing, the request must be made in writing, and must be received in the offices of the Ohio Division of Financial Institutions within thirty (30) days of the time of the mailing of this Notice. Hearing requests should be addressed: Ohio Division of Financial Institutions, Attn: Diane Wagenbrenner, Consumer Finance Staff Attorney, 77 South High Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215-6120.

At the hearing, Respondent may appear in person, by Respondent's attorney, or by such other representative as is permitted to practice before the Agency, or Respondent may present its position, arguments, or contentions in writing, and, at the hearing, may present evidence and examine witnesses appearing for and against Respondent.

If the Ohio Division of Financial Institutions does not receive a written request for a hearing in its offices within thirty (30) days of the time of the mailing of this Notice, the Superintendent will issue an order denying Respondent a loan officer license.

Signed and sealed this 19<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2004.

---

**ROBERT M. GRIESER**

Deputy Superintendent for Consumer Finance  
Division of Financial Institutions  
Ohio Department of Commerce

CERTIFIED MAIL 7002 2030 0002 6801 6654