

STATE OF OHIO
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Division of Financial Institutions
Consumer Finance

In the matter of:)	Case No. M2010-914
)	
WILLIAM C. HOWARD)	<u>DIVISION ORDER</u>
11662 Symmes Valley Drive)	Refusal to Issue Loan Originator License
Loveland, OH 45140)	&
)	Notice of Appellate Rights
)	

WHEREAS, the Ohio Department of Commerce, by and through the Superintendent of the Division of Financial Institutions (“Division”) is charged with the responsibility of administering and enforcing the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act, as codified in Ohio Revised Code (“R.C.”) Chapter 1322, and finds that this Order is necessary and appropriate, in the interest of the public, and is consistent with the purposes of the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act; and

WHEREAS, **WILLIAM C. HOWARD** (“Respondent”) applied for a loan originator license; and

WHEREAS, on October 21, 2010, the Division issued Respondent a Notice that informed him that the Division had conducted an investigation of Respondent and, as a result thereof, alleged that:

- A. The Division is authorized by R.C. 1322.10(A)(1)(a) to refuse to issue a loan originator license if the Division finds that the licensee or applicant has violated or failed “to comply with any provision of sections 1322.01 to 1322.12 of the Revised Code or the rules adopted under those sections or any other law applicable to the business conducted[.]”
- B. Respondent held a loan officer license (LO.005124) during the 2008 calendar year.
- C. Prior to January 1, 2010, R.C. 1322.052 required every licensed loan officer to complete at least six (6) hours of approved continuing education (“CE”) every calendar year (by December 31st).
- D. Respondent failed to complete the required 6 hours of CE credit for the 2008 calendar year as required by R.C. 1322.052.
- E. Because Respondent failed to comply with R.C. 1322.052, the Division is authorized under R.C. 1322.10(A)(1)(a) to refuse to issue a loan originator license.

WHEREAS, the Notice informed Respondent of the Division’s intent to refuse his loan originator license application and of the opportunity for a hearing regarding the refusal if requested within thirty days from the mailing of the Notice. The Notice further cautioned

Respondent that “[i]f the Ohio Division of Financial Institutions d[id] not receive a written request for a hearing in its offices within thirty (30) days of the time of the mailing of th[e] Notice, the Superintendent w[ould] issue an order refusing to issue Respondent a loan originator license[;]”

WHEREAS, the Notice was mailed to Respondent, via certified mail, on October 21, 2010 and service was perfected;

WHEREAS, Respondent failed to request a hearing by the statutory deadline and thereby failed to defend against the Division’s allegations;

WHEREAS, the Division finds that the allegations contained in the Notice are true and that Respondent’s loan originator license application should be refused;

THEREFORE, Respondent William C. Howard’s loan originator license application is hereby REFUSED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

NOTICE OF APPELLATE RIGHTS

Respondent is hereby notified that pursuant to R.C. 119.12, this Division Order may be appealed by filing a notice of appeal with the Division setting forth the Order that Respondent is appealing from and stating that the Division’s order is not supported by reliable, probative, and substantial evidence and is not in accordance with law. The notice of appeal may also include, but is not required to include, the specific grounds for the appeal. The notice of appeal must also be filed with the appropriate court of common pleas in accordance with R.C. 119.12. In filing the notice of appeal with the Division or court, the notice that is filed may be either the original notice or a copy of the original notice. A notice of appeal must be filed within fifteen (15) days after the date of mailing of this Division Order.

Signed and sealed this 3rd day of December, 2010.

CAROLYN L. BRADFORD
Superintendent
Division of Financial Institutions
Ohio Department of Commerce