

STATE OF OHIO
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Division of Financial Institutions
Consumer Finance

In the matter of:)	Case No. 05-0118-LOD
)	
GERALD SANABRIA)	Notice of Intent to Deny Loan Officer License Application
35 Sunny Side Lane)	&
Columbus, Ohio 43214)	Notice of Opportunity for a Hearing
)	

JURISDICTION

The Ohio Department of Commerce, by and through the Superintendent of the Division of Financial Institutions ("Division"), is charged with the responsibility of administering and enforcing the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act, codified in Ohio Revised Code ("R.C.") Chapter 1322. In accordance therewith, the Division is accountable for the licensing of individuals as residential mortgage loan officers.

RESPONDENT

GERALD SANABRIA ("Respondent") has applied to the Division for a loan officer license. His address of record is 35 Sunny Side Lane, Columbus, Ohio 43214, and his date of birth is December 6, 1961. Respondent's employer of record is Gordon Lending Corporation, 5940 Wilcox Place, Suite B, Dublin, Ohio 43016.

NOTICE OF PROPOSED ACTION

In accordance with sections 1322.041 and 1322.10 of the R.C., and R.C. Chapter 119, the Division intends to DENY Respondent's loan officer license application.

BASIS FOR PROPOSED ACTION

The Division has conducted an investigation of Respondent, pursuant to R.C. 1322.031(B), and has found the following:

- A. In or around 1981, in the Sheffield Lake Mayors' Court, Sheffield Lake, Ohio, Respondent pleaded no contest and was convicted of THEFT, a misdemeanor of the first degree.
- B. In or around 1982, in the District Court of Harris County, Harris County, Texas, Respondent pleaded guilty and was convicted of FORGERY.
- C. On or around October 20, 2004, Respondent attested in a sworn statement that information he provided about his criminal background on his loan officer license application he submitted to the Division was complete and truthful when it was not.
- D. On or around October 28, 2004, in an attempt to obtain a loan officer license, Respondent provided incomplete information about his criminal background to the Division.

As a result of the findings listed above, the Division has determined that:

- 1. Respondent has not proven that since his conviction listed above in Paragraph A, his activities and employment record show that he is honest, truthful, and of good reputation, and that there is no basis in fact for believing that he will not commit another criminal offense involving theft

or any criminal offense involving money or securities. See R.C. sections 1322.031(A)(2) and 1322.041(A)(3).

2. Respondent has not proven that, since his convictions listed above in Paragraph B, he is honest, truthful, and of good reputation, and that there is no basis in fact for believing that he will not commit another criminal offense involving forgery or any criminal offense involving money or securities. See R.C. sections 1322.031(A)(2) and 1322.041(A)(3).
3. Respondent's actions, as listed above in Paragraph A, show his character and general fitness do not command the confidence of the public and warrant the belief that the business will be operated honestly and fairly in compliance with the purposes of the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act. See R.C. 1322.041(A)(5).
4. Respondent's actions, as listed above in Paragraph B, show his character and general fitness do not command the confidence of the public and warrant the belief that the business will be operated honestly and fairly in compliance with the purposes of the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act. See R.C. 1322.041(A)(5).
5. Respondent's actions, as listed above in Paragraph C, show his character and general fitness do not command the confidence of the public and warrant the belief that the business will be operated honestly and fairly in compliance with the purposes of the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act. See R.C. 1322.041(A)(5).
6. Respondent's actions, as listed above in Paragraph D, show his character and general fitness do not command the confidence of the public and warrant the belief that the business will be operated honestly and fairly in compliance with the purposes of the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act. See R.C. 1322.041(A)(5).
7. Respondent's actions listed in Paragraph C violated R.C. 1322.07(A), which prohibits a loan officer applicant from "mak[ing] any substantial misrepresentation in any registration or license application[.]"
8. Respondent's actions listed in Paragraph D violated R.C. 1322.07(A), which prohibits a loan officer applicant from "mak[ing] any substantial misrepresentation in any registration or license application[.]"
9. Respondent's actions listed in Paragraph C violated R.C. 1322.07(B), which prohibits a loan officer applicant from "[m]ak[ing] false or misleading statements of a material fact, [or] omissions of statements required by state law[.]"
10. Respondent's actions listed in Paragraph D violated R.C. 1322.07(B), which prohibits a loan officer applicant from "[m]ak[ing] false or misleading statements of a material fact, [or] omissions of statements required by state law[.]"
11. Respondent's actions listed in Paragraph C violated R.C. 1322.07(C), which prohibits a loan officer applicant from "[e]ngage[ing] in conduct that constitutes improper, fraudulent, or dishonest dealings."
12. Respondent's actions listed in Paragraph D violated R.C. 1322.07(C), which prohibits a loan officer applicant from "[e]ngage[ing] in conduct that constitutes improper, fraudulent, or dishonest dealings."

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING ON PROPOSED ACTION

Therefore, pursuant to R.C. Chapters 1322 and 119, Respondent is hereby notified that thirty-one (31) days from the date of the mailing of this Notice, the Superintendent intends to issue an order denying Respondent a loan officer license under the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act.

Respondent is further notified, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 119, that Respondent is entitled to a hearing on this matter. If Respondent desires to request a hearing, the request must be made in writing, and must be received in the offices of the Ohio Division of Financial Institutions within thirty (30) days of the time of the mailing of this Notice. Hearing requests should be addressed: Ohio Division of Financial Institutions, Attn: Mark L. Rhea, Consumer Finance Staff Attorney, 77 South High Street, 21st Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215-6120.

At the hearing, Respondent may appear in person, by Respondent's attorney, or by such other representative as is permitted to practice before the Agency, or Respondent may present its position, arguments, or contentions in writing, and, at the hearing, may present evidence and examine witnesses appearing for and against Respondent.

If the Ohio Division of Financial Institutions does not receive a written request for a hearing in its offices within thirty (30) days of the time of the mailing of this Notice, the Superintendent will issue an order denying Respondent a loan officer license.

Signed and sealed this 30th day of June 2005.

ROBERT M. GRIESER

Deputy Superintendent for Consumer Finance
Division of Financial Institutions
Ohio Department of Commerce

Certified Mail No. 7002 2030 0002 6804 7221