## STATE OF OHIO DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Division of Financial Institutions Consumer Finance

In the matter of:	) Case No. M2006-9991489
HARRY MARANTIDES	) <u>DIVISION ORDER</u>
21344 Oak Bark Trail	Denial of Loan Officer License Application
Strongsville, OH 44149	<b>&amp;</b>
	) Notice of Appellate Rights

Respondent, Harry Marantides ("Respondent"), submitted a loan officer license application ("Application") to the Division of Financial Institutions ("Division") on December 5, 2005. On April 5, 2006, the Division notified Respondent that it intended to deny his Application because: (1) on or around January 23, 2003, the State of Ohio Department of Insurance denied Respondent a non-resident insurance agent license; (2) on or around June 6, 2003, the State of Arizona Department of Insurance revoked Respondent's license to transact insurance in Arizona; (3) on or around June 16, 2003, the State of Ohio Department of Insurance refused Respondent an insurance agent license; and (4) because Respondent's character and general fitness do not command the confidence of the public and warrant the belief that the business will be operated honestly and fairly in compliance with the purposes of R.C. sections 1322.01 to 1322.12, the Ohio Mortgage Broker Act.

Respondent requested an administrative hearing, which was held on June 20, 2006. Respondent appeared without counsel. A Report and Recommendation ("Report") was filed with the Division on December 6, 2006, recommending that the Division deny Respondent's Application. No objections were filed.

In accordance with R.C. 119.09, the Division has considered the record, consisting of the Report, the transcript of testimony and exhibits, as well as all applicable laws. (A copy of the Report is attached hereto). Following its review of the record, the Division hereby adopts the hearing officer's recommendation. Therefore, the Division denies the loan officer license application of Harry Marantides.

It is so ordered.

## **NOTICE OF APPELLATE RIGHTS**

Respondent is hereby notified that pursuant to R.C. 119.12, this Order may be appealed by filing a notice of appeal with the Ohio Division of Financial Institutions setting forth the Order appealed from and the grounds for the appeal. A copy of such notice of appeal must also be filed with the court of common pleas of the county in which the place of business of the Respondent is located, or the county in which the Respondent is a resident. A notice of appeal must be filed within fifteen (15) days after the date of mailing of this Order.

RICHARD F. KECK

Acting Deputy Superintendent for Consumer Finance Division of Financial Institutions Ohio Department of Commerce