Review Exam II, Fall 2021

Rebecca C. Steorts

Problem 4 (Exam 2, 2020), part a

$$\rho(y_{1:n} \mid z_{i}, \theta_{i}, \sigma_{i}^{2}) \qquad (1)$$

$$\propto \prod_{i} \{ N(y_{i} \mid \theta_{1}, \sigma_{1}^{2}) I(Z_{i} = 0) + N(y_{i} \mid \theta_{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}) I(Z_{i} = 1) \} \qquad (2)$$

$$\propto \prod_{i} \{ N(y_{i} \mid \theta_{1}, \sigma_{1}^{2}) p + N(y_{i} \mid \theta_{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}) (1 - p) \} \qquad (3)$$

$$\propto \prod_{i} \{ N(y_{i} \mid \theta_{Z_{i}}, \sigma_{Z_{i}}^{2}) P(Z_{i} \mid p) \} \qquad (4)$$

Problem 4 (Exam 2, 2020), part b

Using a latent variable approach, as illustrated in class, this will be easier to sample from as we should be able to sample from the full conditional distributions.

Problem 4 (Exam 2, 2020), part c

Derive the joint posterior distribution.

Problem 4 (Exam 2, 2020), part c

$$\begin{aligned}
&p(p,\theta_{1},\theta_{2},\sigma_{1}^{2},\sigma_{2}^{2},Z,p\mid y_{1:n}) & (5) \\
&\propto &p(p,\theta_{1},\theta_{2},\sigma_{1}^{2},\sigma_{2}^{2},Z,y_{1:n}) & (6) \\
&\propto &p(y_{1:n}\mid z_{i},\theta_{i},\sigma_{i}^{2}) & (7) \\
&p(\theta_{1}\mid \mu,\tau^{2})p(\theta_{2}\mid \mu,\tau^{2}) & (8) \\
&p(\sigma_{1}^{2}\mid v/2,v\gamma^{2}/2)p(\sigma_{2}^{2}\mid v/2,v\gamma^{2}/2) & (9) \\
&p(p\mid a,b) \times &p(Z_{i}\mid p) & (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Problem 4 (Exam 2, 2020), part c (alternative way)

$$p(p, \theta_1, \theta_2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, Z, p \mid y_{1:n})$$
 (11)

$$\propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \{ N(y_i \mid \theta_{Z_i}, \sigma_{Z_i^2}) P(Z_i \mid p) \}$$
 (12)

$$\prod_{j=1}^{2} \{ N(\theta_j \mid \mu, \tau^2) \times IG(\sigma_j^2 \mid \nu/2, \nu\gamma^2/2) \}$$
 (13)

$$\times \operatorname{Beta}(p \mid a, b) \tag{14}$$

$$\times$$
 Bernoulli($Z_i \mid p$) (15)

$$p(p \mid z) \propto p(p, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}, Z, p \mid y_{1:n})$$

$$\propto p(p, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}, Z, y_{1:n})$$

$$\propto p(y_{1:n} \mid z_{i}, \theta_{i}, \sigma_{i}^{2})$$

$$p(\theta_{1} \mid \mu, \tau^{2}) p(\theta_{2} \mid \mu, \tau^{2})$$

$$p(\sigma_{1}^{2} \mid v/2, v\gamma^{2}/2) p(\sigma_{2}^{2} \mid v/2, v\gamma^{2}/2)$$

$$p(p \mid a, b)$$

$$\propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \{N(y_{i} \mid \theta_{Z_{i}}, \sigma_{Z_{i}^{2}}) P(Z_{i} \mid p)\}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2} \{N(\theta_{j} \mid \mu, \tau^{2}) \times IG(\sigma_{j}^{2} \mid v/2, v\gamma^{2}/2)\}$$

$$\times \text{Beta}(p \mid a, b) \times \times \text{Bernoulli}(Z_{i} \mid p)$$

$$(24)$$

What is relevant here and what is not relevant?

Goal: We want to identify terms that we can drop that do not depend on our random variable. We repeat this process again and again!

$$p(p \mid z_i) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \{ N(y_i \mid \theta_{Z_i}, \sigma_{Z_i^2}) P(Z_i \mid p) \}$$
 (25)

$$\prod_{j=1}^{2} \{ N(\theta_j \mid \mu, \tau^2) \times IG(\sigma_j^2 \mid v/2, v\gamma^2/2) \}$$
 (26)

$$\times$$
 Beta $(p \mid a, b) \times Bernoulli(Z_i \mid p)$ (27)

$$\propto \text{Bernoulli}(Z_i \mid p) \times \text{Beta}(p \mid a, b)$$
 (28)

This will be an updated Beta distribution just like in Module 7, part III of the in class notes (slide 18).

Calculating the other full conditionals is similar. Let's why this is true.

$$p(\theta_{j} \mid \theta_{-j}, y_{1:n}, z, p) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \{ N(y_{i} \mid \theta_{Z_{i}}, \sigma_{Z_{i}^{2}}) P(Z_{i} \mid p) \}$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{2} \{ N(\theta_{j} \mid \mu, \tau^{2}) \times IG(\sigma_{j}^{2} \mid v/2, v\gamma^{2}/2) \}$$

$$\times \text{Beta}(p \mid a, b) \times \text{Bernoulli}(Z_{i} \mid p)$$

$$\propto \prod_{i:Z_{i}=j} \{ N(y_{i} \mid \theta_{j}, \sigma_{j}^{2}) N(\theta_{j} \mid \mu, \tau^{2}) \}$$
(32)
$$(33)$$

This leads to an updated normal-normal as we did in Module 3, expect we have two of them.