# Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Bayesian Statistics

Rebecca C. Steorts

# Agenda

- Maximum Likelihood Esimation
- Unbiased Estimators
- ► Invariance Proptery of MLEs
- ► Mean Squared Error
- Practice Exercises

#### Traditional inference

You are given data X and there is an unknown parameter you wish to estimate  $\theta$ 

How would you estimate  $\theta$ ?

- $\triangleright$  Find an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ .
- ▶ Find the maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of  $\theta$  by looking at the likelihood of the data.
- ▶ Suppose that  $\hat{\theta}$  estimates  $\theta$ .

Note:  $\hat{\theta}$  may depend on the data  $x_{1:n} = x_1, \dots x_n$ .

# **Unbiased Estimator**

Recall that  $\hat{\theta}$  is an **unbiased estimator** of  $\theta$  if

$$E[\hat{\theta}] = \theta. \tag{1}$$

.

## Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Assume sample points  $x_{1:n}$ .

Let  $\hat{\theta}$  be a parameter value at which  $p(x_{1:n} \mid \theta)$  attains its maximum as a function of  $\theta$ , with  $x_{1:n}$  held fixed.

A maximum likelihood esimator (MLE) of the parameter  $\theta$  based on a sample  $x_{1:n}$  is denoted by  $\hat{\theta}$ .

# Finding the MLE

The solution to the MLE are the possible candidates  $(\theta)$  that solve

$$\frac{\partial p(x_{1:n} \mid \theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0. \tag{2}$$

The solution to equation 2 are only **possible candidates** for the MLE.

Our job is to find a **global maximum**, and make sure that we have not found a **local maximum**.

Consider

$$X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathsf{Normal}(\theta, 1).$$

Show that the MLE is  $\hat{\theta} = \bar{x}$ .

Proof:

$$p(x_{1:n} \mid \theta) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \times \exp\{\frac{-1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \theta)^2\}$$
 (3)

Consider

$$\log p(x_{1:n}) = -n/2\log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \theta)^2$$
 (4)

$$\frac{\partial p(x_{1:n} \mid \theta)}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \theta)$$
 (5)

This implies that

$$\sum_{i}(x_{i}-\theta)=0 \implies \hat{\theta}=\bar{x}.$$

Consider

$$\frac{\partial^2 p(x_{1:n} \mid \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} = -n < 0.$$

Thus, our solution is unique (and a global solution).

# Invariance property of MLE's

If  $\hat{\theta}$  is the MLE of  $\theta$ , then for any function  $g(\theta)$ , the MLE of  $g(\theta)$  is the MLE of  $g(\hat{\theta})$ .

Proof: Theorem 7.2.10, Casella and Berger, page 318.

## **Evaluation of Estimators**

How do we evaluate estimators? We often use the mean squared error.

$$\mathsf{MSE}(\hat{\theta}) = E_{\theta}[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2].$$

Observe that

$$\mathsf{MSE}(\hat{\theta}) = \mathsf{Var}_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) + \mathsf{E}_{\theta}[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2] = \mathsf{Var}_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) + \mathsf{Bias}_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}),$$

where the

$$\mathsf{Bias}_{ heta}(\hat{ heta}) = \mathsf{E}_{ heta}(\hat{ heta}) - heta.$$

For a more in depth treatment of MSE and bias, see Section 7.3.1, Casella and Berger, p. 330 - 334.

# Exercise 1

Show that

$$\hat{\theta} = \bar{x}$$

is an unbiased estimator for  $\theta$ .

## Solution to Exercise 1

Proof.

$$E[\hat{\theta}] = E[\bar{x}] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} E[x_i] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \theta = \theta.$$

Thus, we have showed that the MLE is an unbiased estimator for  $\theta$ .

## Exercise 2

Consider

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Normal}(\theta, 1)$$
 (6)  
 $\theta \stackrel{ind}{\sim} \text{Normal}(\mu, \tau^2)$  (7)

Write the posterior mean as a function of the MLE and the prior mean  $\mu.$ 

# Solution to Exercise 2

Let 
$$\lambda = 1$$
 and  $\lambda_o = 1/\tau^2$ .

Recall that from module 3,

$$\theta \mid x_{1:n} \sim N(M, L^{-1}),$$

where

$$L = n\lambda + \lambda_o$$

and

$$M = \frac{n\lambda \bar{x} + \lambda_o \mu}{n\lambda + \lambda_o}.$$

## Solution to Exercise 2

Observe that

$$M = \frac{n\lambda\bar{x} + \lambda_o\mu}{n\lambda + \lambda_o} = \frac{n\lambda\hat{\theta} + \lambda_o\mu}{n\lambda + \lambda_o} = \frac{n\lambda}{n\lambda + \lambda_o}\hat{\theta} + \frac{\lambda_o}{n\lambda + \lambda_o}\mu.$$

Thus, we can write the posterior mean as a function of the MLE and the prior mean  $\mu.$ 

## Exercise 3

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathsf{Bernoulli}(\theta).$$
 (8)

$$\theta \sim \text{Beta}(a, b)$$
 (9)

Observe that  $Y = \sum_i X_i \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$ .

It can be shown that the MLE for  $\theta$  is  $\bar{x} = y/n$ .

Recall that

$$\theta \mid y \sim \text{Beta}(y+a, n-y+b).$$

## Exercise 3

Show that the posterior mean can be written as

$$E[\theta \mid y] = \mathsf{MLE} \times \frac{n}{a+b+n} + \mathsf{priorMean} \times \frac{a+b}{a+b+n},$$
 where  $\mathsf{MLE} = \bar{x}$  and  $\mathsf{priorMean} = \frac{a}{a+b}.$ 

#### Solution to Exercise 3

Proof:

$$E[\theta \mid y] = \frac{y+a}{y+a+n-y+b} = \frac{y+a}{a+n+b}$$

$$= \frac{y}{a+b+n} + \frac{a}{a+b+n}$$

$$= \frac{y}{n} \times \frac{n}{a+b+n} + \frac{a}{a+b} \times \frac{a+b}{a+b+n}$$

$$= MLE \times \frac{n}{a+b+n} + \text{priorMean} \times \frac{a+b}{a+b+n}$$

Thus, we have written the posterior mean as a linear comboination of the MLE and prior mean with weights being determined by a,b, and n.

## Binomial MLE Exercise

Let

$$X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Bernoulli(\theta).$$

Show that the MLE is  $\hat{\theta} = \bar{x}$ .

Proof: Casella and Berger, Example 7.2.7, page 317-318.

#### Normal-Normal model Exercise

Suppose that

$$X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Normal}(\theta, \sigma^2),$$

where  $\theta, \sigma^2$  are both unknown.

Show that  $(\bar{x}, n^{-1} \sum_{i} (x_i - \bar{x})^2))$  are the MLE's for  $(\theta, \sigma^2)$ .

Proof: Casella and Berger, Example 7.2.7, page 317-318.

# Summary

- ► Maximum Likelihood Estimators (MLEs)
- Invariance of MLEs
- ► Mean squared errors
- Unbiased Estimator
- Practice exercises