

Mock Test

Topic: daa

Difficulty: Advanced

Total Questions: 5

Time Allowed: 10 minutes

Instructions:

1. Attempt all questions
2. Each question carries equal marks
3. Time allowed: 10 minutes

1. Prove or disprove: A greedy algorithm always yields an optimal solution for the 0/1 knapsack problem.

- A) Disprove; a greedy approach may yield a suboptimal solution.
- B) Prove; a greedy approach always finds the optimal solution.
- C) Disprove; the problem is NP-hard and no known polynomial-time algorithm guarantees optimality.
- D) Prove; a greedy approach is optimal if item values are inversely proportional to their weights.

2. Describe a scenario where using a Fibonacci heap would significantly outperform a binary heap in Dijkstra's algorithm. Justify your answer by comparing their time complexities in relevant operations.

- A) Sparse graphs with a large number of edges.
- B) Dense graphs with a small number of vertices.
- C) Graphs with a highly skewed degree distribution, where many vertices have a small degree and few have a very large degree.
- D) All graph types would see similar performance.

3. Analyze the time complexity of finding the kth smallest element in an unsorted array using Quickselect. Consider both average and worst-case scenarios.

- A) Average: $O(n)$, Worst-case: $O(n^2)$
- B) Average: $O(\log n)$, Worst-case: $O(n)$
- C) Average: $O(n^2)$, Worst-case: $O(n)$
- D) Average: $O(n)$, Worst-case: $O(n \log n)$

4. Explain how to modify the standard merge sort algorithm to efficiently find the number of inversions in an array. What is the resulting time complexity?

- A) $O(n \log n)$
- B) $O(n)$
- C) $O(n^2)$
- D) $O(\log n)$

5. Design an efficient algorithm to find the longest common subsequence (LCS) of three sequences. Explain its time and space complexity.

- A) Time: $O(n^3)$, Space: $O(n^3)$
- B) Time: $O(n^2)$, Space: $O(n^2)$
- C) Time: $O(n \log n)$, Space: $O(n)$
- D) Time: $O(n)$, Space: $O(1)$

Answer Key

1. Correct Answer: A

Explanation: The 0/1 knapsack problem is known to be NP-hard. Greedy algorithms often provide a good approximation but do not guarantee an optimal solution for all instances. A counter-example easily disproves option B.

2. Correct Answer: C

Explanation: Fibonacci heaps excel when there are many decrease-key operations. In Dijkstra's algorithm using a Fibonacci heap, decrease-key operations are amortized $O(1)$, compared to $O(\log n)$ for binary heaps. A highly skewed distribution results in many decrease-key operations, thus favoring Fibonacci heaps.

3. Correct Answer: A

Explanation: Quickselect's average-case time complexity is linear, $O(n)$. However, in the worst-case scenario (e.g., consistently selecting the smallest or largest pivot), it can degrade to $O(n^2)$.

4. Correct Answer: A

Explanation: By modifying the merge step of merge sort to count inversions (disordered pairs) during the merging process, the total number of inversions can be found within the same $O(n \log n)$ time complexity as the standard merge sort.

5. Correct Answer: A

Explanation: A dynamic programming approach can solve the LCS problem for three sequences. The straightforward extension of the two-sequence algorithm results in a time complexity of $O(n^3)$ and space complexity of $O(n^3)$, where n is the length of the sequences (assuming roughly equal lengths).