Principles of Programming (4190.306)

Chung-Kil Hur (허충길)

Department of Computer Science and Engineering Seoul National University

Syllabus

- Lecture & Practice Session
 - Mon & Wed, 9:00 ~ 10:50 (302-208)
 - https://github.com/snu-sf-class/pp201802
 - Bring your laptop to lectures & practice sessions
- >Instructor
 - Chung-Kil Hur
 - http://sf.snu.ac.kr/gil.hur/
- ➤ Teaching Assistant
 - Juneyoung Lee
 - http://sf.snu.ac.kr/juneyoung.lee/
- ➤ Grading (tentative)
 - Attendance: 5%
 - Assignments: 25%
 - Midterm exam: 30%
 - Final exam: 40%

Free Laptop Rental

You can rent a laptop from the department for free.

Who am I?

- ▶Prof. Chung-Kil (Gil) Hur [허충길]
 - Education: KAIST (B.S.), Univ of Cambridge (Ph.D.)
 - Software Foundations Lab http://sf.snu.ac.kr
 - Research Topics
 - Software Verification
 - Low-level Language Semantics (C/C++/LLVM/Rust)
 - Relaxed-Memory Concurrency
 - Our collaborators
 - [UK] U of Cambridge, Microsoft Research Cambridge
 - [Germany] Max Planck Institute for Software Systems
 - [France] INRIA
 - [USA] Princeton, Yale, Upenn, Utah, Google, IBM, Mozilla.
 - Publications
 - 10 top conference papers (last 5 years at SNU). PLDI(5),POPL(2),OOPSLA(1),ICFP(1),AAAI(1)
 - (High school) Bronze medal in IMO 1994.

Introduction

Overview

- ➤ Part 1
 Functional Programming with Function Applications
- ➤ Part 2
 Object-Oriented Programming
- ➤ Part 3
 Type Classes for Specifications
- ➤ Part 4
 Imperative Programming with Memory Updates

Imperative vs. Functional Programming

- >Imperative Programming
 - Computation by memory reads/writes
 - Sequence of read/write operations
 - Repetition by loop
 - More procedural
 - Easier to write efficient code

```
sum = 0;
i = n;
while (i > 0) {
   sum = sum + i;
   i = i - 1;
}
```

- Functional Programming
 - Computation by function application
 - Composition of function applications
 - Repetition by recursion
 - More declarative
 - Easier to write safe code

```
def sum(n) =
  if (n <= 0)
    0
  else
    n + sum(n-1)</pre>
```

Both Imperative & Functional Style Supported

- ➤ Many languages support both imperative & functional style
 - More imperative: Java, Javascript, C++, Python, Rust, ...
 - More functional: OCaml, SML, Lisp, Scheme, ...
 - Middle: Scala
 - Purely functional: Haskell

Object-Oriented Programming

- ➤ Object-Oriented Programming
 - Classes/Objects: data + methods
 - Can separate Specification & Implementation

```
class Point(x: Int, y: Int) {
 // data
  val px : Int = x
 val py : Int = y
 // methods
  def plus(q : Point) : Point =
    new Point (px + q.px, py + q.py)
val p1 : Point = new Point(3,4)
val p2 : Point = new Point(1,10)
val p3 : Point = p1.plus(p2)
```

Why Scala?

- ➤ Why Scala?
 - Equally well support both imperative & functional style
 - Many advanced features (both OOP & Type class supported)
 - Compatible with Java

PART 1 Functional Programming with Function Applications

Names, Functions and Evaluations

Values, Expressions, Names

- ➤ Types and Values
 - A type is a set of values
 - Int: {-2147483648,...,-1,0,1, ...,2147483647} //32-bit integers
 - Double: 64-bit floating point numbers // real numbers in practice
 - Boolean: {true, false}
 - •
- > Expressions
 - Composition of values, names, primitive operations, ...
- ➤ Name Binding
 - Binding expressions to names
- **Examples**

```
def a = 1 + (2 + 3)
def b = 3 + a * 4
```

Evaluation

Evaluation

- Reducing an expression into a value
- Strategy
- 1. Take a name or an operator (outer to inner)
- 2. (name) Replace the name with its associated expression
- 3. (name) Evaluate the expression
- 4. (operator) Evaluate its operands (left to right)
- 5. (operator) Apply the operator to its operands

Examples

$$5+b \sim 5+(3+a*4) \sim ... \sim 32$$

Functions and Substitution

- > Functions
 - Expressions with Parameters
 - Binding functions to names

$$def f(x: Int): Int = x + a$$

- >Evaluation by substitution
 - •
 - (function) Evaluate its operands (left to right)
 - (function)
 Replace the function application by the expression of the function
 Replace its parameters with the operands

$$5+f(f(3)+1) \sim 5+f((3+a)+1) \sim ... \sim 5+f(10) \sim 5+(10+a)$$
 $\sim ... \sim 21$

Simple Recursion

> Recursion

- Use X in the definition of X
- Powerful mechanism for repetition
- Nothing special but just rewriting

```
def sum(n: |nt) : |nt =
   if (n <= 0)
      0
   else
      n + sum(n-1)

sum(2) ~ if (2<=0) 0 else (2+sum(2-1)) ~
2+sum(1) ~ 2+(if (1<=0) 0 else (1+sum(1-1))) ~
2+(1+sum(0)) ~ 2+(1+(if (0<=0) 0 else (0+sum(0-1))))
   ~ 2+(1+0) ~ 3</pre>
```

Termination/Divergence

Evaluation may not terminate

- **≻**Termination
 - An expression may reduce to a value
- **≻**Divergence
 - An expression may reduce forever

```
def loop: Int = loop
```

loop ~ loop ~ loop ~ ...

Evaluation strategy: Call-by-value, Call-by-name

- ➤ Call-by-value
 - Evaluate the arguments first, then apply the function to them
- ➤ Call-by-name
 - Just apply the function to its arguments, without evaluating them.

```
def square (x: Int) = x * x

[cbv]square(1+1) ~ square(2) ~ 2*2 ~ 4

[cbn]square(1+1) ~ (1+1)*(1+1) ~ 2*(1+1) ~ 2*2 ~ 4
```

CBV, CBN: Differences

- ➤ Call-by-value
 - Evaluates arguments once
- ➤ Call-by-name
 - Do not evaluate unused arguments
- **≻**Question
 - Do both always result in the same value?

Scala's evaluation strategy

- ➤ Call-by-value
 - By default
- ➤ Call-by-name
 - Use "=>"

```
def one(x: Int, y: =>Int) = 1
one(1+2, loop)
one(loop, 1+2)
```

Scala's name binding strategy

- ➤ Call-by-value
 - Use "val" (also called "field") e.g. val x = e
 - Evaluate the expression first, then bind the name to it
- ➤ Call-by-name
 - Use "def" (also called "method") e.g. def x = e
 - Just bind the name to the expression, without evaluating it
 - Mostly used to define functions

```
def a = 1 + 2 + 3
val a = 1 + 2 + 3 // 6
def b = loop
val b = loop

def f(a: Int, b: Int): Int = a*b - 2
```

Conditional Expressions

- >If-else
 - •if (b) e_1 else e_2
 - b : Boolean expression
 - e_1 , e_2 : expressions of the same type
- > Rewrite rules:
 - •if (true) e_1 else $e_2 \rightarrow e_1$
 - •if (false) e_1 else $e_2 \rightarrow e_2$

```
def abs(x: Int) = if (x \ge 0) x else -x
```

Boolean Expressions

- **≻**Boolean expression
 - •true, false
 - !b
 - b && b
 - b | b
 - •e <= e, e >= e, e < e, e > e, e == e, e != e
- > Rewrite rules:
 - •!true → false
 - •!false → true
 - true && b \rightarrow b
 - false && b → false
 - •true || b → true
 - •false || b → b

true && (loop == 1) \sim loop == 1 \sim loop == 1

Exercise: and, or

```
➤ Write two functions
  • and (x,y) == x \&\& y
  •or(x,y) == x | | y
  • Do not use &&, | |
  and(false,loop==1)
  ~ if (false) loop==1 else false
  ~ false
  and(true,loop==1)
  ~ if (true) loop==1 else false
  \sim loop==1 \sim loop==1 ...
```

Solution

```
def and(x: Boolean, y: =>Boolean) =
  if (x) y else false

def or(x: Boolean, y: =>Boolean) =
  if (x) true else y
```

Exercise: square root calculation

```
Calculate square roots with Newton's method
def isGoodEnough(guess: Double, x: Double) =
  ??? // guess*guess is 99.9% close to x
def improve(guess: Double, x: Double) =
  (guess + x/guess) / 2
def sqrtlter(guess: Double, x: Double): Double =
  ??? // repeat improving guess until it is good enough
def sqrt(x: Double) =
  sqrtIter(1, x)
sart(2)
```

Solution

```
Calculate square roots with Newton's method
def isGoodEnough(guess: Double, x: Double) =
  guess*guess/x > 0.999 && guess*guess/x < 1.001
def improve(guess: Double, x: Double) =
  (guess + x/guess) / 2
def sqrtlter(guess: Double, x: Double): Double =
  if (isGoodEnough(guess,x)) guess
  else sqrtlter(improve(guess,x),x)
def sqrt(x: Double) =
  sqrtIter(1, x)
sgrt(2)
```

Blocks and Name Scoping

Blocks in Scala

≻Block

```
• { val x1 = e1
    def x2 = e2
    e
}
```

- Is an expression
- Allow nested name binding
- Allow arbitrary order of "def"s, but not "val"s (think about why)

Scope of names

```
>Block
  val t = 0
  def f(x: Int) = t + g(x)
  def g(x: Int) = x * x
  val x = f(5)
  val r = {
    val t = 10
    val s = f(5)
    t + s
  val y = t + r
```

- A definition inside a block is only accessible within the block
- A definition inside a block shadows definitions of the same name outside the block
- A definition inside a block is accessible unless it is shadowed
- A function is evaluated under the environment where it is defined, not the environment where it is invoked.

Rewriting for Blocks

```
1: val t = 0
2: def f(x: Int) = t + g(x)
3: def g(x: Int) = x*x
7: val s = f(5)
4: val x = f(5)
8: t + s }
9: val y = t + r

>Evaluation with Environment
[],1 ~ [t=0],2 ~ [...,f=(x)t+g(x)],3 ~ [...,g=(x)x*
```

[],1 ~ [t=0],2 ~ [...,f=(x)t+g(x)],3 ~ [...,g=(x)x*x],4 ~ [...,x=25],5 ~ [...]:[],6 ~ [...]:[t=10],7 ~ [...]:[...,s=25],8 ~ [...,r=35],9 ~ [...,y=35],10 4: [t=0,f=...,g=...]:[x=5],t+g(x) ~ 0+g(5) ~ 25 g(5): [t=0,f=...,g=...]:[x=5],x*x ~ 5*5 ~ 25 7: [t=0,f=...,g=...,x=25]:[x=5],t+g(x) ~ 0+g(5) ~ 25 g(5): [t=0,f=...,g=...,x=25]:[x=5],x*x ~ 5*5 ~ 25

Note: The same name cannot be defined twice in the same block

Example: def with no arguments

> Evaluation with Environment

```
[],1 ~ [t=0],2 ~ [...,x=()t+t],3 ~ [...]:[],4 ~ [...]:[t=10],5 ~ [...,r=0],6 
5: [t=0,x=()t+t]:[],t+t ~ 0
```

Semi-colons and Parenthesis

>Block

- Can write two definitions/expressions in a single line using;
- Can write one definition/expression in two lines using (), but can omit () when clear

```
// ok
val r = {
 val t = 10; val s = square(5); t +
  s }
// Not ok
val r = {
 val t = 10; val s = square(5); t
 + s }
// ok
val r = {
  val t = 10; val s = square(5); (t
  + s)
```

Exercise: Writing Better Code using Blocks

```
Make the following code better
def isGoodEnough(guess: Double, x: Double) =
  guess*guess/x > 0.999 && guess*guess/x < 1.001
def improve(guess: Double, x: Double) =
  (guess + x/guess) / 2
def sqrtlter(guess: Double, x: Double): Double = {
  if (isGoodEnough(guess,x)) guess
  else sqrtlter(improve(guess,x),x)
def sqrt(x: Double) =
  sqrtIter(1, x)
sart(2)
```

Solution

```
def sqrt(x: Double) = {
  def sqrtlter(guess: Double, x: Double): Double = {
    if (isGoodEnough(guess,x)) guess
    else sqrtlter(improve(guess,x),x)
  def isGoodEnough(guess: Double, x: Double) = {
    val ratio = guess * guess / x
    ratio > 0.999 && ratio < 1.001
  def improve(guess: Double, x: Double) =
    (guess + x/guess) / 2
  sqrtIter(1, x)
```

Lazy Call-By-Value

Lazy call-by-value

- ➤ Lazy call-by-value
 - Use "lazy val" e.g. lazy val x = e
 - Evaluate the expression first time it is used, then bind the name to it

```
def f(c: Boolean, i: =>Int): Int = {
    lazy val iv = i
    if (c) 0
    else iv * iv * iv
}

f(true, {print/n("ok"); 100+100+100+100})
f(false, {print/n("ok"); 100+100+100+100})
```

Tail Recursion

Recursion needs care

- >Summation function
 - Write a summation function sum such that sum(n) = 1+2+...+n
 - Test sum(10), sum(100), sum(1000), sum(10000), sum(100000), sum(1000000)
 - What's wrong? (Think about evaluation)

Recursion: Try

```
def sum(n: Int): Int =
  if (n <= 0) 0 else (n+sum(n-1))</pre>
```

Recursion: Tail Recursion

```
import scala.annotation.tailrec

def sum(n: Int): Int = {
    @tailrec def sumItr(res: Int, m: Int): Int =
    if (m <= 0) res else sumItr(m+res,m-1)
    sumItr(0,n)
}</pre>
```

Higher-Order Functions

Functions as Values

> Functions

- Functions are normal values of function types $(A_1,...,A_n => B)$.
- They can be copied, passed and returned.
- Functions that take functions as arguments or return functions are called higher-order functions.
- Higher-order functions increase code reusability.

Examples

```
def sumLinear(n: Int): Int =
   if (n <= 0) 0 else n + sumLinear(n-1)

def sumSquare(n: Int): Int =
   if (n <= 0) 0 else n*n + sumSquare(n-1)

def sumCubes(n: Int): Int =
   if (n <= 0) 0 else n*n*n + sumCubes(n-1)</pre>
```

Q: How to write reusable code?

Examples

```
def sum(f: Int=>Int. n: Int): Int =
  if (n \le 0) 0 else f(n) + sum(f, n-1)
def linear(n: Int) = n
def square(n: Int) = n * n
def cube(n: Int) = n * n * n
def sumLinear(n: Int) = sum(linear, n)
def sumSquare(n: Int) = sum(square, n)
def sumCubes(n: Int) = sum(cube, n)
```

Anonymous Functions

➤ Anonymous Functions

```
• Syntax
  (x<sub>1</sub>: T<sub>1</sub>,...,x<sub>n</sub>:T<sub>n</sub>) => e
  or
  (x<sub>1</sub>,...,x<sub>n</sub>) => e

def sumLinear(n: |nt) = sum((x:|nt)=>x, n)
  def sumSquare(n: |nt) = sum((x:|nt)=>x*x, n)
  def sumCubes(n: |nt) = sum((x:|nt)=>x*x, n)
```

Or simply

```
def sumLinear(n: Int) = sum((x)=>x, n)
def sumSquare(n: Int) = sum((x)=>x*x, n)
def sumCubes(n: Int) = sum((x)=>x*x*x, n)
```

Exercise

```
def sum(f: Int=>Int, a: Int, b: Int): Int =
   if (a <= b) f(a) + sum(f, a+1, b) else 0

def product(f: Int=>Int, a: Int, b: Int): Int =
   if (a <= b) f(a) * product(f, a+1, b) else 1</pre>
```

DRY (Do not Repeat Yourself) using a higher-order function, called "mapReduce".

Exercise

```
def mapReduce(combine: (Int,Int)=>Int,inival: Int,
              f: Int=>Int, a: Int, b: Int): Int = {
  if (a <= b) combine(f(a), mapReduce(combine, inival, f, a+1, b))</pre>
 else inival
def sum(f: Int=>Int, a: Int, b: Int): Int =
  mapReduce((x,y)=>x+y,0,f,a,b)
def product(f: Int=>Int, a: Int, b: Int): Int =
  mapReduce((x,y)=>x*y,1,f,a,b)
```

Closures for functional values

```
1: val t = 0
 2: val f = {
 3: val t = 10
 4: def g(x: Int) : Int = x + t
 5: g _ }
 6: f(20)
* Try: Evaluation without Closures
[], 1 \sim [t=0], 2 \sim [...]:[], 3 \sim [...]:[t=10], 4
\sim [...]:[...,g=(x)x+t], 5 \sim [t=0,f=(x)x+t], 6 \sim [...], 20
  6: [t=0,f=(x)x+t]:[x=20],x+t \sim 20+0 \sim 20
* Evaluation with Closures
[], 1 \sim [t=0], 2 \sim [...]:[], 3 \sim [...]:[t=10], 4
\sim [...]:[...,g=(x)x+t],5
\sim [t=0,f={[t=0]:[t=10,g=(x)x+t],(x)x+t}],6 \sim [...],30
  6: [t=0]:[t=10,g=(x)x+t]:[x=20],x+t \sim 20+10 \sim 30
```

Parameterized expression vs. values

- Functions defined using "def" are not values but parameterized expressions.
- Anonymous functions are values.
- But, parameterized expressions are implicitly converted to values.
- Explicit conversion: f_
- Anonymous functions can be seen as syntactic sugar:

$$(x:T)=>e$$

is equivalent to

```
{ def __noname(x:T) = e; __noname _ }
```

- as long as ___noname is not used in e.
- One can even write a recursive anonymous function in this way.
- Q: what's the difference between param. exps and function values?
 - A: functions values are "closures" (ie, param. exp. + env.)
- Q: how to implement call-by-name?
 - A: The argument expression is converted to a closure.

Example: call by name with closures

```
1: val t = 0

2: def f(x: =>Int) = t + x // x is treated as x()

3: val r = {

4: val t = 10

5: f(t*t) } // t*t is treated as ()=>t*t
```

>Evaluation with Closures

Currying

Motivation

```
def sum(f: Int=>Int, a: Int, b: Int): Int =
  if (a \le b) f(a) + sum(f, a+1, b) else 0
def linear(n: Int) = n
def square(n: Int) = n * n
def cube(n: Int) = n * n * n
def sumLinear(a: Int, b: Int) = sum(linear, a, b)
def sumSquare(a: Int, b: Int) = sum(square, a, b)
def sumCubes(a: Int, b: Int) = sum(cube, a, b)
We want the following. How?
def sumLinear = sum(linear)
def sumSquare = sum(square)
def sumCubes = sum(cube)
```

Solution

```
def sum(f: Int=>Int): (Int,Int)=>Int = {
    def sumF(a: Int, b: Int): Int =
        if (a <= b) f(a) + sumF(a+1, b) else 0
        sumF
}

def sumLinear = sum(linear)
def sumSquare = sum(square)
def sumCubes = sum(cube)</pre>
```

Benefits

```
def sumLinear = sum(linear)
def sumSquare = sum(square)
def sumCubes = sum(cube)

sumSquare(3,10) + sumCubes(5,20)

We don't need to define the wrapper functions.
sum(square)(3,10) + sum(cube)(5,20)
```

Multiple Parameter List

```
def sum(f: Int=>Int): (Int,Int)=>Int = {
    def sumF(a: Int, b: Int): Int =
        if (a <= b) f(a) + sumF(a+1, b) else 0
        sumF
}</pre>
```

We can also write as follows.

```
def sum(f: |nt=>|nt|: (|nt,|nt|=>|nt|= (a,b) => if (a <= b) f(a) + sum(f)(a+1, b) else 0
```

Or more simply:

```
def sum(f: Int=>Int)(a: Int, b: Int): Int =
  if (a <= b) f(a) + sum(f)(a+1, b) else 0</pre>
```

Currying and Uncurrying

• A function of type

$$(T_1, T_2, ..., T_n) = > T$$

can be turned into one of type

$$T_1 = > T_2 = > \dots = > T_n = > T$$

- This is called "currying" named after Haskell Brooks Curry.
- The opposite direction is called "uncurrying".

Currying using Anonymous Functions

```
def foo(x: Int, y: Int, z: Int)(a: Int, b: Int) =
  x + y + z + a + b
val f1 = (x: Int, z: Int, b: Int) => foo(x, 1, z)(2, b)
val f2 = foo(_:|nt,1,_:|nt)(2,_:|nt)
val f3 = (x: Int, z: Int) = >(b: Int) = > foo(x, 1, z)(2, b)
f1(1,2,3)
f2(1,2,3)
f3(1,2)(3)
```

Exercise

Curry the mapReduce function.

Solution

```
def mapReduce(combine:(Int,Int)=>Int,inival: Int)
             (f: Int=>Int) (a: Int, b: Int): Int = {
  if (a <= b) combine(f(a), mapReduce(combine, inival)(f)(a+1,b))</pre>
  else inival
// need to make a closure since mapReduce is param. code.
def sum = mapReduce((x,y)=>x+y,0)
// val is better than def. Think about why.
val product = mapReduce((x,y)=>x*y,1) _
```

Exceptions

Exception & Handling

```
class factRangeException(val arg: Int) extends Exception
def fact(n : Int): Int =
  if (n < 0) throw new factRangeException(n)
  else if (n == 0) 1
  else n * fact(n-1)
def foo(n: Int) = fact(n + 10)
try {
 print/n (fact(3))
 print/n (foo(-100))
} catch {
  case e : factRangeException => {
   print/n("fact range error: " + e.arg)
```

Datatypes

Types so far

Types have introduction operations and elimination ones.

- Introduction: how to construct elements of the type
- Elimination: how to use elements of the type

➤ Primitive types

- Int, Boolean, Double, String, ...
- Intro for Int: ...,-2,-1,0,1,2,
- Elim for Int: +,-,*,/,<,<=,...

>Function types

- Int=>Int, (Int=>Int)=>(Int=>Int), ...
- Intro: (x:T)=>e
- Elim: f(v)

Tuples

- > Tuples
 - Intro:
 - (1,2,3): (Int, Int, Int)
 - (1,"a"): (Int, String)

Elim:

- (1, "a", 10). 1 = 1
- (1, "a", 10). 2 = "a"
- $(1, "a", 10)._3 = 10$

Only up to length 22

Structural Types (a.k.a. Record Types): Examples

```
val foo = new //or, object foo
  val a = 3
  def b = a + 1
  def f(x: Int) = b + x
  def f(x: String) = "hello" + x
foo.b
foo. f(3)
foo.f("gil")
def g(x: {val a: Int; def b: Int;
          def f(x:Int): Int; def f(x:String): String}) =
  x.f(3)
g(foo)
```

Structural Types: Scope and Type Alias

```
val gn = 0
object foo {
  val a = 3
  def b = a + 1
 def f(x: Int) = b + x + gn
foo. f(3)
type Foo = {val a: Int; def b: Int; def f(x:Int):Int}
def g(x: Foo) = \{
 val gn = 10
  x.f(3)
g(foo)
```

Algebraic Datatypes

> Ideas

```
• T = C of T * ... * T

| C of T * ... * T

| ...

| C of T * ... * T
```

Intro:

```
Name("Chulsoo Kim"), Name("Younghee Lee"), Age(16), DOB(2000,3,10), Height(171.5), ...
```

Algebraic Datatypes: Recursion

> Recursive ADT

Algebraic Datatypes In Scala

```
> Attr
 sealed abstract class Attr
 case class Name(name: String) extends Attr
 case class Age(age: Int) extends Attr
 case class DOB(year: Int, month: Int, day: Int) extends Attr
 case class Height(height: Double) extends Attr
 val a : Attr = Name("Chulsoo Kim")
 val b : Attr = DOB(2000, 3.10)
> IList
 sealed abstract class IList
 case class INiI() extends IList
 case class | Cons(hd: Int, tl: | List) extends | List
 val x : IList = /Cons(2, /Cons(1, /Ni/()))
 def gen(n: Int) : IList =
   if (n \le 0) /Ni/()
   else /Cons(n, gen(n-1))
```

Exercise

```
IOption = INone
        ISome of Int
BTree = Leaf
     Node of Int * BTree * BTree
sealed abstract class IList
case class INiI() extends IList
case class | Cons(hd: Int, tl: | List) extends | List
def x : IList = /Cons(2, /Cons(1, /Ni/()))
```

Solution

Pattern Matching

- > Pattern Matching
 - A way to use algebraic datatypes

```
e match {
  case C1(...) => e1
  ...
  case Cn(...) => en
}
```

Pattern Matching: An Example

```
def length(xs: |List) : |Int =
    xs match {
    case /Ni/() => 0
    case /Cons(x, t|) => 1 + |length(t|)
    }
length(x)
```

Advanced Pattern Matching

➤ Advanced Pattern Matching

```
e match {
   case P1 => e1
   ...
   case Pn => en
}
```

- One can combine constructors and use _ and | in a pattern.
 (E.g) case ICons(x, INil()) | ICons(x, ICons(_, INil())) => ...
- The given value e is matched against the first pattern P1.
 If succeeds, evaluate e1.
 If fails, e is matched against P2.
 If succeeds, evaluate e2.
 If fails, ...
- The compiler checks exhaustiveness.

Advanced Pattern Matching: An Example

```
def secondElmt(xs: |List) : |Option =
  xs match {
    case /Ni/() \mid /Cons(\_, /Ni/()) \Rightarrow /None()
    case |Cons(\_, |Cons(x, \_))| \Rightarrow |Some(x)|
Vs.
def secondElmt2(xs: |List) : |Option =
  xs match {
    case /Ni/() \mid /Cons(\_, /Ni/()) \Rightarrow /None()
    case |Cons(\_, |Cons(x, |Ni/()))| \Rightarrow |Some(x)|
    case => /None()
```

Pattern Matching on Int

```
def factorial(n: Int) : Int =
  n match {
    case 0 \Rightarrow 1
    case \_ => n * factorial(n-1)
def fib(n: Int) : Int =
  n match {
    case 0 | 1 => 1
    case \_ => fib(n-1) + fib(n-2)
```

Pattern Matching with If

```
def f(n: Int) : Int =
  n match {
    case 0 | 1 => 1
    case _ if (n <= 5) => 2
    case _ => 3
def f(t: BTree) : Int =
  t match {
    case Leaf() \Rightarrow 0
    case Node(n, _, _) if (n <= 10) => 1
    case Node(\_,\_,\_) \Rightarrow 2
```

Exercise

Write a function find(t: BTree, x: Int) that checks whether x is in t.

Solution

```
def find(t: BTree, i: Int) : Boolean =
  t match {
    case Leaf() => false
    case Node(n, It, rt) =>
      if (i == n) true
      else find(lt, i) || find(rt, i)
def t: BTree = Node(5, Node(4, Node(2, Leaf(), Leaf()), Leaf()),
  Node(7, Node(6, Leaf(), Leaf()). Leaf()))
find(t,7)
```



What Are Types For?

> Typed Programming

```
def id1(x: Int): Int = x
def id2(x: Double): Double = x
```

- At run time, type information is erased (ie, id1 = id2)
- Untyped Programming
 def id(x) = x
 - Do not care about types at compile time.
 - But, many such languages check types at run time paying cost.
 - Without run-time type check, errors can be badly propagated.
- ➤ Why is compile-time type checking for?
 - Can detect type errors at compile time.
 - Increase Readability (Give a good abstraction).
 - Soundness: Well-typed programs raise no type errors at run time.

Type Checking and Inference

> Type Checking

```
x<sub>1</sub>:T<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>:T<sub>2</sub>, ..., x<sub>n</sub>:T<sub>n</sub> ⊢ e : T
•def f(x: Boolean): Boolean = x > 3
=> Type error
•def f(x: Int): Boolean = x > 3
=> OK. f: (x: Int)Boolean
```

> Type Inference

$$x_1:T_1, x_2:T_2, ..., x_n:T_n \vdash e : ?$$

- •def f(x: Int) = x > 3
 => OK by type inference. f: (x: Int)Boolean
- Too much type inference is not good. Why?

You can learn how type checking & inference work in 4190.310 Programming Languages

Parametric Polymorphism

Parametric Polymorphism: Functions

Problem

```
def id1(x: Int): Int = x
def id2(x: Double): Double = x
```

- Can we avoid DRY?
- Polymorphism to the rescue!
- Parametric Polymorphism (a.k.a. For-all Types)

```
def id[A](x: A) : A = x
```

- The type of id is [A](x:A)A
- id is a parametric expression.
- id[T] _ is a value of type T=>T for any type T.

[We will learn other kinds of polymorphism later.]

Examples

```
def id[A](x:A) = x
id(3)
id("abc")
def applyn[A](f: A => A, n: Int, x: A): A =
  n match {
    case 0 \Rightarrow x
    case \Rightarrow f(applyn(f, n - 1, x))
applyn((x:Int)=>x+1, 100,3)
applyn((x:String)=>x+"!", 10, "gil")
applyn(id[String], 10, "hur")
def foo[A,B](f:A=>A, x:(A,B)):(A,B)=
  (applyn[A](f, 10, x. 1), x. 2)
foo[String, Int]((x:String)=>x+"!",("abc", 10))
```

Full Polymorphism using Scala's trick

```
type Applyn = {def apply[A](f: A=>A, n: Int, x: A): A}
object applyn {    // def applyn = new {
  def apply[A](f: A=>A, n: Int, x: A): A =
    n match {
      case 0 \Rightarrow x
      case \_ => f(app/y(f, n-1, x))
app/vn((x:String)=>x+"!", 10, "gil")
// app/yn.app/y[String]((x:String)=>x+"!", 10, "gil")
def foo(f: Applyn): String = {
  val a:String = f[String]((x:String)=> x + "!", 10, "gil")
  val b: Int = f[Int]((x:Int)=> x + 2, 10, 5)
  a + b.toString()
foo(applyn)
```

Parametric Polymorphism: Datatypes

```
sealed abstract class MyOption[A]
case class MyNone[A]() extends MyOption[A]
case class MySome[A](some: A) extends MyOption[A]
sealed abstract class MyList[A]
case class MyNil[A]() extends MyList[A]
case class MyCons[A](hd: A, tl: MyList[A]) extends MyList[A]
sealed abstract class BTree[A]
case class Leaf[A]() extends BTree[A]
case class Node[A](value: A, left: BTree[A], right: BTree[A])
extends BTree[A]
def x: MyList[Int] = MyCons(3, MyNi/())
def y: MyList[String] = MyCons("abc", MyNi/())
```

Exercise

```
BSTree[A] = Leaf
          | Node of Int * A * BSTree[A] * BSTree[A]
def lookup[A](t: BSTree[A], k: Int) : MyOption[A] =
  ???
def t : BSTree[String] =
  Node(5, "My5",
    Node(4, "My4", Node(2, "My2", Leaf(), Leaf()), Leaf()),
    Node(7, "My7", Node(6, "My6", Leaf(), Leaf()), Leaf()))
lookup(t, 7)
lookup(t, 3)
```

Solution

```
sealed abstract class BSTree[A]
case class Leaf[A]() extends BSTree[A]
case class Node[A](key: Int, value: A, left: BSTree[A], right:
BSTree[A]) extends BSTree[A]
def lookup[A](t: BSTree[A], key: Int) : MyOption[A] =
  t match {
    case Leaf() => MyNone()
    case Node(k,v,lt,rt) =>
      k match {
        case _ if key == k => MySome(v)
        case _ if key < k => lookup(It,key)
        case _ => lookup(rt, key)
def t : BSTree[String] =
  Node(5, "My5",
    Node(4, "My4", Node(2, "My2", Leaf(), Leaf()), Leaf()),
    Node(7, "My7", Node(6, "My6", Leaf(), Leaf()), Leaf()))
lookup(t, 7)
lookup(t, 3)
```

A Better Way

```
sealed abstract class BTree[A]
case class Leaf[A]() extends BTree[A]
case class Node[A](value: A, left: BTree[A], right: BTree[A])
  extends BTree[A]
type BSTree[A] = BTree[(Int,A)]
def lookup[A](t: BSTree[A], k: Int) : MyOption[A] =
  ???
def t : BSTree[String] =
  Node((5, "My5"),
    Node((4, "My4"), Node((2, "My2"), Leaf(), Leaf()), Leaf()),
    Node((7, "My7"), Node((6, "My6"), Leaf(), Leaf()), Leaf()))
lookup(t, 7)
```

Solution

```
type BSTree[A] = BTree[(Int,A)]
def lookup[A](t: BSTree[A], key: Int) : MyOption[A] =
  t match {
    case Leaf() => MyNone()
    case Node((k,v), | t, rt) =>
      k match {
        case _ if key == k => MySome(v)
        case _ if key < k => lookup(It,key)
        case _ => lookup(rt, key)
def t : BSTree[String] =
  Node((5, "My5"),
    Node((4, "My4"), Node((2, "My2"), Leaf(), Leaf()), Leaf()),
    Node((7, "My7"), Node((6, "My6"), Leaf(), Leaf()), Leaf()))
lookup(t, 7)
lookup(t, 3)
```

Polymorphic Option (Library)

> Option[T]

Intro:

- None
- Some(x)
- Library functions

Elim:

- Pattern matching
- Library functions

Some(3): Option[Int]

Some("abc"): Option[String]

None: Option[Int]

None: Option[String]

Polymorphic List (Library)

➤ List[T]

Intro:

- Nil
- x :: L
- Library functions

Elim:

- Pattern matching
- Library functions

```
"abc"::Nil : List[String]
List(1,3,4,2,5) = 1::3::4::2::5::Nil : List[Int]
```

PART 2 Object-Oriented Programming

Sub Type Polymorphism (Concept)

Motivation

```
We want:
object tom {
  val name = "Tom"
  val home = "02-880-1234"
object bob {
  val name = "Bob"
 val mobile = "010-1111-2222"
def greeting(r: ???) = "Hi " + r.name + ", How are you?"
greeting(tom)
greeting(bob)
Note that we have
tom: {val name: String; val home: String}
bob: {val name: String; val mobile: String}
```

Sub Types to the Rescue!

```
type NameHome = { val name: String; val home: String }
type NameMobile = { val name: String; val mobile: String}
type Name = { val name: String }
NameHome <: Name (NameHome is a sub type of Name)
NameMobile <: Name (NameMobile is a sub type of Name)
def greeting(r: Name) = "Hi " + r.name + ", How are you?"
greeting(tom)
greeting(bob)
```

Sub Types

- The sub type relation is kind of the subset relation.
- But they are **NOT** the same.
- T <: S Every element of T can be used as that of S.
- *Cf.* T is a subset of S. Every element of T is that of S.
- Why polymorphism?
 A function of type S=>R can be used as T=>R for many sub types T of S.

Note that S=>R <: T=>R when T <: S.

Two Kinds of Sub Types

➤ Structural Sub Types

- The system implicitly determines the sub type relation by the structures of data types.
- Structurally equivalent types are the same.

➤ Nominal Sub Types

- The user explicitly specify the sub type relation using the names of data types.
- Structurally equivalent types with different names may be different.

Structural Sub Types

General Sub Type Rules

• Reflexivity: For any type T, we have:

• Transitivity: For any types T, S, R, we have:

Sub Types for Special Types

- Nothing: The empty set
- Any: The set of all values
- For any type T, we have:

```
Nothing <: T <: Any
```

Example

```
val a : Int = 3
val b : Any = a
def f(a: Nothing) : Int = a
```

Sub Types for Records

Permutation

• Width

Depth

Sub Types for Records

Example

```
{val x: { val y: Int; val z: String}, val w: Int}
<: (by permutation)
{val w: Int; val x: { val y: Int; val z: String}}
<: (by depth & width)
{val w: Int; val x: {val z: String}}</pre>
```

Sub Types for Functions

Function Sub Type

Example

```
def foo(s: {val a: Int; val b: Int}) :
  \{val x: Int; val y: Int\} = \{
  object tmp {
   val x = s.b
   val y = s.a
  tmp
val gee:
  {val a: Int; val b: Int; val c: Int} =>
  \{val x: Int\} =
  foo
```

Classes

Class: Parameterized Record

```
object gee {
  val \ a : Int = 10
  def b : Int = a + 20
  def f(z: Int) : Int = b + 20 + z
type gee_type = {val a: |nt; def b: |nt; def f(z:|nt): |nt}
class foo_type(x: Int, y: Int) {
  val a : Int = x
  def b : Int = a + y
  def f(z: Int) : Int = b + y + z
val foo : foo_type = new foo_type(10,20)
•use: foo.a foo.b foo.f
foo is a value of foo_type
• gee is a value of gee_type
```

Class: No Structural Sub Typing

> Records: Structural sub-typing

> Classes: Nominal sub-typing

```
val v1 : gee_type = foo
```

Class: Can be Recursive!

```
class MyList[A](v: A, nxt: Option[MyList[A]]) {
   val value : A = v
   val next : Option[MyList[A]] = nxt
}
type YourList[A] = Option[MyList[A]]

val t : YourList[Int] =
   Some(new MyList(3, Some (new MyList(4, None))))
```

Note on Null value

- null: The special element of every class & structural type
- This value is needed to construct disjoint union types using classes in Java, which, however, is not as elegant and type safe as algebraic data types (ADTs):
 - Such disjoint union types can contain junk values (not elegant).
 - Null-point exception can be raised at run time (not type safe).
- For this reason, it is discouraged to use null in Scala although Scala supports null for compatibility with Java.
- Instead, it is encouraged to use ADTs, which themselves are classes and thus take advantages of both ADT and class.

Simplification using Argument Members

```
class MyList[A](v: A, nxt: Option[MyList[A]]) {
  val value = v
  val next = nxt
class MyList[A](val value:A, val next:Option[MyList[A]]) {
class MyList[A](val value:A, val next:Option[MyList[A]])
```

Simplification using Companion Object

```
class MyList[A](v: A, nxt: Option[MyList[A]]) {
  val value = v
  val next = nxt
object MyList {
  def apply[A](v: A, nxt: Option[MyList[A]]) =
    new MyList(v,nxt)
type YourList[A] = Option[MyList[A]]
val t0 = None
val t1 = Some(new MyList(3, Some (new MyList(4, None))))
val t2 = Some(MyList(3, Some (MyList(4, None))))
```

Exercise

Define a class "MyTree[A]" for binary trees:

```
MyTree[A] =
  (value: A) *
  (left: Option[MyTree[A]]) *
  (right: Option[MyTree[A]])
```

Solution

```
class MyTree[A](v: A,
                It: Option[MyTree[A]],
                rt: Option[MyTree[A]]) {
  val value = v
  val /eft = |t|
  val right = rt
type YourTree[A] = Option[MyTree[A]]
val t0 : YourTree[Int] = None
val t1 : YourTree[Int] = Some(new MyTree(3, None, None))
val t2 : YourTree[Int] =
  Some(new MyTree(3, Some (new MyTree(4, None, None)), None))
```

Nominal Sub Typing for Classes

Nominal Sub Typing, a.k.a. Inheritance

```
class foo_type(x: Int, y: Int) {
  val a : Int = x
  def b : Int = a + y
  def f(z: Int) : Int = b + y + z
class gee_type(x: Int) extends foo_type(x+1,x+2) {
  val c: Int = f(x) + b
                     gee_type <: foo_type</pre>
(\text{new gee\_type}(30)).c
def test(f: foo_type) = f.a + f.b
test(new foo_type(10,20))
test(new gee_type(30))
```

Overriding 1

```
class foo_type(x: Int, y: Int) {
  val a : Int = x
  def b : Int = a + y
  def f(z: Int) : Int = b + y + z
class gee_type(x: Int) extends foo_type(x+1,x+2) {
  override def f(z: Int) = b + z
  // or, override def f(z: Int) = super.f(z) * 2
 val c: Int = f(x) + b
(new gee_type(30)).c
Q: Can we override with a different type?
override def f(z: String): Int = 77 //No, arg: diff type
def f(z: String): Int = 77
                            //Yes, arg: diff type
override def f(z: Int): Nothing = ??? //Yes, ret: sub type
```

Overriding 2

```
class foo_type(x: Int, y: Int) {
  val a : Int = x
  def b : Int = a + y
  def f(z: Int) : Int = b + y + z
class gee_type(x: Int) extends foo_type(x+1,x+2) {
  override def b = 10
(\text{new gee\_type}(30)).f(0)
```

Example: My List

```
class MyList[A](v: A, nxt: Option[MyList[A]]) {
  val va/ue = v
  val next = nxt
type YourList[A] = Option[MyList[A]]
val t: YourList[Int] =
    Some(new MyList(3, Some (new MyList(4, None))))
Let's use sub typing.
class MyList[A]()
class MyNil[A]() extends MyList[A]
class MyCons[A](val hd: A, val tl: MyList[A])
  extends MyList[A]
val t: MyList[Int] =
    new MyCons(3, (new MyCons(4, new MyNil())))
```

Example: MyList

```
class MyList[A]
class MyNil[A]() extends MyList[A]
object MyNil { def apply[A]() = new MyNil[A]() }
class MyCons[A](val hd: A, val tl: MyList[A])
  extends MyList[A]
object MyCons {
  def apply[A](hd:A, tl:MyList[A]) = new MyCons[A](hd, tl)}
val t: MyList[Int] = MyCons(3, MyNil())
def foo(x: MyList[Int]) = ???
```

Case Class

```
class MyList[A]() { ... }
case class MyNil[A]() extends MyList[A] { ... }
object MyNil { def apply[A]() = new MyNil[A]() }
case class MyCons[A](val hd: A, val tl: MyList[A])
  extends MyList[A] { ... }
object MyCons {
def apply[A](hd:A, tl:MyList[A]) = new MyCons[A](hd. tl)}
val t: MyList[Int] = MyCons(3, MyNil())
```

+ Pattern Matching

Cf. sealed abstract class MyList[A]

Exercise

Define "MyTree[A]" using sub class. class MyTree[A](v: A, It: Option[MyTree[A]], rt: Option[MyTree[A]]) { val value = v val /eft = |t|val right = rt type YourTree[A] = Option[MyTree[A]]

Solution

```
sealed abstract class MyTree[A]
case class Empty[A]() extends MyTree[A]
case class Node[A](value: A.
                    left: MyTree[A],
                    right: MyTree[A])
  extends MyTree[A]
val t : MyTree[Int] =
  Node(3, Node(4, Empty(), Empty()), Empty())
t match {
  case Empty() \Rightarrow 0
  case Node(v.l.r) => v
```

Abstract Classes for Specification

Abstract Class: Specification

- ➤ Abstract Classes
 - Can be used to abstract away the implementation details.

Abstract classes for Specification Concrete sub-classes for Implementation

Abstract Class: Specification

≻Example Specification

```
abstract class Iter[A] {
  def getValue: Option[A]
  def getNext: Iter[A]
def sumElements[A](f: A=>Int)(xs: Iter[A]) : Int =
  xs.getValue match {
    case None => 0
    case Some(n) => f(n) + sumElements(f)(xs.getNext)
def sumElementsId(xs:Iter[Int]) =
  sumElements((x:Int)=>x)(xs)
```

Concrete Class: Implementation

```
sealed abstract class MyList[A] extends Iter[A]
case class MyNil[A]() extends MyList[A] {
  def getValue = None
  def getNext = this
case class MyCons[A](hd: A, tl: MyList[A])
 extends MyList[A]
  def getValue = Some(hd)
  def getNext = tl
val t1 = MyCons(3, MyCons(5, MyCons(7, MyNi/())))
sumElementsId(t1)
```

Exercise

```
Define IntCounter(n) that implements the specification Iter[A].

class IntCounter(n: Int) extends Iter[Int] {
  def getValue = ???
  def getNext = ???
}
```

sumElementsId(new IntCounter(100))

Solution

Define IntCounter(n) that implements the specification Iter[A].

class IntCounter(n: Int) extends Iter[Int] {
 def getValue = if (n >= 0) Some(n) else None
 def getNext = new IntCounter(n-1)
}

sumElementsId(new IntCounter(100))

More on Abstract Classes

Problem: Iter for MyTree

```
abstract class Iter[A] {
  def getValue: Option[A]
  def getNext: Iter[A]
sealed abstract class MyTree[A]
case class Empty[A]() extends MyTree[A]
case class Node[A](value: A.
                    left: MyTree[A].
                    right: MyTree[A])
  extends MyTree[A]
```

Q: Can MyTree[A] implement Iter[A]?

Solution: Better Specification

```
abstract class Iter[A] {
  def getValue: Option[A]
  def getNext: Iter[A]
abstract class | terable | A | {
  def iter : Iter[A]
def sumElementsGen[A](f: A=>Int)(xs: Iterable[A]) : Int =
  sumElements(f)(xs.iter)
```

Let's Use MyList

```
sealed abstract class MyList[A] extends Iter[A]
case class MyNil[A]() extends MyList[A] {
 def getValue = None
  def getNext = this
case class MyCons[A](val hd: A, val tl: MyList[A])
  extends MyList[A] {
 def getValue = Some(hd)
  def getNext = tI
```

MyTree <: Iterable (Try)

```
sealed abstract class MyTree[A] extends Iterable[A]
case class Empty[A]() extends MyTree[A] {
  val iter = MyNi/()
case class Node[A](value: A.
                   left: MyTree[A],
                   right: MyTree[A])
  extends MyTree[A] {
  // "val iter" is more specific than "def iter",
  // so it can be used in a sub type.
  // In this example, "val iter" is also
  // more efficient than "def iter".
  val iter = MyCons(value, ???(left.iter,right.iter))
```

Extend MyList with append

```
sealed abstract class MyList[A] extends Iter[A] {
  def append(lst: MyList[A]) : MyList[A]
case class MyNil[A]() extends MyList[A] {
 def getValue = None
 def getNext = this
  def append(lst: MyList[A]) = lst
case class MyCons[A](val hd: A, val tl: MyList[A])
  extends MyList[A]
  def getValue = Some(hd)
  def getNext = tl
  def append(lst: MyList[A]) = MyCons(hd,tl.append(lst))
```

MyTree <: Iterable

```
sealed abstract class MyTree[A] extends Iterable[A] {
  def iter : MyList[A]
  /* Note:
  def iter: Int // Type Error (no bug in Scala)
                 // because not (Int <: Iter[A])</pre>
  */
case class Empty[A]() extends MyTree[A] {
  val iter = MyNi/()
case class Node[A](value: A,
                    left: MyTree[A],
                    right: MyTree[A])
  extends MyTree[A] {
  val iter = MyCons(value, left.iter.append(right.iter))
```

Test

```
val t : MyTree[Int] =
  Node(3, Node(4, Node(2, Empty(), Empty()),
        Node(3, Empty(), Empty())),
        Node(5, Empty(), Empty()))
sumElementsGen((x:Int)=>x)(t)
```

Iter <: Iterable

```
abstract class | terable[A] {
  def iter : Iter[A]
abstract class | ter[A] extends | terable[A] {
  def getValue: Option[A]
  def getNext: Iter[A]
  def iter = this
val lst : MyList[Int] =
  MyCons(3, MyCons(4, MyCons(2, MyNil())))
sumElementsGen ((x:Int)=>x)(Ist)
```

Wrapper for Inheritance

Using a Wrapper Class

```
abstract class | ter[A] {
   def getValue: Option[A]
   def getNext: | ter[A]
}

class ListIter[A](val | list: List[A]) extends | ter[A] {
   def getValue = | list.headOption
   def getNext = new ListIter(| list.tail)
}
```

MyTree Using ListIter

```
abstract class | terable[A] {
  def iter : Iter[A]
sealed abstract class MyTree[A] extends Iterable[A] {
  override def iter : ListIter[A]
case class Empty[A]() extends MyTree[A] {
  val iter : ListIter[A] = new ListIter(Ni/)
case class Node[A](value: A,
                   left: MyTree[A],
                   right: MyTree[A])
  extends MyTree[A] {
  val iter : ListIter[A] = new ListIter(
    value::(left.iter.list ++ right.iter.list))
```

Test

```
val t : MyTree[Int] =
  Node(3, Node(4, Node(2, Empty(), Empty()),
      Node(3, Empty(), Empty())),
      Node(5, Empty(), Empty()))
sumElementsGen((x:Int)=>x)(t)
```

Abstract Class With Abstract Types

Using an Abstract Type

```
abstract class | terable[A] {
  type iter_t
  def iter: iter t
  def getValue(i: iter_t) : Option[A]
  def getNext(i: iter_t) : iter_t
def sumElements[A](f:A=>Int)(xs: Iterable[A]) : Int = {
  def sumElementsIter(i: xs.iter_t) : Int =
    xs.getValue(i) match {
      case None => 0
      case Some(n) => f(n) + sumElementsIter(xs.getNext(i))
  sumElementsIter(xs.iter)
```

MyTree Using ListIter

```
sealed abstract class MyTree[A] extends Iterable[A] {
  type iter_t = List[A]
  def getValue(i: List[A]): Option[A] = i.headOption
  def getNext(i: List[A]): List[A] = i.tail
case class Empty[A]() extends MyTree[A] {
 val iter : List[A] = Ni/
case class Node[A](value: A,
                   left: MyTree[A], right: MyTree[A])
  extends MyTree[A] {
  val iter = value :: (left.iter ++ right.iter) //Pre-order
//val iter = left.iter ++ (value :: right.iter) // ln-order
//val iter = left.iter ++ (right.iter ++ List(value))
                                                //Post-order
```

Test

```
val t : MyTree[Int] =
  Node(3, Node(4, Node(2, Empty(), Empty()),
       Node(3, Empty(), Empty())),
       Node(5, Empty(), Empty()))
sumElements((x:Int)=>x)(t)
```

Abstract Classes with Arguments

Abstract Class with Arguments

```
abstract class IterableHE[A](eq: (A,A) => Boolean)
  extends Iterable[A]
  def hasElement(a: A) : Boolean = {
    def hasElementIter(i: iter_t) : Boolean =
      getValue(i) match {
        case None => false
        case Some(n) =>
          if (eq(a,n)) true
          else hasElementIter(getNext(i))
    hasElementIter(iter)
```

MyTree

```
sealed abstract class MyTree[A](eq:(A,A)=>Boolean)
  extends IterableHE[A](eq) {
  type iter_t = List[A]
  def getValue(i : List[A]) : Option[A] = i.headOption
  def getNext(i: List[A]) : List[A] = i.tail
case class Empty[A](eq: (A,A)=>Boolean)
  extends MyTree[A](eq) {
  val iter: List[A] = Ni/
case class Node[A](eq: (A,A)=>Boolean,
               value: A, left: MyTree[A], right: MyTree[A])
  extends MyTree[A](eq) {
  val iter : List[A] = value :: (left.iter ++ right.iter)
```

Test

```
val leq = (x:lnt,y:lnt) => x == y
val | Empty = Empty(leg)
def | Node(n: Int, t1: MyTree[Int], t2: MyTree[Int]) =
  Node(leg.n.t1.t2)
val t : MyTree[Int] =
  INode(3, INode(4, INode(2, IEmpty, IEmpty),
                    INode(3, IEmpty, IEmpty)),
            INode(5.lEmpty.lEmpty))
sumElements((x:Int)=>x)(t)
t.hasElement(5)
t.hasElement(10)
```

Alternatively, Argument Elimination

```
abstract class | terableHE[A]
  extends | terable[A]
  def eq(a:A, b:A) : Boolean
  def hasElement(a: A) : Boolean = {
    def hasElementIter(i: iter t) : Boolean =
      getValue(i) match {
        case None => false
        case Some(n) =>
          if (eq(a,n)) true
          else hasElementIter(getNext(i))
    hasElementIter(iter)
```

MyTree

```
sealed abstract class MyTree[A] extends IterableHE[A] {
  type iter_t = List[A]
  def getValue(i : List[A]) : Option[A] = i.headOption
  def getNext(i: List[A]) : List[A] = i.tail
case class Empty[A](_eq:(A,A)=>Boolean) extends MyTree[A] {
  def eq(a:A, b:A) = eq(a,b)
 val iter : List[A] = Ni/
case class Node[A](_eq: (A,A)=>Boolean.
               value: A, left: MyTree[A], right: MyTree[A])
  extends MyTree[A] {
  def eq(a:A, b:A) = eq(a,b)
  val iter : List[A] = value :: (left.iter ++ right.iter)
```

Test

```
val leq = (x:lnt,y:lnt) => x == y
val | Empty = Empty(leg)
def | Node(n: Int, t1: MyTree[Int], t2: MyTree[Int]) =
  Node(leg.n.t1.t2)
val t : MyTree[Int] =
  INode(3, INode(4, INode(2, IEmpty, IEmpty),
                    INode(3, IEmpty, IEmpty)),
            INode(5.lEmpty.lEmpty))
sumElements((x:Int)=>x)(t)
t.hasElement(5)
t.hasElement(10)
```

More on Classes

Motivating Example

```
class Primes(val prime: Int, val primes: List[Int]) {
  def getNext: Primes = {
    val p = computeNextPrime(prime + 2)
    new Primes(p, primes ++ (p :: N//))
  def computeNextPrime(n: Int) : Int =
    if (primes.forall((p:Int) => n%p != 0)) n
    else computeNextPrime(n+2)
def nthPrime(n: Int): Int = {
  def go(primes: Primes, k: Int): Int =
    if (k <= 1) primes.prime</pre>
    else go(primes.getNext, k - 1)
  if (n == 0) 2 else go(new Primes(3, List(3)), n)
nthPrime(10000)
```

Multiple Constructors

```
class Primes(val prime: Int, val primes: List[Int]) {
  def this() = this(3, \angle ist(3))
  def getNext: Primes = {
    val p = computeNextPrime(prime + 2)
    new Primes(p, primes ++ (p :: N//))
  def computeNextPrime(n: Int) : Int =
    if (primes.forall((p:Int) => n%p != 0)) n
    else computeNextPrime(n+2)
def nthPrime(n: Int): Int = {
  def go(primes: Primes, k: Int): Int =
    if (k <= 1) primes.prime</pre>
    else go(primes.getNext, k - 1)
  if (n == 0) 2 else go(new Primes, n)
nthPrime(10000)
```

Access Modifiers

- > Access Modifiers
 - Private: Only the class can access the member.
 - Protected: Only the class and its sub classes can access the member.

Using Access Modifiers

```
class Primes private (val prime: Int, protected val primes: List[Int])
{ def this() = this(3, \angle ist(3))
  def getNext: Primes = {
    val p = computeNextPrime(prime + 2)
    new Primes(p, primes ++ (p :: N//))
  private def computeNextPrime(n: Int) : Int =
    if (primes.forall((p:Int) => n%p != 0)) n
    else computeNextPrime(n+2)
def nthPrime(n: Int): Int = {
  def go(primes: Primes, k: Int): Int =
    if (k <= 1) primes.prime</pre>
    else go(primes.getNext, k - 1)
  if (n == 0) 2 else go(new Primes, n)
nthPrime(10000)
```

Traits for Multiple Inheritance

Multiple Inheritance Problem

- ➤ Multiple Inheritance
 - The famous "diamond problem"

```
class A(val a: Int)
class B extends A(10)
class C extends A(20)
class D extends B, C.
```

Problem 1: What is the value of (new D).a?

Problem 2: The constructor of A must be executed once because A may contain side effects such as sending messages over the network.

Java's Solution: Interface

>Interface

- An interface cannot contain any implementation but only types of its methods.
- A class can inherit implementations from only one parent class but implement multiple interfaces.

Scala's Solution: Trait

>Traits

- A trait can implement any of its methods, but should have only one constructor with no arguments.
- A trait can "extend" only one trait or (abstract) class with no arguments "with" multiple traits.
- An (abstract) class can "extend" only one trait or (abstract) class with any arguments "with" multiple traits.

Example

```
class A(val a : Int) {
 def this () = this(0)
trait B {
  def f(x: Int): Int = x
trait C extends A with B {
  def g(x: Int): Int = x + a
trait D extends B {
  def h(x: Int): Int = f(x + 50)
class E extends A(10) with C with D {
 override def f(x: Int) = x * a
val e = new E
```

Algorithm for Multiple Inheritance

≻Algorithm

- Give a linear order among all ancestors by "post-order" traversing without revisiting the same node.
- Invoke the constructors once in that order.
- N.B. Post-order traversal of a class C means
 - Recursively post-order traverse C's first parent;
 - **—** ...
 - Recursively post-order traverse C's last parent; and
 - Visit C.

By post-order traversing from "E" in the previous example, we have the order: $A(10) \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow E$

```
val e = new E
e.a // 10
e.f(100) // 100*10
e.g(100) // 100 + 10
e.h(100) // (100 + 50) * 10
```

A Simple Example With Traits

Motivation

```
abstract class Iter[A] {
  def getValue: Option[A]
  def getNext: Iter[A]
class ListIter[A](val list: List[A]) extends Iter[A] {
  def getValue = list.headOption
  def getNext = new ListIter(list.tail)
abstract class Dict[K,V] {
  def add(k: K, v: V): Dict[K,V]
  def find(k: K): Option[V]
```

Q: How can we extend ListIter and implement Dict?

Specification using Traits

```
// abstract class Dict[K,V] {
// def add(k: K, v: V): Dict[K,V]
// def find(k: K): Option[V] }

trait Dict[K,V] {
  def add(k: K, v: V): Dict[K,V]
  def find(k: K): Option[V]
}
```

Implementing Traits

```
class ListIterDict[K,V]
      (eq: (K,K)=>Boolean, list: List[(K,V)])
      extends ListIter[(K,V)](list)
        with Dict[K,V]
  def add(k:K,v:V) = new ListIterDict(eq,(k,v)::list)
  def find(k: K) : Option[V] = {
    def go(|: List[(K, V)]): Option[V] = | match {
        case Ni/ => None
        case (k1, v1) :: t | =>
          if (ea(k, k1)) Some(v1) else go(t1) }
    go(list) }
```

Test

```
def sumElements[A](f: A=>Int)(xs: Iter[A]) : Int =
  xs.getValue match {
    case None => 0
    case Some(n) => f(n) + sumElements(f)(xs.getNext)
def find3(d: Dict[Int,String]) = {
  d.find(3)
val d0 = new ListIterDict[Int,String]((x,y)=>x==y,Ni/)
val d = d0.add(4, "four").add(3, "three")
sumElements[(Int,String)](x=>x._ 1)(d)
find3(d)
```

Mixin with Traits

Motivation: Mixin Functionality

```
abstract class Iter[A] {
  def getValue: Option[A]
  def getNext: Iter[A]
class ListIter[A](list: List[A]) extends Iter[A]
  def getValue = list.headOption
 def getNext: Iter[A] = new ListIter(list.tail)
trait MRIter[A] extends Iter[A] {
  def mapReduce [B,C] (combine: (B,C)=>C, ival: C, f:A=>B): C=???
```

Mixin Composition

```
trait MRIter[A] extends Iter[A] {
  override def getNext: MRIter[A]
  def mapReduce[B,C](combine: (B,C)=>C, ival: C, f: A=>B): C = A
    getValue match {
      case None => ival
      case Some(v) =>
        combine(f(v), getNext.mapReduce(combine, ival, f))
class MRListIter[A](list: List[A])
  extends ListIter (list) with MRIter[A]
  override def getNext: MRIter[A] = new MRListIter(list.tail)
val mr = new MRListIter[Int](\angle ist(3,4,5))
mr.mapReduce[Int,Int]((b,c)=>b+c,0,(a)=>a*a)
```

Mixin Composition: A Better Way

```
trait MRIter[A] extends Iter[A] {
  def mapReduce[B,C](combine: (B,C)=>C, ival: C, f: A=>B): C = \{
    def go(c: Iter[A]): C = c.getValue match {
      case None => ival
      case Some(v) => combine(f(v), go(c.getNext))
    go(this)
class MRListIter[A](list: List[A])
  extends ListIter (list) with MRIter[A]
val mr = new MRListIter[Int](\angle ist(3,4,5))
// or, val mr = new ListIter(List(3,4,5)) with MRIter[Int]
mr.mapReduce[Int,Int]((b,c)=>b+c,0,(a)=>a*a)
```

Stacking with Traits

Typical Hierarchy in Scala



• BASE

Interface (trait or abstract class)

• CORE

Functionality (trait or concrete class)

CUSTOM

Modifications (each in a separate, composable trait)

IntStack: Base

BASE

```
trait IntStack {
  def get(): (Int,IntStack)
  def put(x: Int): IntStack
}
```

IntStack: Core

>CORE

```
class BasicIntStack protected (xs: List[Int]) extends IntStack
  override val toString = "Stack:" + xs.toString
  def this() = this(Ni/)
  protected def mkStack(xs: List[Int]): IntStack =
    new BasicIntStack(xs)
  def get(): (Int,IntStack) = (xs.head, mkStack(xs.tail))
  def put(x: Int): IntStack = mkStack(x :: xs)
val s0 = new BasicIntStack
val s1 = s0.put(3)
val s2 = s1.put(-2)
val s3 = s2.put(4)
val(v1,s4) = s3.get()
val(v2,s5) = s4.get()
```

IntStack: Custom Modifications

>CUSOM

```
trait Doubling extends IntStack {
 abstract override def put(x: Int): IntStack = super.put(2 * x)
trait Incrementing extends IntStack {
 abstract override def put(x: Int): IntStack = super.put(x + 1)
trait Filtering extends IntStack {
 abstract override def put(x: Int): IntStack =
    if (x \ge 0) super.put(x) else this
```

IntStack: Stacking

>Stacking

```
class DIFIntStack protected (xs: List[Int])
  extends BasicIntStack(xs)
 with Doubling with Incrementing with Filtering
  def this() = this(N//)
  override def mkStack(xs: List[Int]): IntStack =
    new DIFIntStack(xs)
val s0 = new DIFIntStack
val s1 = s0.put(3)
val s2 = s1.put(-2)
val s3 = s2.put(4)
val(v1,s4) = s3.get()
val(v2.s5) = s4.get()
```

Intersection Types

Intersection Types

```
> Typing Rule
                             t: T1 t: T2
                             t: T1 with T2
> Example
trait A { val a: Int = 0 }
trait B { val b: Int = 0 }
class C extends A with B {
  override val a = 10
  override val b = 20
  val c = 30
val x = new C
val y: A with B = x
y.a // 10
y.b // 20
y.c // type error
```

Subtype Relation for "with"

The subtype relation for "with" is structural.

Permutation

... with T1 with T2 ... <: ... with T2 with T1 ...

• Width

... with T ... <: ...

Depth

 $T \leq S$

... with T ... <: ... with S ...

Additional Resources

- **≻**Traits
 - http://www.scala-lang.org/old/node/126
- **➤**Mixin Composition
 - http://www.scala-lang.org/old/node/117
- ➤ Stackable Trait Pattern
 - http://www.artima.com/scalazine/articles/stackable_trait_pattern.h
 tml
- ➤ Multiple Inheritance via Traits
 - https://www.safaribooksonline.com/blog/2013/05/30/traits-how-scala-tames-multiple-inheritance/
- >UCSD CSE 130
 - http://cseweb.ucsd.edu/classes/wi14/cse130-a/lectures/scala/02-classes.html

PART 3 Type Classes for Specifications

Specifications over Parameter Types

Subtype Polymorphism

```
trait Ord {
  // this cmp that < 0 iff this < that
  // this cmp that > 0 iff this > that
  // this cmp that == 0 iff this == that
  def cmp(that: Ord): Int
  def ===(that: Ord): Boolean = (this.cmp(that)) == 0
  def < (that: Ord): Boolean = (this cmp that) < 0</pre>
  def > (that: Ord): Boolean = (this cmp that) > 0
  def <= (that: Ord): Boolean = (this cmp that) <= 0</pre>
  def >= (that: Ord): Boolean = (this cmp that) >= 0
def max3(a: Ord, b: Ord, c: Ord) : Ord =
  if (a <= b) { if (b <= c) c else b }
  else \{ if (a \le c) c else a \}
```

* Problem: hard (almost impossible) to define OrdInt <: Ord

Specification over Parameter Types

```
trait Ord[A] {
  def cmp(that: Ord[A]): Int
  def getValue : A
  def ===(that: Ord[A]): Boolean = (this.cmp(that)) == 0
  def < (that: Ord[A]): Boolean = (this cmp that) < 0</pre>
  def > (that: Ord[A]): Boolean = (this cmp that) > 0
  def <= (that: Ord[A]): Boolean = (this cmp that) <= 0</pre>
  def >= (that: Ord[A]): Boolean = (this cmp that) >= 0
def \max(A)(a: Ord[A], b: Ord[A], c: Ord[A]) : Ord[A] =
  if (a <= b) {if (b <= c) c else b }
  else \{if (a \le c) c else a \}
class Olnt(val getInt : Int) extends Ord[Olnt] {
  def cmp(that: Ord[Olnt]) = getInt.compare(that.getValue.getInt)
  def getValue = this
max3(new Olnt(3), new Olnt(2), new Olnt(10)).getValue.getInt
```

Further example: Ordered Bag

```
class Bag[A <: Ord[A]] protected (val toList: List[A]) {</pre>
  def this() = this(Ni/)
  def add(x: A) : Bag[A] = {
    def go(elmts: List[A]): List[A] =
      elmts match {
        case N// \Rightarrow x :: N//
        case e :: if (x < e) \Rightarrow x :: elmts
        case e :: _ if (x === e) => e Imts
        case e :: rest => e :: go(rest)
    new Bag(go(toList))
val emp = new Bag[0|nt]()
val b = emp.add(new 0Int(3)).add(new 0Int(2)).add(new 0Int(10))
b.toList.map((x)=>x.getInt)
```

Works, but Very Awkward!!!

Type Classes

Completely Separating Ord from Int

```
abstract class Ord[A] {
  def cmp(me: A, you: A): Int
  def === (me: A, you: A): Boolean = cmp(me, you) == 0
  def < (me: A, you: A): Boolean = cmp(me, you) < 0
  def > (me: A, you: A): Boolean = cmp(me, you) > 0
  def <= (me: A, you: A): Boolean = cmp(me,you) <= 0</pre>
  def >= (me: A, you: A): Boolean = cmp(me, you) >= 0
def max3[A](a: A, b: A, c: A)(implicit ord: Ord[A]) : A =
  if (ord.<=(a, b)) {if (ord.<=(b,c)) c else b }
                    \{if (ord. \le (a.c)) c else a \}
  else
implicit val intOrd : Ord[Int] = new Ord[Int] {
  def cmp(me: Int, you: Int) = me - you }
\max 3(3,2,10) // 10
```

Syntactic Sugar: new A with B with C { ... }

```
new A with B with C {
  code
is equivalent to
  class _tmp_ extends A with B with C {
    code
  new _tmp_
```

Implicit

- >Implicit
 - An argument is given "implicitly"

```
def foo(s: String)(implicit t: String) = s + t
implicit val exclamation : String = "!!!!!"

foo("Hi")
foo("Hi")("???") // can give it explicitly
```

Bag Example

```
class Bag[A] protected (val toList: List[A])(implicit ord: Ord[A])
{ def this()(implicit ord: Ord[A]) = this(N//)(ord)
  def add(x: A) : Bag[A] = {
    def go(elmts: List[A]) : List[A] =
      elmts match {
        case N// \Rightarrow x :: N//
        case e :: _ if (ord.<(x,e)) => x :: elmts
        case e :: _ if (ord.===(x,e)) => elmts
        case e :: rest => e :: go(rest)
    new Bag(go(toList))
implicit val intOrd : Ord[Int] = new Ord[Int] {
  def cmp(me: Int, you: Int) = me - you }
(new Bag[Int]()).add(3).add(2).add(10).toList
```

Bootstrapping Implicits

```
// lexicographic order
implicit def tup20rd[A, B](implicit ordA: Ord[A], ordB: Ord[B]) = {
  new Ord[(A, B)] {
    def cmp(me: (A, B), you: (A, B)) : Int = {
      val c1 = ordA.cmp(me._1, you._1)
      if (c1 != 0) c1
      else { ordB.cmp(me._2, you._2) }
val b = new Bag[(Int,(Int,Int))]
b.add((3,(3,4))).add((3,(2,7))).add((4,(0,0))).toList
```

With Different Orders

```
val intOrdRev : Ord[Int] =
  new Ord[Int] { def cmp(me: Int, you: Int) = you - me }

(new Bag[Int]()(intOrdRev)).add(3).add(2).add(10).toList
```

Type Classes With Multiple Parameters

Iter

```
// trait | ter[A] {
// def getValue: Option[A]
// def getNext: |ter[A]
// }
abstract class | ter[|,A] {
  def getValue(i: |): Option[A]
 def getNext(i: |): |
def sumElements[|](xs: |)(implicit proxy: | ter[|,|nt]) : | Int =
  proxy.getValue(xs) match {
    case None => 0
    case Some(n) => n + sumElements(proxy.getNext(xs)) }
def printElements[|,A](xs: |)(implicit proxy: | ter[|,A]) : Unit =
  proxy.getValue(xs) match {
    case None =>
    case Some(n) => {print/n(n); printElements(proxy.getNext(xs))}}
```

List

```
implicit def listIter[A] : Iter[List[A], A] =
  new Iter[List[A],A] {
    def getValue(a: List[A]) = a.headOption
    def getNext(a: List[A]) = a.tail
val = List(3,5,2,1)
sumElements(I) //sumElements(I)(listIter[Int])
printElements(I) //printElements(I)(listIter[Int])
```

Iterable

```
// trait | terable[A] {
// def iter : |ter[A]
abstract class | terable[R, |.A] {
  def iter(a: R): I
  def iterProxy: Iter[|, A]
def sumElements2[R,I](xs: R)(implicit proxy: Iterable[R,I,Int]) =
  sumElements(proxy.iter(xs))(proxy.iterProxy)
//sumElements[I](proxy.iter(xs))(proxy.iterProxy)
def printElements2[R,I,A](xs: R)(implicit proxy: Iterable[R,I,A]) =
  printElements(proxy.iter(xs))(proxy.iterProxy)
//printElements[I,A](proxy.iter(xs))(proxy.iterProxy)
```

MyTree

```
sealed abstract class MyTree[A]
case class Empty[A]() extends MyTree[A]
case class Node[A](value: A, left: MyTree[A], right: MyTree[A])
  extends MyTree[A]
implicit def treelterable[A](implicit proxy: Iter[List[A], A]) :
  Iterable[MyTree[A], List[A], A] =
  new Iterable[MyTree[A], List[A], A] {
    def iter(a: MyTree[A]): List[A] = a match {
      case Empty() \Rightarrow Ni/
      case Node(v, left, right) => v :: (iter(left) ++ iter(right))
    val iterProxy = proxy }
val t : MyTree[Int] =
  Node(3, Node(4, Empty(), Empty()), Node(2, Empty(), Empty()))
sumElements2(t) //sumElements2(t)(tree!terable[Int])
printElements2(t) //printElements2(t)(treeIterable[Int])
```

Iter being Iterable

```
implicit def iterIterable[I,A](implicit proxy: Iter[I,A]) :
    Iterable[I,I,A] =
    new Iterable[I,I,A] {
        def iter(a: I) = a
        val iterProxy = proxy
    }

// val | = List(3,5,2,1)
sumElements2(I) //sumElements2(iterIterable(listIter[Int]))
printElements2(I) //printElements2(iterIterable(listIter[Int]))
```

Higher-kind Type Classes

Iter

```
import scala.language.higherKinds
//trait | ter[|,A] {
// def getValue(a: 1): Option[A]
// de/ getNext(a: /): / }
abstract class | ter[|[ ]] {
  def getValue[A](a: I[A]) : Option[A]
  def getNext[A](a: |[A]) : |[A]
def sumElements[|[_]](xs: |[Int])(implicit itr: |ter[|]): Int = {
  itr.getValue(xs) match {
    case None => 0
    case Some(n) => n + sumElements(itr.getNext(xs)) }
def printElements[|[_],A](xs:|[A])(implicit itr: | ter[|]): Unit = {
  itr.getValue(xs) match {
    case None =>
    case Some(n) => {print/n(n); printElements(itr.getNext(xs))}}
```

List

```
implicit val listIter : Iter[List] =
  new Iter[List] {
    def getValue[A](a: List[A]) = a.headOption
    def getNext[A](a: List[A]) = a.tail
val = List(3,5,2,1)
sumElements(I) //sumElements(I)(listIter)
printElements(I) //printElements(I)(listIter)
```

Iterable

```
//trait | terable[R.|.A] {
// def iter(a: R): /
// def iterProxy: Iter[1, A]
// }
abstract class | terable[R[_], | [_]] {
  def iter[A](a: R[A]): I[A]
  def iterProxy: Iter[]
def sumElements2[R[_], |[_]](xs: R[Int])
                            (implicit proxy: Iterable[R, I]) =
  sumElements(proxy.iter(xs))(proxy.iterProxy)
//sumElements[I](proxy.iter(xs))(proxy.iterProxy)
def printElements2[R[_], |[_], A](xs: R[A])
                                (implicit proxy: Iterable[R, I]) =
  printElements(proxy.iter(xs))(proxy.iterProxy)
//printElements[I,A](proxy.iter(xs))(proxy.iterProxy)
```

MyTree

```
sealed abstract class MyTree[A]
case class Empty[A]() extends MyTree[A]
case class Node[A](value: A, left: MyTree[A], right: MyTree[A])
extends MyTree[A]
implicit val treelterable : Iterable[MyTree,List] =
  new Iterable[MyTree,List] {
    def iter[A](a: MyTree[A]) : List[A] = a match {
      case Empty() \Rightarrow Ni/
      case Node(v,left,right) => v :: (iter(left) ++ iter(right)) }
    val iterProxy = implicitly[Iter[List]]
val t : MyTree[Int] =
  Node(3, Node(4, Empty(), Empty()), Node(2, Empty(), Empty()))
sumElements2(t) //sumElements2(t)(tree!terable)
printElements2(t) //printElements2(t)(tree/terable)
```

Implicitly

```
▶ Definition
 def implicitly[A](implicit proxy: A) : A = proxy
Example
implicit val treelterable :
  Iterable[MyTree,List] = new Iterable[MyTree,List] {
    def iter[A](a: MyTree[A]) : List[A] = a match {
      case Empty() \Rightarrow Ni/
      case Node(v,left,right) => v :: (iter(left) ++ iter(right)) }
    val iterProxy = implicitly[Iter[List]]
implicit def treelterable(implicit proxy: Iter[List]) :
  Iterable[MyTree,List] = new Iterable[MyTree,List] {
    def iter[A](a: MyTree[A]) : List[A] = a match {
      case Empty() \Rightarrow Ni/
      case Node(v,left,right) => v :: (iter(left) ++ iter(right)) }
    val iterProxy = proxy
```

Iter being Iterable

```
implicit def iterIterable[I[_]](implicit proxy: Iter[I]):
   Iterable[I,I] = new Iterable[I,I]
{
   def iter[A](a: I[A]) = a
   def iterProxy = proxy
}

// val / = List(3,5,2,1)
sumElements2(I) //sumElements2(I)(iterIterable(listIter))
printElements2(I) //printElements2(I)(iterIterable(listIter))
```

Example: Functor Specification

Example: Functor Implementation

```
sealed abstract class MyTree[A]
case class Empty[A]() extends MyTree[A]
case class Node[A](value: A, left: MyTree[A], right: MyTree[A])
  extends MyTree[A]
implicit val ListFunctor : Functor[List] = new Functor[List] {
  def map[A,B](f: A=>B)(x: List[A]) = x.map(f)
implicit val MyTreeFunctor : Functor[MyTree] = new Functor[MyTree] {
  def map[A,B](f: A=>B)(x: MyTree[A]) : MyTree[B] = x match {
    case Empty() \Rightarrow Empty()
    case Node(v, l, r) \Rightarrow Node(f(v), map(f)(l), map(f)(r)) 
compose((x:Int)=>x*x)((x:Int)=>x+x)(\angle ist(1,2,3))
val t : MyTree[Int] =
        Node(3, Node(4, Empty(), Empty()), Node(2, Empty(), Empty()))
compose((x:Int)=>x*x)((x:Int)=>x+x)(t)
```

Even Higher Kinds

```
// /ter: (* -> *) -> *
abstract class | ter[|[]] {
  def getValue[A](a: I[A]) : Option[A]
  def getNext[A](a: |[A]) : |[A]
// Foo: ((* -> *) -> *) -> *
abstract class Foo[|[ | ]]] {
  def get : |[List]
def f(x: Foo[Iter]) : Iter[List] = x.get
```

Turning Type Classes into OO Classes

Iter

```
// trait | ter[A] {
// def getValue: Option[A]
// def getNext: |ter[A]
// }
abstract class | ter[|,A] {
  def getValue(i: |): Option[A]
 def getNext(i: |): |
def sumElements[|](xs: |)(implicit proxy: | ter[|,|nt]) : | Int =
  proxy.getValue(xs) match {
    case None => 0
    case Some(n) => n + sumElements(proxy.getNext(xs)) }
def printElements[|,A](xs: |)(implicit proxy: | ter[|,A]) : Unit =
  proxy.getValue(xs) match {
    case None =>
    case Some(n) => {print/n(n); printElements(proxy.getNext(xs))}}
```

List

```
implicit def listIter[A] : Iter[List[A], A] =
  new Iter[List[A],A] {
    def getValue(a: List[A]) = a.headOption
    def getNext(a: List[A]) = a.tail
val = List(3,5,2,1)
sumElements(I) //sumElements(I)(listIter[Int])
printElements(I) //printElements(I)(listIter[Int])
```

How to return data satisfying a specification?

```
def inclter(max: Int) : Iter[Int,Int] = new Iter[Int,Int] {
  def getValue(i: Int) = if (i <= max) Some(i) else None</pre>
  def getNext(i: Int) = i + 1
def getMylter(islnc: Boolean) : ? = {
  if (islnc)? // want to return 0 with inclter(10)
 else ? // want to return List(3,1,4) with listIter
val i1 = getMyIter(true)
printElements(i1)
val i2 = getMylter(false)
printElements(i2)
```

What can we do?

Turning Type Classes into OO Classes

```
import scala.language.higherKinds
import scala.language.implicitConversions
abstract class Box2[S[_,_],A] {
  type Data
  val d: Data
  val i: S[Data.A]
object Box2 {
  implicit def apply[S[_, _],D,A](dd: D)(implicit ii: S[D,A]):
  Box2[S,A] = new Box2[S,A] {
    type Data = D
    val d = dd
    val / = ii
  implicit def methods[S[_, _],A](d: Box2[S,A]): S[d.Data,A] = d.i
```

Test

```
def inclter(max: Int) : Iter[Int,Int] = new Iter[Int,Int] {
  def getValue(i: Int) = if (i <= max) Some(i) else None</pre>
  def getNext(i: Int) = i + 1
def getMylter(isInc: Boolean) : Box2[Iter,Int] = {
  if (islnc) Box2(0)(inclter(10)) // Box2.apply(0)(inclter(10))
 else List(3,1,4) // Box2(List(3,1,4))(list[ter[Int])
val i1 = getMyIter(true)
printElements(i1.d)(i1.i)
i1.getValue(i1.getNext(i1.d)) // i1.i.getValue(i1.i.getNext(i1.d))
val i2 = getMyIter(false)
printElements(i2.d)(i2.i)
i2.getValue(i2.getNext(i2.d))
```

Can define "Box" for different kinds of specs

```
abstract class Box[S[]] {
  type Data
  val d: Data
  val i: S[Data]
object Box {
  implicit def apply[D,S[_]](dd: D)(implicit ii: S[D]):
  Box[S] = new Box[S] 
    type Data = D
    val d = dd
    val / = ii
  implicit def methods[S[_]](d: Box[S]): S[d.Data] = d.i
```

Iterable

```
// abstract class | terable[R.|.A] {
// def iter(a: R): /
// def iterProxy: |ter[|, A]
abstract class Iterable[R,A] {
  def iter(a: R): Box2[Iter,A]
def sumElements2[R](xs: R)(implicit proxy: Iterable[R,Int]) = {
  val cs = proxy.iter(xs)
  sumElements(cs.d)(cs.i)
def printElements2[R,A](xs: R)(implicit proxy: Iterable[R,A]) = {
val cs = proxy.iter(xs)
  printElements(cs.d)(cs.i)
```

MyTree

```
sealed abstract class MyTree[A]
case class Empty[A]() extends MyTree[A]
case class Node[A](value: A, left: MyTree[A], right: MyTree[A])
  extends MyTree[A]
implicit def treelterable[A] :
  Iterable[MyTree[A], A] = new Iterable[MyTree[A], A] {
  def iter(a: MyTree[A]) = {
    def go(I: MyTree[A]) : List[A] =
      | match {
        case Empty() \Rightarrow Ni/
        case Node(v, left, right) => v :: (go(left) ++ go(right)) }
    go(a) // Box2(go(a))(listIter[A])
val t : MyTree[Int] =
  Node(3, Node(4, Empty(), Empty()), Node(2, Empty(), Empty()))
sumElements2(t) //sumElements2(t)(tree!terable[Int])
printElements2(t) //printElements2(t)(treeIterable[Int])
```

Iter being Iterable

```
implicit def iterIterable[I,A](implicit proxy: Iter[I,A]) :
   Iterable[I,A] = new Iterable[I,A] {
     def iter(a: I) = a // Box2(a)(proxy)
   }

// val / = List(3,5,2,1)
sumElements2(I)
printElements2(I)
```

Stacking with Type Classes

IntStack Spec & Modifying Traits

```
trait IntStack[A] {
 def empty : A
 def get(s: A): (Int,A)
 def put(s: A)(x: Int): A
trait Doubling[A] extends IntStack[A] {
 abstract override def put(s: A)(x: Int): A = super.put(s)(2 * x)
trait Incrementing[A] extends IntStack[A] {
 abstract override def put(s: A)(x: Int): A = super.put(s)(x + 1)
trait Filtering[A] extends IntStack[A] {
 abstract override def put(s: A)(x: Int): A =
    if (x \ge 0) super.put(s)(x) else s
```

Implementation using List

```
trait ListStackImpl extends IntStack[List[Int]] {
  val empty = List()
  def get(s: List[Int]) = (s.head, s.tail)
  def put(s: List[Int])(x: Int) = x :: s
val stkDIF : IntStack[List[Int]] =
  new ListStackImpl
    with Doubling[List[Int]]
    with Incrementing[List[Int]]
    with Filtering[List[Int]]
val s0 = stkDIF.empty
val s1 = stkDIF.put(s0)(3)
val s2 = stkDIF.put(s1)(-2)
val s3 = stkDIF.put(s2)(4)
val(v1,s4) = stkDIF.get(s3)
val(v2,s5) = stkDIF.get(s4)
```

Implementation using SortedIntStack

```
class SortedStack protected (xs: List[Int]) {
  override val toString = "Stack:" + xs.toString
  def this() = this(Ni/)
  def get : (Int,SortedStack) = (xs.head, new SortedStack(xs.tail))
  def put(x: Int) : SortedStack = {
    def go(I: List[Int]) : List[Int] = I match {
      case N// \Rightarrow x :: N//
      case hd :: t \mid => if (x \leq hd) x :: l else hd :: go(tl)
    new SortedStack(go(xs))
trait SortedStackImpl extends IntStack[SortedStack] {
  val empty = new SortedStack()
  def get(s: SortedStack) : (Int,SortedStack) = s.get
  def put(s: SortedStack)(x: Int) : SortedStack = s.put(x)
```

Implementation using SortedIntStack: Test

```
val sortedDIF : IntStack[SortedStack] =
  new SortedStackImpl
    with Doubling[SortedStack]
    with Incrementing[SortedStack]
    with Filtering[SortedStack]
val s0 = sortedDIF.empty
val s1 = sortedDIF.put(s0)(3)
val s2 = sortedDIF.put(s1)(-2)
val s3 = sortedDIF.put(s2)(4)
val(v1,s4) = sortedDIF.get(s3)
val(v2,s5) = sortedDIF.get(s4)
```

Separating methods from SortedIntStack

```
class SortedStack protected (private val xs: List[Int]) {
  override val toString = "Stack:" + xs.toString
  def this() = this(Ni/)
object SortedStack {
  trait Impl extends IntStack[SortedStack] {
    val empty : SortedStack = new SortedStack
    def get(s: SortedStack) : (Int,SortedStack) =
      (s.xs.head, new SortedStack(s.xs.tail))
    def put(s: SortedStack)(x: Int) : SortedStack = {
      def go(|: List[|nt]): List[|nt] = | match {
        case N// \Rightarrow x :: N//
        case hd :: t \mid => if (x \leq hd) x :: l else hd :: go(tl)
      new SortedStack(go(s.xs))
```

Separating methods from SortedIntStack: Test

```
val sortedDIF : IntStack[SortedStack] =
  new SortedStack.Impl
    with Doubling[SortedStack]
    with Incrementing[SortedStack]
    with Filtering[SortedStack]
val s0 = sortedDIF.empty
val s1 = sortedDIF.put(s0)(3)
val s2 = sortedDIF.put(s1)(-2)
val s3 = sortedDIF.put(s2)(4)
val(v1,s4) = sortedDIF.get(s3)
val(v2,s5) = sortedDIF.get(s4)
```

PART 4 Imperative Programming with Memory Updates

Mutable Variables

- ➤ Mutable Variables
 - Use "var" instead of "val" and "def"
 - We can update the value stored in a variable.

```
class Main(i: Int) {
  var a = i
}

val m = new Main(10)
m.a // 10
m.a = 20
m.a // 20
m.a += 5 // m.a = m.a + 5
m.a // 25
```

While loop

- ➤ While loop
 - Syntax: while (cond) body Executes body while cond holds.
 - It is equivalent to:

```
def mywhile(cond: =>Boolean)(body: =>Unit) : Unit =
  if (cond) { body; mywhile(cond)(body) } else ()
```

Example

```
var i = 0
var sum = 0
while (i <= 100) { // mywhile (i <= 100) {
    sum += i
    i += 2
}
sum // 2550</pre>
```

For loop

- ➤ For loop
 - Syntax: for (i <- collection) body Executes body for each i in collection.
 - It is equivalent to:

```
def myfor[A](xs: Traversable[A])(f: A => Unit) : Unit =
    xs.foreach(f)
```

Example

```
var sum = 0
for (i <- 0 to 100 by 2) { // myfor (0 to 100 by 2) { i =>
    sum += i
}
sum // 2550
```

Additional Resources

≻UCSD CSE 130

- http://cseweb.ucsd.edu/classes/wi14/cse130-a/lectures/scala/00-crash.html
- http://cseweb.ucsd.edu/classes/wi14/cse130-a/lectures/scala/01-iterators.html

Thanks for your hard work!

Context Bound: Syntactic Sugar

```
//class Bag[A] protected(val toList:List[A])(implicit proxy:Ord[A])
class Bag[A : Ord] protected (val toList: List[A])
{ val proxy = imp/icit/y[Ord[A]]
  // def this()(implicit proxy: Ord[A]) = this(Nil)(proxy)
  def this() = this(Ni/)
  def add(x: A) : Bag[A] = {
    def go(elmts: List[A]) : List[A] =
      elmts match {
        case N// \Rightarrow x :: N//
        case e :: _ if (proxy.<(x,e)) => x :: elmts
        case e :: _ if (proxy. ===(x,e)) => elmts
        case e :: rest ⇒ e :: go(rest)
    new Bag(go(toList))
```



Separating Ord from Int (up to some degree)

```
abstract class Ord[A] {
  def cmp(that: A): Int

  def ===(that: A): Boolean = (this cmp that) == 0
  def < (that: A): Boolean = (this cmp that) < 0
  def > (that: A): Boolean = (this cmp that) > 0
  def <= (that: A): Boolean = (this cmp that) <= 0
  def >= (that: A): Boolean = (this cmp that) >= 0
  def >= (that: A): Boolean = (this cmp that) >= 0
}
```

Bag Example

```
class Bag[A] protected (val toList: List[A])
                        (implicit proxy: A => Ord[A])
\{ def this()(implicit proxy: A => Ord[A]) = this(N//)(proxy) \}
  def add(x: A) : Bag[A] = {
    def go(elmts: List[A]) : List[A] =
      elmts match {
        case N// \Rightarrow x :: N//
        case e :: _ if (x < e /* proxy(x) < e */) => x :: elmts
        case e :: if (x === e) => e Imts
        case e :: rest => e :: go(rest)
    new Bag(go(toList))
implicit def intProxy(x:Int) =
  new Ord[Int] { def cmp(that: Int) = x.compare(that) }
(new Bag[Int]()).add(3).add(2).add(10).toList
```

View Bound: Syntactic Sugar

```
// class Bag[A] protected (val toList: List[A])
                           (implicit proxy: A => Ord[A])
class Bag[A <% Ord[A]] protected (val toList: List[A])</pre>
  // def this()(implicit proxy: A => Ord[A]) = this(Nil)(proxy)
  def this() = this(Ni/)
  def add(x: A) : Bag[A] = {
    def go(elmts: List[A]) : List[A] =
      elmts match {
        case N// \Rightarrow x :: N//
        case e :: _ if (x < e) \Rightarrow x :: elmts
        case e :: if (x === e) => e Imts
        case e :: rest => e :: go(rest)
    new Bag(go(toList))
```

Bootstrapping Proxies

```
// lexicographic order
implicit def tup2Proxy[A <% Ord[A], B <% Ord[B]](x: (A, B)) =</pre>
  new Ord[(A, B)] {
    def cmp(that: (A, B)) : Int = {
      val c1 = x._1 cmp that._1
              // implicitly[A](x._1).cmp(that._1)
      if (c1 != 0) c1 else { x._2 cmp that._2 }
val b = new Bag[(Int,(Int,Int))]
b.add((3,(3,4))).add((3,(2,7))).add((4,(0,0))).toList
```

Typecasts

Typecasts

```
class A(val v: Int)
class B(val v: Int)

implicit def A2B(x: A) : B = new B(x.v + 1)

def foo(x: B) = x.v

foo(new B(0)) // 0
foo(new A(0)) // 1 because foo(A2B(new A(0))) = 1
```

No Transitive Casting in Scala

```
class A(val v: Int)
class B(val v: Int)
class C(val v: Int)
implicit def A2B(x: A) : B = new B(x.v + 1)
implicit def B2C(x: B) : C = new C(x.v + 1)
def foo(x: C) = x.v
foo(new C(0)) // 0
foo(new B(0)) // 1 because bar(B2C(new B(0))) = 1
foo(new A(0)) // error, because there is no function: A => C
              // That is, Scala does not cast transitively
              // like bar(B2C(A2B(new A(O))))
```

A Trick to Implement Transitive Casting

```
class A(val v: Int)
class B(val v: Int)
class C(val v: Int)
implicit def A2B[T<%A](x:T): B = new B(x.v + 1)
//implicit\ def\ A2B[T](x:T)(implicit\ T2A:T=>A):B = new\ B(T2A(x).v+1)
implicit def B2C[T<%B](x:T): C = new C(x.v + 1)
//implicit\ def\ B2C[T](x:T)(implicit\ T2B:T=>B):C=new\ C(T2B(x).v+1)
def foo(x: C) = x.v
foo(new C(0)) // O
foo(new B(0)) // 1 because bar(B2C[B](new B(0))((x)=>x)) = 1
foo(new A(0)) // 2 because bar(B2C[A](new A(0))
                            ((x)=>A2B[A](x)((x)=>x))) = 2
```

Typecasts vs. Subtypes

Typecasting is Better than Subtyping

- **≻**Subtypes
 - Structural Subtypes
 - ✓ Subtype relation is too implicit. (Bad)
 - ✓ Usually applies to non-recursive types only because typechecking is hard for recursive types. (Bad)
 - Nominal Subtypes
 - ✓ Subtype relation is explicit. (Good)
 - ✓ Subtype relation should be given at datatype definition time. (Bad)
- ➤ Typecasts using "implicit"
 - ✓ Subtype relation is explicit (Good)
 - ✓ Subtype relation can be given any time. (Good)

We will compare between

- Object-Oriented Programming (OOP) with Subtypes
- Type-Oriented Programming (TOP) with Typecasts

TOP with Typecasts & Mixin: Main

```
class Main[A](val a: A, val b: A)
abstract class MainSig[M[_]] {
  \operatorname{def} f[A](m: M[A])(x: A) : \operatorname{Main}[A]
  def g[A](m: M[A])(x: A) : Main[A]
  def h[A](m: M[A]) : Main[A]
trait MainDef[M[ ]] {
  implicit def castMain[A](m: M[A]) : Main[A]
  def f[A](m: M[A])(x: A) = new Main(x,x)
  def g[A](m: M[A])(x: A) = new Main(m.a,x)
  def h[A](m: M[A]) = new Main(m.b,m.a)
implicit val Main : MainSig[Main] =
  new MainSig[Main] with MainDef[Main] {
    def castMain[A](m: Main[A]) = m }
```

TOP with Typecasts & Mixin: Sub

```
class Sub[A](val c: A, val d: A) {
  val parent : Main[A] = new Main(d,c) }
implicit def SubMain[A,T<%Sub[A]](m:T) : Main[A] = m.parent</pre>
abstract class SubSig[M[_]] {
  val parent: MainSig[M]
  \operatorname{def} r[A](m: M[A])(x: A) : \operatorname{Sub}[A] 
implicit def SubSigMainSig[M[_]]
              (implicit m: SubSig[M]) : MainSig[M] = m.parent
trait SubDef[M[ ]] {
  implicit def castSub[A](m: M[A]) : Sub[A]
  val parent : MainSig[M] = new MainSig[M] with MainDef[M] {
  implicit def castMain[A](m: M[A]) = castSub(m)
  override def f[A](m:M[A])(x:A) = new Sub(Main.f(m)(x).a,m.c) }
  def r[A](m: M[A])(x: A) = new Sub(m.c,x) }
implicit val Sub : SubSig[Sub] = new SubSig[Sub] with SubDef[Sub] {
  implicit def castSub[A](m: Sub[A]) = m }
```

TOP with Typecasts & Mixin: Test

Inheritance & Access Modes in TOP

OOP

```
class Main[A](protected val a: A, val v: A) {
  private def _f(x: A) : Main[A] = new Main(a,x)
  protected def f(x: A) : Main[A] = _f(x)
  def g(x: A) : Main[A] = f(x)
class Sub[A](private val b: A, val w : A) extends Main[A](b,b) {
  override def f(x: A) : Sub[A] = new Sub(a, super.f(x).v)
 def r(x: A) : Sub[A] = new Sub(b,x)
def foo(m: Main[Int]) = m.g(10).v
val y : Sub[Int] = new Sub(100,200)
foo(y) // 100
y.f(10).w // 10
y.r(10).w // 10
val z : Main[Int] = y
foo(z) // 100, follows the run-time type information.
        // This is called "dynamic dispatch".
```

TOP: Main

```
import scala.language.higherKinds
import scala.language.implicitConversions
class Main[A](protected val a: A, val v: A) {
  trait Impl[M[_]] extends MainSig[M] {
    private def _f[A](m: M[A])(x: A) = new Main(m.a,x)
    protected def f[A](m: M[A])(x: A) = _f(m)(x)
    def g[A](m: M[A])(x: A) = f(m)(x)
abstract class MainSig[M[_]] {
  implicit def prv[A](m: M[A]) : Main[A]
  protected def f[A](m: M[A])(x: A) : Main[A]
  \operatorname{def} g[A](m: M[A])(x: A) : \operatorname{Main}[A]
val Main_ = new Main[Null](null,null)
implicit val Main : MainSig[Main] =
  new Main_.Impl[Main] { def prv[A](m: Main[A]) = m }
```

TOP: Sub

```
class Sub[A](private val b: A, val w : A) extends Main[A](b,b) {
  trait Impl[M[_]] extends SubSig[M] with Main_.Impl[M] {
    override def f[A](m:M[A])(x:A) = new Sub(m.a, super.f(m)(x).v)
    def r[A](m: M[A])(x: A) = new Sub(prv(m).b,x)
abstract class SubSig[M[_]] extends MainSig[M] {
  implicit def prv[A](m: M[A]) : Sub[A]
  \operatorname{def} f[A](m:M[A])(x:A) : \operatorname{Sub}[A]
  \operatorname{def} r[A](m: M[A])(x: A) : \operatorname{Sub}[A]
val Sub_ = new Sub[Null](null,null)
implicit val Sub : SubSig[Sub] =
  new Sub_.Impl[Sub] { def prv[A](m: Sub[A]) = m }
```

TOP: Test

```
def foo[M[_]](m: M[Int])(implicit i_ : MainSig[M]) =
   i_.g(m)(10).v

val s : Sub[Int] = new Sub(100,200)
foo(s) // 100
Sub.f(s)(10).w // 10
Sub.r(s)(10).w // 10

val m : Main[Int] = s
foo(m) // 10, fo/lows the static type information.
```

Dynamic Dispatch in TOP

Dynamic Dispatch in OOP

Dynamic Dispatch (value-dependent method call) might be useful. Heterogeneous list is a good example.

```
def gee(I: List[Main[Int]]) : Int = I match {
   case NiI => 0
   case m :: tI => m.g(10).v + gee(tI)
}

(new Sub(100,200)).g(10).v // 100
(new Main(100,200)).g(10).v // 10

gee(List(new Sub(100,200),new Main(100,200))) // 100 + 10
```

Dynamic Dispatch in TOP (1)

```
abstract class MainDyn[A] {
  type _M[_]
  val_d : _M[A]
  val _i : MainSig[_M]
implicit def toMainDyn[M[_],A](d:M[A])(implicit i: MainSig[M]) :
  MainDyn[A] = new MainDyn[A] {
    type _{M}[A] = M[A]
    val_d = d
    val_{-}/ = i
implicit def toMainSig[A](m: MainDyn[A]): MainSig[m._M] = m._i
implicit def toMain[A](m: MainDyn[A]): Main[A] = m.prv(m._d)
```

Dynamic Dispatch in TOP (2)

```
// Static Dispatch
def gee(I: List[Main[Int]]) : Int = I match {
  case Ni/ \Rightarrow 0
  case m :: tl => Main.g(m)(10).v + gee(tl)
// Dynamic Dispatch
def geeDyn(I: List[MainDyn[Int]]) : Int = I match {
  case Ni/ \Rightarrow 0
  case m :: t \mid => m.g(m.d)(10).v + geeDyn(t \mid)
Main.g(new Sub(100,200))(10).v // 10
Sub.g(new Sub(100,200))(10).v // 100
Main.g(new Main(100,200))(10).v // 10
gee(List(new Sub(100,200), new Main(100,200))) // 10 + 10
geeDyn(List(new Sub(100,200), new Main(100,200))) // 100 + 10
```

Object-Oriented vs. Type-Oriented

(My opinion) TOP is better than OOP.

Type Classes

- ➤ Type-Oriented Programming
 - Type-Classes/Instances: type + methods
 - Can separate Specification & Implementation

```
class Point(x: Int, y: Int) {
 // data
 val px : Int = x
  val py : Int = y
val Point = new {
 // methods
  def plus(p : Point, q: Point) : Point =
    new Point (p.px + q.px, p.py + q.py)
val p1 : Point = new Point(3,4)
val p2 : Point = new Point(1, 10)
val p3 : Point = Point.plus(p1,p2)
```

OOP vs TOP

```
>OOP (Object-Oriented Programming)
    Class DF {
      val x: D1
      val y: D2
      def f(i: I1): O1
      def g(i: I2): O2 }

    Associate functionality with data

>TOP (Type-Oriented Programming)
    Class D {
      val x: D1
      val y: D2 }
    Class F {
      def f(d: D)(i: I1): O1
      def g(d: D)(i: I2): O2 }

    Associate functionality with types

   • Separate functionality from data
```

• TOP is particularly useful for writing specifications!!

Iterable with Abstract Type

```
abstract class Iterable[R,I,A] {
  def iter(a: R): |
  def iterProxy: Iter[I, A]
}
```

One can also hide the iter type information as follows.

```
abstract class Iterable[R,A] {
   type iterT
   def iter(a: R): iterT
   def iterProxy: Iter[iterT, A]
}
```