

Chem 6004  
Problem Set 1

3/1/2020

1. Consider an electron in a 1-D box of length  $L=1 \text{ nm}$  that has energy eigenstates given by:

$$\Psi_n(x) = \sqrt{2} \sin(n\pi x) \quad x = \text{nm}$$

After atomically precise hammer is used to deform bottom of box that  $e^-$  feels an effective potential given by:

$$V(x) = -2(x-0.5)^3 + 0.5$$

- a) Compute energy expectation value of electron described by  $\Psi_1(x)$  after box is deformed.

$$\langle E \rangle = \Psi_1(x) \left| \hat{H} \right| \Psi_1(x) + \langle \Psi_1(x) | V | \Psi_1(x) \rangle$$

assume:  $\hbar = 1$   
 $m = 1$

$$E_n = \frac{n^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2mL^2}, n=1, 2,$$

$\cdot 2 \text{ mL}$

$$E_1 = \frac{1^2 \pi^2}{2(1)(1)^2}$$

$$2.) \hat{H} = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - z(x-0.5)^3 + 0.5$$

$$\hbar = 1$$

$$m = 1$$

3.) Hartree method's trial wavefunction:

$$\Psi_H = \phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2)$$

Hartree-Fock trial wavefunction:

$$\Psi_{HF} = \frac{1}{2} \phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) - \phi_1(x_2) \phi_2(x_1)$$

Recall:

$$\hat{H} = \sum_i \hat{h}_i + \sum_{i < j} \hat{V}_{ij}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi_{HF} | \hat{H} | \Psi_{HF} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) | \hat{H} | \phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) | \hat{H} | \phi_1(x_2) \phi_2(x_1) \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \langle \phi_1(x_2) \phi_2(x_1) | \hat{H} | \phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \phi_1(x_2) \phi_2(x_1) | \hat{H} | \phi_1(x_2) \phi_2(x_1) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \Psi_H | \hat{H} | \Psi_H \rangle = \langle \phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) | \hat{H} | \phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) \rangle$$

$$\langle \Psi_H | \hat{H} | \Psi_H \rangle = \langle \phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) | \hat{h} | \phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) | \hat{V}_{ij} | \phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) \rangle$$

I believe Hartree's wavelength will yield higher energy. I'm assuming this because the quantities in Hartree's wavelength are being added together, where in the HF, they are being subtracted.

For this problem, I used chapter 4 in Cramer, my notes and [physics.mtu.edu/~sturgut/hf.pdf](http://physics.mtu.edu/~sturgut/hf.pdf)

4.)  $\phi(x) = C_1 x(L-x) + C_2 x(L-x)^2 + C_3 x^4(L-x) + C_4 x^2(L-x)^2$

$$= 1 \cdot x(1-x) + 1 \cdot x(1-x)^2 + 1 \cdot x^4(1-x) + 1 \cdot x^2(1-x)^2$$

compare energy expectation value of  $\phi(x)$  to  $\Psi_1(x)$ , true ground state of PIB of length  $L$

We know:

Variational Principle: Energy as a function of any reasonable trial wavefunction will be greater than or equal to Energy as a function of the true ground state wavefunction

$$E[\Phi(x)] \geq E[\Psi_0(x)], \quad E_n = \frac{n^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2mL^2} \quad n=1, 2, \dots$$

$$\hat{H} \Phi(x) = \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \Phi(x)}_{T\Phi} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} x^2 \Phi(x)}_{V\Phi}$$

assume:

$$\hbar = 1$$

$$m = 1$$

$$L = 1$$

$$C = 1$$

$$E[\Phi] = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi^*(x) (\hat{H} \Phi(x)) dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi^*(x) \Phi(x) dx}$$

$$E_1 = \frac{1^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2mL^2}$$

$$E_1 = \frac{1^2 \cdot \pi^2 \cdot 1^2}{2 \cdot 1 \cdot 1^2} = 4.9348$$

$$E[\Phi(x)] = \frac{\int_0^1 \Phi(x) \hat{H} \Phi(x) dx}{\int_0^1 \Phi(x) \Phi(x) dx}$$

numerator:  $\int_0^1 -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \Phi \cdot \Phi dx = 0.60317 \rightarrow WA$

denominator:  $\int_0^1 \Phi^* \Phi = 0.11948 \rightarrow \text{WA}$

$$\frac{0.60317}{0.11948} = 5.0483$$

$$E[\Phi] = 5.0483$$

$E[\Phi]$  is greater than the <sup>g.s.</sup> energy, so it is consistent with the variational principle.

★ for this problem, I used my notes and WA.

5.)

$$E_{MP2} = 2 \sum_{i,j,a,b} \frac{\langle ij|V|ab\rangle \langle ab|V|ij\rangle}{\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j + \epsilon_a + \epsilon_b} - 2 \sum_{i,j,a,b} \frac{\langle ij|V|ab\rangle \langle ab|V|ij\rangle}{\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j + \epsilon_a + \epsilon_b}$$

Comes from the second order corrections. The numerator is simplified by the orthogonality of ground state and excited Slater determinants, then using Slater-Condon rules, we only consider doubly and singly excited determinants. The singly excited will = 0 (due to Brillouin's Theorem).

The denominator for each doubly excited determinant will differ from the g.s. by including the sum of energies of the unoccupied orbitals into which excitation occurred and excluding the energies of the orbitals from which excitation occurred, giving us the second order energy correction. All the energy corrections sum up to  $E_{MP2}$ .

★ for this problem, I used chapter 7 in Cramer