Linear Variational Method-Solutions

February 17, 2019

1 Computational Exercise 1: Linear Variational Principle

We will apply the Linear Variational Method to the particle in a box of length 10 atomic unitw with a delta function potential centered at x = 5 atomic units. In particular, we will optimize the trial wavefunction given by

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i \psi_i(x) \tag{1}$$

where the coefficients c_i are real numbers and $\psi_i(x)$ are the energy eigenfunctions of the particle in a box with no potential:

$$\psi_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{10}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{10}\right). \tag{2}$$

We will seek to minimize the energy functional through the expansion coefficients, where the energy functional can be written as

$$E[\Phi(x)] = \frac{\int_0^\infty \Phi^*(x) \, \hat{H} \, \Phi(x) dx}{\int_0^\infty \Phi^*(x) \, \Phi(x) dx}.$$
 (3)

The Hamiltonian operator in the box is given by

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \delta(x - 5); \tag{4}$$

in natural units, \hbar and m are equal to 1.

As we saw in class, $E[\Phi(x)]$ can be expanded as

$$E[\Phi(x)] \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_i c_j S_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_i c_j H_{ij}$$
(5)

where

$$S_{ij} = \int_0^L \psi_i(x)\psi_j(x)dx = \delta_{ij}$$
 (6)

and

$$H_{ij} = \int_0^L \psi_i(x) \hat{H} \psi_j(x) dx. \tag{7}$$

1.0.1 Questions Part 1:

1. Work out a general expression for the integrals H_{ij}

$$H_{ij} = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2 j^2}{2mL^2} \delta_{ij} + \frac{2}{L} \sin\left(\frac{i\pi}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{j\pi}{2}\right)$$
 (8)

2. Write a python function that takes the indices i and j and returns the value of the integral H_{ii} .

return ham_int

3. Show that differentiating the energy functional with respect to all coefficients and setting the derivative to zero results in the following set of equations:

$$\sum_{i}^{N} H_{ik} c_i = E[\Phi(x)] c_k. \tag{9}$$

First differentiate both sides of the energy functional expression:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c_k} E[\Phi(x)] \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N c_i c_j S_{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial c_k} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N c_i c_j H_{ij}.$$

$$(10)$$

Apply the product rule to both sides. Looking at the left-hand-side first.

$$\frac{\partial E[\Phi(x)]}{\partial c_k} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_i c_j S_{ij} + E[\Phi(x)] \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} S_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial c_k} c_j + \frac{\partial c_j}{\partial c_k} c_i \right), \tag{11}$$

which can be simplified by noting that $S_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ so the only terms that survive the sum are those where i = j (meaning we only sum over one index), and also by noting that $\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial c_k} = \delta_{ik}$,

$$\frac{\partial E[\Phi(x)]}{\partial c_k} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i^2 + E[\Phi(x)] \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\delta_{ik} c_i + \delta_{ik} c_i) = \frac{\partial E[\Phi(x)]}{\partial c_k} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i^2 + 2E[\Phi(x)] c_k.$$
 (12)

Finally, by using the fact that when the energy is minimized with respect to all variational coefficients (all c_k), then $\frac{\partial E[\Phi(x)]}{\partial c_k} = 0$ by definition, so the left hand side can be simplified to

$$2E[\Phi(x)]c_k. \tag{13}$$

Let's now consider the right hand side, using several of the same tricks as before:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c_k} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N c_i c_j H_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N H_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial c_k} c_j + \frac{\partial c_j}{\partial c_k} c_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N H_{ij} \left(\delta_{ik} c_j + \delta_{jk} c_i \right) = \sum_{j=1}^N H_{kj} c_j + \sum_{i=1}^N H_{ik} c_i.$$
(14)

Using the fact that the Hamiltonian matrix is Hermitian (in this case, symmetric because the basis functions are real, so $H_{ij} = H_{ji}$) and realizing the dummy indices can be made the same (i.e. sum over i is the same as sum over j), we can simplify the right hand side to $2\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_{ik}c_i$. Then, the equation (l.h.s. and r.h.s.) becomes

$$E[\Phi(x)]c_k = \sum_{i=1}^{N} H_{ik}c_i,$$
(15)

which is exactly what we wanted to show. This can be written as an eigenvalue equation

$$\mathbf{Hc} = E\mathbf{c},\tag{16}$$

where **H** is the matrix whose elements are given by H_{ij} and **c** is the vector of coefficients.

Create an array called H_{mat} that can be used to store the Hamiltonian matrix elements. We can start by considering a trial wavefunction that is an expansion of the first 3 PIB energy eigenfunctions, so our Hamiltonian in this case should be a 3x3 matrix.

```
In [16]: H_mat = np.zeros((6,6))
```

You can use two nested *for* loops along with your H_{ij} function to fill out the values of this matrix.

```
In [17]: ### loop over indices of the basis you are expanding in
        ### and compute and store the corresponding Hamiltonian matrix elements
        for i in range(0,6):
            for j in range(0,6):
               H_{mat}[i][j] = H_{ij}(i, j)
        ### Print the resulting Hamiltonian matrix
        print(H_mat)
[[ 2.49348022e-01
                 2.44929360e-17 -2.00000000e-01 -4.89858720e-17
  2.00000000e-01 7.34788079e-17]
 [ 2.44929360e-17 1.97392088e-01 -2.44929360e-17 -5.99903913e-33
  2.44929360e-17 8.99855870e-33]
 [-2.00000000e-01 -2.44929360e-17 6.44132198e-01 4.89858720e-17
 -2.00000000e-01 -7.34788079e-17]
 [-4.89858720e-17 -5.99903913e-33
                                4.89858720e-17 7.89568352e-01
 -4.89858720e-17 -1.79971174e-32]
 1.43370055e+00 7.34788079e-17]
 [ 7.34788079e-17 8.99855870e-33 -7.34788079e-17 -1.79971174e-32
  7.34788079e-17 1.77652879e+00]]
```

Before systematically identifying the optimal coefficients, it is instructive to try a few "trial" wavefunctions "by hand". A few suggestions include:

$$\mathbf{c} = (1,0,0) \ \mathbf{c} = (0,1,0) \ \mathbf{c} = (0,0,1)$$
 (17)

$$\mathbf{c} = (\sqrt{1/2}, \sqrt{1/2}, 0) \ \mathbf{c} = (0, \sqrt{1/2}, \sqrt{1/2}) \ \mathbf{c} = (\sqrt{1/2}, 0, \sqrt{1/2})$$
 (18)

In Matrix form, the energy functional can be computed as follows:

$$E = \mathbf{c}^t \mathbf{H} \mathbf{c} \tag{19}$$

where \mathbf{c}^t is just the transpose of \mathbf{c} . Using numpy, this can be done with the Hamiltonian matrix defined aboute and a vector $\mathbf{c} = (1,0,0)$ as follows:

0.6441321980490211

Continue evaluating the energy of different trial wavefunctions by changing the values of the **c** vector and repeating the calculation above. Does increasing the contribution of excited states impact the energy as you expect? Explain.

Finally, to get the optimal values of the **c** vector, we can find the set of vectors (there will be 3) that satisfy the eigenvalue equation we wrote before. We can use the *eig* function of numpy to do this in one line:

```
Ground state energy with potential is approximately [1.52775227 0.15577979 0.64364871 1.7765287 Ground state energy of PIB is 0.04934802200544679 [-1.88514391e-01 -9.34136379e-01 3.03070206e-01 1.16345328e-16 6.62763018e-16 1.10085006e-16]
```

1.0.2 Questions Part 2:

- 1. Is the energy you calculated above higher or lower than the ground state energy of the ordinary particle in a box system (without the delta function potential)? Answer: The energy calculated to approximate the ground state energy of the PIB + Potential using the linear variational method is higher than the true PIB ground state energy (0.165 atomic units for the PIB + Potential compared to 0.0493 atomic units for the ordinary PIB). The addition of the potential should increase the ground state energy because it is repulsive.
- 2. Why do you think mixing in functions that correspond to excited states in the ordinary particle in a box system actually helped to improve (i.e. lower) your energy in the system with the delta function potential? Answer: Certain excited states (all states with even *n*) go to zero at the center of the box, and the repulsive potential is localized to the center of the box. Therefore, all excited states with even *n* will move electron density away from the repulsive potential, which can potentially loer the energy.
- 3. Increase the number of basis functions to 6 (so that **H** is a 6x6 matrix and **c** is a vector with 6 entries) and repeat your calculation of the variational estimate of the ground state energy. Does the energy improve (lower) compared to what it was when 3 basis functions were used? Answer: Yes, the energy improves. With 3 basis functions, the ground state energy is approximated to be 0.165 atomic units and with 6 basis functions, the ground state energy is approximated to be 0.155 atomic units. The added flexibility of these additional basis functions (specifically more basis functions with n even) allows greater flexibility in optimizing a wavefunction that describes an electron effectively avoiding the repulsive potential in the center of the box.