

Problem 1 (5.12, Orthogonal subspace) Score: _____. For any subspace \mathcal{S} of an inner product space \mathcal{V} , define \mathcal{S}^\perp as the set of vectors $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$ that are orthogonal to all $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{S}$.

- (a) Show that \mathcal{S}^\perp is a subspace of \mathcal{V} .
- (b) Assuming that \mathcal{S} is finite-dimensional, show that any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{V}$ can be uniquely decomposed into $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_{|\mathcal{S}} + \mathbf{u}_{\perp\mathcal{S}}$, where $\mathbf{u}_{|\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\perp\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$.
- (c) Assuming that \mathcal{V} is finite-dimensional, show that \mathcal{V} has an orthonormal basis where some of the basis vectors form a basis for \mathcal{S} and the remaining basis vectors form a basis for \mathcal{S}^\perp .

Solution: (a) \mathcal{S}^\perp satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) $\mathbf{0} \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$, since $\mathbf{0} \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0 \forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{S}$;
- (ii) If $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$, i.e. $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0, \mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0 \forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{S}$, then $\alpha \mathbf{v}_1 + \beta \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathcal{V}$ and $(\alpha \mathbf{v}_1 + \beta \mathbf{v}_2) \cdot \mathbf{w} = \alpha \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{w} + \beta \mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0 \forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{S}$, so $\alpha \mathbf{v}_1 + \beta \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$, where α, β are arbitrary scalars.

Therefore, \mathcal{S}^\perp is a subspace of \mathcal{V} .

- (b) According to Projection theorem (Theorem 5.3.1), since \mathcal{S} is a subspace of the inner product space \mathcal{V} , for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{V}$, there is a unique vector $\mathbf{u}_{|\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_{|\mathcal{S}}) \cdot \mathbf{s} = 0 \forall \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$ where $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_{|\mathcal{S}} = \mathbf{u}_{\perp\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$. Therefore, any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{V}$ can be uniquely decomposed into $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_{|\mathcal{S}} + \mathbf{u}_{\perp\mathcal{S}}$, where $\mathbf{u}_{|\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\perp\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$.
- (c) Since \mathcal{S} is a subspace, we can find an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{S} , say, $\{\mathbf{s}_k | k = 1, 2, \dots, n_1\}$. For any $\mathbf{u}_{|\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{S}$, we can decompose it uniquely into the linear combination of $\{\mathbf{s}_k | k = 1, 2, \dots, n_1\}$: $\mathbf{u}_{|\mathcal{S}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \alpha_k \mathbf{s}_k$. Similarly, since \mathcal{S}^\perp is a subspace, we can find an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{S}^\perp , say, $\{\mathbf{t}_j | j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2\}$. For any $\mathbf{u}_{\perp\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$, we can decompose it uniquely into the linear combination of $\{\mathbf{t}_j | j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2\}$: $\mathbf{u}_{\perp\mathcal{S}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \beta_j \mathbf{t}_j$. Now we prove that $\{\mathbf{s}_k | k = 1, 2, \dots, n_1\} \cup \{\mathbf{t}_j | j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{V} :

- (i) $\{\mathbf{s}_k | k = 1, 2, \dots, n_1\} \cup \{\mathbf{t}_j | j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2\}$ is a basis of \mathcal{V} , since for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{V}$, we can first decompose it uniquely into $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_{|\mathcal{S}} + \mathbf{u}_{\perp\mathcal{S}}$, where $\mathbf{u}_{|\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\perp\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$, and then decompose it uniquely into $\mathbf{u} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \alpha_k \mathbf{s}_k + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \beta_j \mathbf{t}_j$;
- (ii) $\{\mathbf{s}_k | k = 1, 2, \dots, n_1\} \cup \{\mathbf{t}_j | j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2\}$ is orthonormal, since $\{\mathbf{s}_k | k = 1, 2, \dots, n_1\}$ is orthonormal, $\{\mathbf{t}_j | j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2\}$ is orthonormal, and for any \mathbf{s}_k and \mathbf{t}_j , the definition of \mathcal{S}^\perp requires that $\mathbf{s}_k \cdot \mathbf{t}_j = 0$.

Therefore, \mathcal{V} has an orthonormal basis where some of the basis vectors form a basis for \mathcal{S} and the remaining basis vectors form a basis for \mathcal{S}^\perp . □

Problem 2 (5.13, Orthonormal expansion) Score: _____. Expand the function $\text{sinc}(3t/2)$ as an orthonormal expansion in the set of functions $\{\text{sinc}(t - n); -\infty < n < \infty\}$.

Solution: $\text{sinc}(3t/2)$ has the bandwidth of $\frac{1}{3}$, so it is band-limited in $\frac{1}{2}$. According to Sampling Theorem,

$$\text{sinc}(3t/2) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{sinc}(3n/2) \text{sinc}(t - n) \quad (1)$$

□

Problem 3 (6.3) Score: _____. (a) Assume that the received signal in a 4-PAM system is $V_k = U_k + Z_k$, where U_k is the transmitted 4-PAM signal at time k . Let Z_k be independent of U_k and Gaussian with density $f_Z(z) = \sqrt{1/2\pi} \exp(-z^2/2)$. Assume that the receiver chooses the signal \tilde{U}_k closest to V_k . (It is shown in Chapter 8 that this detection rule minimizes P_e for equiprobable signals.) Find the probability P_e (in terms of Gaussian integrals) that $U_k \neq \tilde{U}_k$.

(b) Evaluate the partial derivative of P_e with respect to the third signal point a_3 (i.e. the positive inner signal point) at the point where a_3 is equal to its value $d/2$ in standard 4-PAM and all other signal points are kept at 4-PAM values. [Hint. This does not require any calculation.]

Solution: (a) Suppose the signal constellation of the 4-PAM system is $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1 = -\frac{3}{2}d, a_2 = -\frac{d}{2}, a_3 = \frac{d}{2}, a_4 = \frac{3}{2}d\}$. If $U_k = a_1$ or $U_k = a_4$, the probability that $U_k \neq \tilde{U}_k$ is

$$P_e = \int_{\frac{d}{2}}^{+\infty} f_Z(z) dz = Q\left(\frac{d}{2}\right), \quad (2)$$

where Q -function

$$Q(x) = \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-z^2/2} dz \quad (3)$$

If $U_k = a_2$ or $U_k = a_3$, the probability that $U_k \neq \tilde{U}_k$ is

$$P_e = \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{d}{2}} f_Z(z) dz + \int_{\frac{d}{2}}^{+\infty} f_Z(z) dz = 2 \int_{\frac{d}{2}}^{+\infty} f_Z(z) dz = 2Q\left(\frac{d}{2}\right). \quad (4)$$

(b) Suppose the third signal point is moved to $a'_3 = \frac{d}{2} + \epsilon$. For $U_k = a'_3$, the probability that $U_k \neq \tilde{U}_k$ becomes

$$P'_e = \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{d+\epsilon}{2}} f_Z(z) dz + \int_{\frac{d-\epsilon}{2}}^{+\infty} f_Z(z) dz = \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{d+\epsilon}{2}} f_Z(z) dz + \int_{\frac{d-\epsilon}{2}}^{+\infty} f_Z(z) dz = Q\left(\frac{d+\epsilon}{2}\right) + Q\left(\frac{d-\epsilon}{2}\right). \quad (5)$$

so the partial derivative of P_e with respect to the third signal points a_3 is

$$\frac{\partial P_e}{\partial a_3} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{P'_e - P_e}{\epsilon} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{Q\left(\frac{d+\epsilon}{2}\right) + Q\left(\frac{d-\epsilon}{2}\right) - 2Q\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{\epsilon} = 0. \quad (6)$$

□

Problem 4 (6.4, Nyquist) Score: _____. Suppose that the PAM modulated baseband waveform $u(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} u_k p(t - kT)$ is received. That is, $u(t)$ is known, T is known, and $p(t)$ is known. We want to determine the signals $\{u_k\}$ from $u(t)$. Assume only linear operations can be used. That is, we wish to find some waveform $d_k(t)$ for each integer k such that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(t) d_k(t) dt = u_k$.

(a) What properties must be satisfied by $d_k(t)$ such that the above equation is satisfied no matter what values are taken by the other signals, $\dots, u_{k-2}, u_{k-1}, u_{k+1}, u_{k+2}, \dots$? These properties should take the form of constraints on the inner products $\langle p(t - kT), d_j(t) \rangle$. Do not worry about convergence, interchange of limits, etc.

(b) Suppose you find a function $d_0(t)$ that satisfies these constraints for $k = 0$. Show that, for each k , a function $d_k(t)$ satisfying these constraints can be found simply in terms of $d_0(t)$.

(c) What is the relationship between $d_0(t)$ and a function $q(t)$ that avoids intersymbol interference in the approach taken in Section 6.3 (i.e. a function $q(t)$ such that $p(t) * q(t)$ is ideal Nyquist)?

You have shown that the filter/sample approach in Section 6.3 is no less general than the arbitrary linear operation approach here. Note that, in the absence of noise and with a known constellation, it must be possible to retrieve the signals from the waveform using nonlinear operations even in the presence of intersymbol interference.

Solution: (a) In order that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u(t)d_j(t) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} u_k p(t-kT)d_j(t) dt = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} u_k \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(t-kT)d_j(t) dt = u_j \quad \forall j. \quad (7)$$

$d_k(t)$ must satisfy that

$$\langle p(t-kT), d_j(t) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(t-kT)d_j(t) dt = \delta_{jk}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\delta_{jk} \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & j = k; \\ 0, & j \neq k. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

(b) Other $d_k(t)$ can be expressed in terms of $d_0(t)$ as

$$d_k(t) = d_0(t-kT). \quad (10)$$

Here shows the reason: If $d_0(t)$ satisfies that

$$\langle p(t-kT), d_0(t) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(t-kT)d_0(t) dt = \delta_{0k} \quad \forall k, \quad (11)$$

then for each j ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p(t-kT), d_j(t) \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(t-kT)d_j(t) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(t-kT)d_0(t-jT) dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(t-(k-j)T)d_0(t) dt = \delta_{jk} \quad \forall k. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

(c) The filtered waveform is

$$r(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u(\tau)q(\tau-t) d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} u_k p(\tau-kT)q(t-\tau) d\tau = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} u_k \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(\tau-kT)q(t-\tau) d\tau.$$

In order that sampled signal

$$r(jT) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} u_k \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(\tau-kT)q(jT-\tau) d\tau = u_j, \quad (13)$$

$q(t)$ must satisfies that

$$\langle p(t-kT), q(jT-t) \rangle = \int p(t-kT)q(jT-t) d\tau = \delta_{jk}. \quad (14)$$

Comparing the above equation with equation (8), the relationship between $d_j(t)$ and $q(t)$ is

$$d_j(t) = q(jT-t). \quad (15)$$

For $j = 0$, we have

$$d_0(t) = q(-t). \quad (16)$$

□

Problem 5 (6.5, Nyquist) Score: _____. Let $v(t)$ be a continuous \mathcal{L}_2 waveform with $v(0) = 1$ and define $g(t) = v(t) \text{sinc}(t/T)$.

- (a) Show that $g(t)$ is ideal Nyquist with interval T .
- (b) Find $\hat{g}(f)$ as a function of $\hat{v}(f)$.
- (c) Give a direct demonstration that $\hat{g}(f)$ satisfies the Nyquist criterion.
- (d) If $v(t)$ is baseband-limited to B_b , what is $g(t)$ baseband-limited to?

Solution: (a) Since

$$g(0) = v(0) \text{sinc}(0) = 1, \quad (17)$$

and

$$g(kT) = v(kT) \text{sinc}(k) = 0 \quad \forall k \neq 0, \quad (18)$$

$g(t)$ is ideal Nyquist with interval T .

(b)

$$\hat{g}(t) = \mathcal{F}[v(t) \text{sinc}(t/T)] = \hat{v}(f) * T \text{rect}(Tf) = T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{v}(s) \text{rect}(T(s-f)) ds = T \int_{f-\frac{1}{2T}}^{f+\frac{1}{2T}} \hat{v}(s) ds. \quad (19)$$

(c) Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \hat{g}\left(f + \frac{k}{T}\right) \text{rect}(fT) &= \sum_k T \int_{f-\frac{1}{2T}+\frac{k}{T}}^{f+\frac{1}{2T}+\frac{k}{T}} \hat{v}(s) ds \text{rect}(fT) = T \text{rect}(fT) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{v}(s) ds \\ &= T \text{rect}(fT) v(t=0) = T \text{rect}(fT), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$\hat{g}(f)$ satisfies the Nyquist criterion.

- (d) If $v(t)$ is baseband-limited to B_b , then $g(t)$ is baseband-limited to $B_b + \frac{1}{2T}$.

□

Problem 6 (6.6, Nyquist) Score: _____. Consider a PAM baseband system in which the modulator is defined by a signal interval T and a waveform $p(t)$, the channel is defined by a filter $h(t)$, and the receiver is defined by a filter $q(t)$ which is sampled at T -spaced intervals. The received waveform, after the receiver filter $q(t)$, is then given by $r(t) = \sum_k u_k g(t - kT)$, where $g(t) = p(t) * h(t) * q(t)$.

- (a) What properties must $g(t)$ have so that $r(kT) = u_k$ for all k and for all choices of input $\{u_k\}$? What is the Nyquist criterion for $\hat{g}(f)$?
- (b) Now assume that $T = 1/2$ and that $p(t)$, $h(t)$, $q(t)$ and all their Fourier transforms are restricted to be real. Assume further that $\hat{p}(f)$ and $\hat{h}(f)$ are specified by Figure 1, i.e. by

$$\hat{p}(f) = \begin{cases} 1 & |f| \leq 0.5; \\ 1.5 - |f| & 0.5 < |f| \leq 1.5; \\ 0 & |f| > 1.5; \end{cases} \quad \hat{h}(f) = \begin{cases} 1 & |f| \leq 0.75; \\ 0 & 0.75 < |f| \leq 1; \\ 1 & 1 < |f| \leq 1.25; \\ 0 & |f| > 1.25. \end{cases}$$

Is it possible to choose a receiver filter transform $\hat{q}(f)$ so that there is no intersymbol interference? If so, give such a $\hat{q}(f)$ and indicate the regions in which your solution is nonunique.



Figure 1:

- (c) Redo part (b) with the modification that now $\hat{h}(f) = 1$ for $|f| \leq 0.75$ and $\hat{h}(f) = 0$ for $|f| > 0.75$.
- (d) Explain the conditions on $\hat{p}(f)\hat{h}(f)$ under which intersymbol interference can be avoided by proper choice of $\hat{q}(f)$. (You may assume, as above, that $\hat{p}(f)$, $\hat{h}(f)$, $p(t)$, and $h(t)$ are all real.)

Solution: (a) In order that

$$r(kT) = \sum_j u_j g((k-j)T) = u_k, \quad (21)$$

$g(t)$ must have the property that

$$g(kt) = \begin{cases} 1, & k = 0; \\ 0, & k \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

(b) It is possible. Since

$$g(t) = p(t) * h(t) * q(t). \quad (23)$$

we have

$$\hat{g}(f) = \hat{p}(f)\hat{h}(f)\hat{q}(f). \quad (24)$$

Now

$$\hat{p}(f)\hat{h}(f) = \begin{cases} 1, & |f| \leq \frac{1}{2}; \\ 1.5 - |f|, & \frac{1}{2} < |f| \leq \frac{3}{4}; \\ 0, & \frac{3}{4} < |f| \leq 1; \\ 1.5 - |f|, & 1 < |f| \leq \frac{5}{4}; \\ 0, & |f| > \frac{5}{4}. \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

To avoid intersymbol interference, $g(t)$ must satisfies Nyquist criterion, i.e., $g(t)$ must be band-edge symmetric

$$\hat{g}(f) + \hat{g}(2 - f) = T = \frac{1}{2}, \quad 0 \leq f \leq 1. \quad (26)$$

so

$$\hat{g}(f) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq |f| \leq \frac{3}{4}; \\ 0, & \frac{3}{4} < |f| \leq 1; \\ 1, & 1 < |f| \leq \frac{5}{4}; \\ 0, & |f| > \frac{5}{4}. \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

To give such a $\hat{g}(f)$, we need $\hat{q}(f)$ satisfies

$$\hat{q}(f) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & 0 \leq |f| \leq \frac{1}{2}; \\ \frac{1}{3-2|f|}, & \frac{1}{2} < |f| \leq \frac{3}{4}; \\ \frac{1}{3-2|f|}, & 1 < |f| \leq \frac{5}{4}, \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

where $\hat{q}(f)$ is nonunique in region $\frac{3}{4} < |f| \leq 1$ and $|f| > \frac{5}{4}$. One possible $q(f)$ is

$$\hat{q}(f) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & 0 \leq |f| \leq \frac{1}{2}; \\ \frac{1}{3-2|f|}, & \frac{1}{2} < |f| \leq \frac{5}{4}; \\ 0, & |f| > \frac{5}{4}. \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

(c) If

$$\hat{h}(f) = \begin{cases} 1, & |f| \leq \frac{3}{4}; \\ 0, & |f| > \frac{3}{4}. \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

It is impossible to find $\hat{q}(f)$ so that there is no intersymbol interference. Here is the reason: Now

$$\hat{p}(f)\hat{h}(f) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq |f| \leq \frac{1}{2}; \\ 1.5 - |f|, & \frac{1}{2} < |f| \leq \frac{3}{4}; \\ 0, & |f| > \frac{3}{4}. \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

so

$$\hat{g}(f) = 0, \quad \text{for } |f| > \frac{3}{4}. \quad (32)$$

Therefore, no matter how $\hat{q}(f)$ be, there is no way for $\hat{g}(f)$ to be band-edge symmetric and thus no way for intersymbol interference.

(d) Condition $\hat{p}(f)\hat{h}(f)$ under which intersymbol interference can be avoid by choice of $\hat{q}(f)$: For any $|f| \leq 1$, if $\hat{p}(f)\hat{h}(f) = 0$, then $\hat{p}(2-f)\hat{h}(2-f) \neq 0$.

□

Problem 7 (6.16, Passband expansion) Score: _____. Prove Theorem 6.6.1. [Hint. First show that the set of functions $\{\hat{\psi}_{k,1}(f)\}$ and $\{\hat{\psi}_{k,2}(f)\}$ are orthogonal with energy 2 by comparing the integral over negative frequencies with that over positive frequencies.] Indicate explicitly why you need $f_c > B/2$.

Theorem 6.6.1 Let $\{\theta_k(t) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be an orthonormal set limited to the frequency band $[-B/2, B/2]$. Let f_c be greater than $B/2$, and for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{k,1}(t) &= \text{Re}[2\theta_k(t)e^{2\pi i f_c t}], \\ \psi_{k,2}(t) &= \text{Im}[-2\theta_k(t)e^{2\pi i f_c t}]. \end{aligned}$$

The set $\{\psi_{k,i}; k \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in \{1, 2\}\}$ is an orthogonal set of functions, each with energy 2. Furthermore, if $u(f) = \sum_k u_k \theta_k(t)$, then the corresponding passband function $x(t) = 2 \text{Re}[u(t)e^{2\pi i f_c t}]$ is given by

$$x(t) = \sum_k \text{Re}[u_k] \psi_{k,1}(t) + \text{Im}[u_k] \psi_{k,2}(t).$$

Solution:

$$\psi_{k,1}(t) = \theta_k(t)e^{2\pi i f_c t} + \theta_k^*(t)e^{-2\pi i f_c t}, \quad (33)$$

$$\psi_{k,2}(t) = i[\theta_k(t)e^{2\pi i f_c t} - \theta_k^*(t)e^{-2\pi i f_c t}], \quad (34)$$

have Fourier transforms

$$\hat{\psi}_{k,1}(f) = \hat{\theta}_k(f - f_c) + \hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c), \quad (35)$$

$$\hat{\psi}_{k,2}(f) = i[\hat{\theta}_k(f - f_c) - \hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c)]. \quad (36)$$

Since $\{\theta_k(t)\}$ is an orthonormal set, according to Parseval's theorem, we have

$$\langle \theta_k(t), \theta_j(t) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \theta_k(t) \theta_j^*(t) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k(f) \hat{\theta}_j^*(f) df = \langle \hat{\theta}_k(f), \hat{\theta}_j(f) \rangle = \delta_{jk}.$$

Now let's look at the inner products of the functions in $\{\psi_{k,i}; k \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in \{1, 2\}\}$:

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_{k,1}(t), \psi_{j,1}(t) \rangle &= \langle \hat{\psi}_{k,1}(f), \hat{\psi}_{j,1}(f) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [\hat{\theta}_k(f - f_c) + \hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c)][\hat{\theta}_j(f - f_c) + \hat{\theta}_j^*(-f - f_c)]^* df \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k(f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j^*(f - f_c) df + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k(f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j^*(-f - f_c) df \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j^*(f - f_c) df + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j^*(-f - f_c) df. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Since $\{\theta_k(t) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are limited to the frequency band $[-B/2, B/2]$, $\hat{\theta}_k(f - f_c)$ and $\hat{\theta}_j^*(f - f_c)$ are band-limited to $[f_c - B/2, f_c + B/2]$, and $\hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c)$ and $\hat{\theta}_j^*(-f - f_c)$ are band-limited to $[-f_c - B/2, -f_c + B/2]$. Since $f > B/2$, the frequency band $[-f_c - B/2, -f_c + B/2]$ and $[f_c - B/2, f_c + B/2]$ do not overlap, so the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k(f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j^*(-f - f_c) df$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j^*(f - f_c) df$ vanishes. In this way,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_{k,1}(t), \psi_{j,1}(t) \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k(f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j^*(f - f_c) df + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j^*(-f - f_c) df \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k(f) \hat{\theta}_j^*(f) df + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k^*(f) \hat{\theta}_j(f) df \\ &= 2\delta_{jk}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_{k,2}(t), \psi_{j,2}(t) \rangle &= \langle \hat{\psi}_{k,2}(f), \hat{\psi}_{j,2}(f) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [\hat{\theta}_k(f - f_c) - \hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c)][\hat{\theta}_j(f - f_c) - \hat{\theta}_j^*(-f - f_c)]^* df \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k(f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j^*(f - f_c) df - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k(f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j^*(-f - f_c) df \\ &\quad - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j^*(f - f_c) df + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j^*(-f - f_c) df. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k(f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j^*(-f - f_c) df$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j^*(f - f_c) df$ vanishes. In this way,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_{k,2}(t), \psi_{j,2}(t) \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k(f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j^*(f - f_c) df + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j^*(-f - f_c) df \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k(f) \hat{\theta}_j^*(f) df + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k^*(f) \hat{\theta}_j(f) df \\ &= 2\delta_{jk}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

(iii)

$$\langle \psi_{k,1}(t), \psi_{j,2}(t) \rangle = \langle \hat{\psi}_{k,1}(f), \hat{\psi}_{j,2}(f) \rangle = -i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [\hat{\theta}_k(f - f_c) + \hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c)][\hat{\theta}_j(f - f_c) - \hat{\theta}_j^*(-f - f_c)]^* df$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k(f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_k^*(f - f_c) df + i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k(f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j(-f - f_c) df \\
&\quad - i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j^*(f - f_c) df + i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j(-f - f_c) df \\
&= -i\delta_{jk} + 0 - 0 + i\delta_{jk} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

In general,

$$\langle \psi_{k,m}(t), \psi_{j,n}(t) \rangle = 2\delta_{jk}\delta_{mn}. \tag{42}$$

Therefore, the set of $\{\psi_{k,i}; k \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in \{1, 2\}\}$ is an orthogonal set of functions, each with energy 2.

(As mentioned above, only if $f_c > B/2$, can we eliminate the terms, such as $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k(f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c) df$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\theta}_k^*(-f - f_c) \hat{\theta}_j(f - f_c) df$, and get the above inner products. This is why we need $f_c > B/2$.)

If $u(f) = \sum_k u_k \theta_k(t)$, the corresponding passband function $x(t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
x(t) &= 2 \operatorname{Re} [u(t) e^{2\pi i f_c t}] = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[\sum_k u_k \theta_k(t) e^{2\pi i f_c t} \right] \\
&= \sum_k \{ 2 \operatorname{Re} [u_k] \operatorname{Re} [\theta_k(t) e^{2\pi i f_c t}] - 2 \operatorname{Im} [u_k] \operatorname{Im} [\theta_k(t) e^{2\pi i f_c t}] \} \\
&= \sum_k \operatorname{Re} [u_k] \psi_{k,1}(t) + \operatorname{Im} [u_k] \psi_{k,2}(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

□