Suppose we have a Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}=\hat{H}_0+\hat{H}_I$  and that we know how to diagonalize (find the eigenvalues and eigenstates of  $\hat{H}_0$ . If we then write things in the basis of the eigenstates of  $\hat{H}_0$ , we can write any operator,  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$  in that basis by just multiplying the operator on both sides by  $\hat{\mathbf{1}}$ :

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}} = \widehat{\mathbf{1}}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}\widehat{\mathbf{1}} = \left(\sum_{n} |n\rangle\langle n|\right)\widehat{\mathcal{O}}\left(\sum_{m} |m\rangle\langle m|\right) = \sum_{m,n} |n\rangle\mathcal{O}_{nm}\langle m|,$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_{_{nm}} \equiv \left\langle n \middle| \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \middle| m \right\rangle$  . We can represent these quantities in matrix notation as

$$\vec{\mathcal{O}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}_{11} & \cdots & \mathcal{O}_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{O}_{n1} & \cdots & \mathcal{O}_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, |m\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \langle m | = (0 \quad \cdots \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad \cdots \quad 0),$$

where the column and row vectors have components that are all zero except in the  $\mathbf{m}^{\text{th}}$  position (index). Our unperturbed Hamiltonian can then be represented by a diagonal matrix with the element  $H_{0.mm}=E_m$ .

The unperturbed Hamiltonian is a bit more complicated. In principle, all of its elements might be non-zero. At the very least, though, we can always make the diagonal elements zero by an appropriate change of Hamiltonians. To see this, it is easier to work with just a two-dimensional space. Then our unperturbed Hamiltonian is just  $\hat{H}_0 = E_1 |1\rangle\langle 1| + E_2 |2\rangle\langle 2|$ , which in a matrix representation becomes

$$\vec{H}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & 0 \\ 0 & E_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and in general, the interaction Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H}_{I} = \sum_{m,n} H_{I,mn} |n\rangle\langle m|,$$

which when represented as a matrix is just

$$\vec{H}_{I} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{I,11} & H_{I,12} \\ H_{I,21} & H_{I,22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose that we were to now write the total Hamiltonian in the basis of eigenstates of the unperturbed Hamiltonian. That would just be

$$\vec{H}_{tot} = \vec{H}_0 + \vec{H}_I = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 + H_{I,11} & H_{I,12} \\ H_{I,21} & E_2 + H_{I,22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 + H_{I,11} & 0 \\ 0 & E_2 + H_{I,22} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H_{I,12} \\ H_{I,21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(The representation in terms of dyads of kets and bras should be clear, so I don't write it here.) We see that we have just written  $\hat{H}_{tot} = \hat{H}'_0 + \hat{H}'_I$ , where the new Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}'_0$  has the same eigenstates as does  $\hat{H}_0$ , just with different eigenvalues. That is,

$$\hat{H'}_{0}|1\rangle \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} E_{1} + H_{I,11} & 0 \\ 0 & E_{2} + H_{I,22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{1} + H_{I,11} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = (E_{1} + H_{I,11}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow (E_{1} + H_{I,11}) |1\rangle$$

And similar for  $\hat{H}'_0|2\rangle$  .

So we can always absorb the diagonal elements of our interaction Hamiltonian into the unperturbed Hamiltonian without having to do any new calculations of eigenstates, while the eigenvalues change in a trivial manner. We are then left with an interaction Hamiltonian that can only produce transitions between different eigenstates of the unperturbed Hamiltonian.