## PHYS2202 Nonlinear Optics

## **Problem Set 1 Solutions**

To spare ourselves having to write a factor of  $m^{-1}$  with each factor of  $v_a$  and  $v_b$ , we will rewrite the potential energy as in lecture/notes:

$$U(x) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2 x^2 + \frac{1}{3}mv_a x^3 + \frac{1}{4}mv_b x^4.$$
 (1)

The problem was stated with the factors of m absorbed into the parameters  $v_a$  and  $v_b$ . At the end, the result derived using the form Eq. 1, we will just have to replace each  $v_a$  and  $v_b$  by  $v_a/m$  and  $v_b/m$ , respectively.

The easiest approach is to use Euler notation to represent all fields. The input electric field is

$$E(x = 0, t) = E_1 \cos(\omega_1 t) + E_2 \cos(\omega_2 t) + E_3 \cos(\omega_3 t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} E_1 \left( e^{-i\omega_1 t} + e^{i\omega_1 t} \right) + \frac{1}{2} E_2 \left( e^{-i\omega_2 t} + e^{i\omega_2 t} \right) + \frac{1}{2} E_3 \left( e^{-i\omega_3 t} + e^{i\omega_3 t} \right)$$
(2)

To save having to write more factors of 1/2 than necessary, I will write the electric field as

$$E\left(x=0,t\right) = \tilde{E}_1 \cos\left(e^{-i\omega_1 t} + e^{i\omega_1 t}\right) + \tilde{E}_2 \left(e^{-i\omega_2 t} + e^{i\omega_2 t}\right) + \tilde{E}\left(e^{-i\omega_3 t} + e^{i\omega_3 t}\right),$$

where  $\tilde{E}_i = \frac{1}{2}E_i$ . To be consistent with the original form of the electric field, it must be the case that  $\tilde{E}_i = E_i/2$ . We can express the final answer in terms of  $E_i$  by this substitution. If we are interested in the response (position) of the oscillator at frequency  $2\omega_2 - \omega_1$ , that means that we are looking at that part of x(t) that goes as  $e^{-i(2\omega_2 - \omega_1)t}$ .

We saw in lecture/notes that the third-order equation of motion is

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}x^{(3)}(t) + 2\Gamma\frac{d}{dt}x^{(3)}(t) + \omega_0^2 x^{(3)}(t) + 2v_a x^{(2)}(t)x^{(1)}(t) + v_b \left[x^{(1)}(t)\right]^3 = 0,$$
(3)

where the factor of 2 in before the  $v_a$  is due to the cascaded third order process arising from a second-order mixing of second- and first- order terms

$$v_a [x(t)]^2 = v_a \left[ x^{(1)}(t) + x^{(2)}(t) + \cdots \right]^2 = v_a \left[ x^{(1)}(t) \right]^2 + 2v_a x^{(1)}(t) x^{(2)}(t) + \cdots$$
 (4)

Writing the  $n^{\text{th}}$  order response as

$$x^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{m} \left( \tilde{x}_{\omega_{m}}^{(n)} e^{-i\omega_{m}t} + \tilde{x}_{\omega_{m}}^{*(n)} e^{i\omega_{m}t} \right) = \sum_{m} \left( \tilde{x}_{\omega_{m}}^{(n)} e^{-i\omega_{m}t} + \tilde{x}_{-\omega_{m}}^{(n)} e^{i\omega_{m}t} \right), \tag{5}$$

(where  $\tilde{x}_{-\omega_m}^{(n)} = \tilde{x}_{\omega_m}^{*(n)}$ ) and matching terms, it should be clear that the third order response at  $2\omega_2 - \omega_1$  can arise in the direct process through the  $\tilde{x}_{\omega_2}^{(1)}\tilde{x}_{\omega_2}^{*(1)}\tilde{x}_{-\omega_1}^{*(1)}$  term or the cascaded process through the terms associated with  $\tilde{x}_{2\omega_2}^{(2)}\tilde{x}_{\omega_1}^{*(1)}$  or  $\tilde{x}_{\omega_2-\omega_1}^{(2)}\tilde{x}_{\omega_2}^{(1)}$ . No other unique combinations of cascaded processes produce a response at the desired frequency. For the frequency of interest, Eq. 3 then reduces to

$$\mathscr{D}(2\omega_2 - \omega_1)\,\tilde{x}_{2\omega_2 - \omega_1}^{(3)} = -2v_a\left[g_{a1}\tilde{x}_{2\omega_2}^{(2)}\tilde{x}_{\omega_1}^{*(1)} + g_{a2}\tilde{x}_{\omega_2 - \omega_1}^{(2)}\tilde{x}_{\omega_2}^{(1)}\right] - v_bg_b\tilde{x}_{\omega_2}^{(1)}\tilde{x}_{\omega_2}^{*(1)}\tilde{x}_{-\omega_1}^{*(1)},\tag{6}$$

where the various g are numerical factors that we need to figure out.

Note that the  $\omega_3$  term in the input electric field is irrelevant for us at third order. If we are looking at a signal at  $2\omega_2 - \omega_1$ , the field at frequency  $\omega_3$  will only contribute to the  $n^{\text{th}}$  order response for  $n \geq 5$  (we need at least 2 extra orders so that a factor of the field at frequency  $\omega_3$  is paired with its complex conjugate associated with frequency  $-\omega_3$ ).

We have already determined  $\tilde{x}_{\omega_i}^{(1)}$ ,  $\tilde{x}_{2\omega_2}^{(2)}$ , and  $\tilde{x}_{\omega_2-\omega_1}^{(2)}$  in lecture:

$$\tilde{x}_{\omega_j}^{(1)} = \frac{q}{m} \frac{\tilde{E}_{\omega_j}}{\mathscr{D}(\omega_j)} = -\frac{e}{m} \frac{\tilde{E}_{\omega_j}}{\mathscr{D}(\omega_j)} \tag{7}$$

$$\tilde{x}_{\omega_2 - \omega_1}^{(2)} = -2v_a \frac{e^2}{m^2} \frac{\tilde{E}_{\omega_2} \tilde{E}_{-\omega_1}}{\mathscr{D}(\omega_2 - \omega_1) \mathscr{D}(\omega_2) \mathscr{D}(-\omega_1)}$$
(8)

$$\tilde{x}_{2\omega_2}^{(2)} = -v_a \frac{e^2}{m^2} \frac{\tilde{E}_{\omega_2}^2}{\mathscr{D}(2\omega_2) \mathscr{D}^2(\omega_2)},\tag{9}$$

where  $\mathscr{D}(\omega) = \omega_0^2 - \omega^2 - i2\Gamma\omega$ . The factor of 2 in  $\tilde{x}_{\omega_2-\omega_1}^{(2)}$  is just due to the factor of 2 associated with cross terms in the binomial expansion for  $\left(\tilde{x}_{\omega_2}^{(1)} + \tilde{x}_{-\omega_1}^{(1)}\right)^2$ .

The only thing that was not basically already done in the lecture or notes is counting how many ways we get (i.e., how many cross terms are associated with)  $\tilde{x}_{\omega_2}^{(1)} \tilde{x}_{\omega_2}^{(1)} \tilde{x}_{-\omega_1}^{(1)}$  in the direct process and how many ways we get  $\tilde{x}_{2\omega_2}^{(2)} \tilde{x}_{\omega_1}^{*(1)}$  and  $\tilde{x}_{\omega_2-\omega_1}^{(2)} \tilde{x}_{\omega_2}^{(1)}$  in the cascaded process. This is just determined by the binomial expansion. For the direct term, we are interested in  $\left(\tilde{x}_{\omega_2}^{(1)} + \tilde{x}_{-\omega_1}^{(1)}\right)^3$ . By the binomial expansion there are 3 ways to get  $\tilde{x}_{\omega_2}^{(1)} \tilde{x}_{\omega_2}^{(1)} \tilde{x}_{-\omega_1}^{(1)}$ . Therefore, we expect a contribution to the response that looks like

$$\tilde{x}_{2\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}}^{(3),\text{direct}} = -v_{b} \frac{3}{\mathscr{D}\left(2\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}\right)} \tilde{x}_{\omega_{2}}^{(1)} \tilde{x}_{-\omega_{1}}^{(1)} = -3v_{b} \frac{q^{3}}{m^{3}} \frac{\tilde{E}_{\omega_{2}}^{2} \tilde{E}_{-\omega_{1}}}{\mathscr{D}\left(2\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}\right) \mathscr{D}^{2}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \mathscr{D}\left(-\omega_{1}\right)}$$

$$= 3v_{b} \frac{e^{3}}{m^{3}} \frac{\tilde{E}_{\omega_{2}}^{2} \tilde{E}_{-\omega_{1}}}{\mathscr{D}\left(2\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}\right) \mathscr{D}^{2}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \mathscr{D}\left(-\omega_{1}\right)}.$$
(10)

The total third order response at  $2\omega_2 - \omega_1$  is then

$$\tilde{x}_{2\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}}^{(3)} = -2v_{a} \left\{ \left[ -2v_{a} \frac{e^{2}}{m^{2}} \frac{\tilde{E}_{\omega_{2}} \tilde{E}_{-\omega_{1}}}{\mathscr{D}(\omega_{2} - \omega_{1}) \mathscr{D}(\omega_{2}) \mathscr{D}(-\omega_{1})} \right] \left[ -\frac{e}{m} \frac{\tilde{E}_{\omega_{2}}}{\mathscr{D}(\omega_{2})} \right] \right. \\
+ \left. \left[ -v_{a} \frac{e^{2}}{m^{2}} \frac{\tilde{E}_{\omega_{2}}^{2}}{\mathscr{D}(2\omega_{2}) \mathscr{D}^{2}(\omega_{2}) \mathscr{D}} \right] \left[ -\frac{e}{m} \frac{\tilde{E}_{-\omega_{1}}}{\mathscr{D}(-\omega_{1})} \right] \right\} \\
+ 3v_{b} \frac{e^{3}}{m^{3}} \frac{\tilde{E}_{\omega_{2}}^{2} \tilde{E}_{-\omega_{1}}}{\mathscr{D}(2\omega_{2} - \omega_{1}) \mathscr{D}^{2}(\omega_{2}) \mathscr{D}(-\omega_{1})} \\
= \frac{e^{3}}{m^{3}} \frac{\tilde{E}_{\omega_{2}}^{2} \tilde{E}_{-\omega_{1}}}{\mathscr{D}(2\omega_{2} - \omega_{1}) \mathscr{D}^{2}(\omega_{2}) \mathscr{D}(-\omega_{1})} \left\{ 3v_{b} - 2v_{a}^{2} \left[ 2 \frac{1}{\mathscr{D}(\omega_{2} - \omega_{1})} + \frac{1}{\mathscr{D}(2\omega_{2})} \right] \right\}. \quad (11)$$

If we were to use the original formulation of the potential energy, we would have to make the replacements  $v_a \to v_a/m$  and  $v_b \to v_b/m$  in our final result. Likewise, in terms of the electric field as written in the problem, we see that  $\tilde{E}_{-\omega_n} = \tilde{E}_{\omega_n} = E_i/2$ . Accounting for all of this we can write

$$\tilde{x}_{2\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{8} \frac{e^{3}}{m^{4}} \frac{E_{2}^{2} E_{1}}{\mathscr{D}\left(2\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}\right) \mathscr{D}^{2}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \mathscr{D}\left(-\omega_{1}\right)} \left\{3v_{b} - \frac{2}{m}v_{a}^{2} \left[2\frac{1}{\mathscr{D}\left(\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}\right)} + \frac{1}{\mathscr{D}\left(2\omega_{2}\right)}\right]\right\}. \tag{12}$$

We could have seen this at the beginning. For a third order process, we must have that many interactions with the input field, which means we will have a common factor of  $\frac{e^3}{m^3}$ . For the frequency of interest, we must only see the combination of electric fields  $\tilde{E}^2_{\omega_2}\tilde{E}_{-\omega_1}$ . For every input electric field and output electric field, there will be a resonant denominator. Since our input fields must be at  $\omega_2$ ,  $\omega_2$ , and  $-\omega_1$  and our output field at  $2\omega_2 - \omega_1$ , all terms must have a factor of  $\left[\mathcal{D}\left(2\omega_2 - \omega_1\right)\mathcal{D}^2\left(\omega_2\right)\mathcal{D}\left(-\omega_1\right)\right]^{-1}$ . For the direct process there must be the third order interaction parameter  $v_b$ . The only thing that we might not immediately see at the beginning for the direct process is the factor  $g_b$ , which is 3 in this case. For the indirect processes, we will have a factor of  $v_a^2$  (in this case), since the cascade only involves two steps (the initial second-order interaction and then the single extra step in the cascade, though at higher order, such as fifth order, there would be yet more terms). Moreover, there must be a resonant denominator for each extra step in the cascade with the resonant denominator involving the frequency of the first nonlinear step: here  $\omega_2 - \omega_1$  and  $2\omega_2$ . The only thing that might not be immediately obvious at the beginning is the value of the  $g_a$  factors.