

Supplemental Materials

In the paper submitted to ICDM 2016, we proposed to train the model via variational inference. However, we realized that an Expectation Maximization (EM) algorithm [1] that finds a Maximum a Posteriori (MAP) estimator is simpler and more efficient. Therefore, we present the EM algorithm here.

In the EM algorithm, we treat \mathbf{Z} as the latent variables and find a MAP estimator for the parameters \mathbf{T} and Θ . The objective function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{T}}, \hat{\Theta} &= \arg \max_{\mathbf{T}, \Theta} \log p(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{Y}, \Phi | \mathbf{X}, \Theta) \\ &= \arg \max_{\mathbf{T}, \Theta} \log \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} p(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}, \Phi | \mathbf{X}, \Theta) \\ &= \arg \max_{\mathbf{T}, \Theta} \log \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^K \prod_{d=1}^D p(\mathbf{t}_{kd} | \alpha_t, \beta_t, \mu_{t_{kd}}^-, \mu_{t_{kd}}^+) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \prod_{n=1}^N p(\mathbf{z}_n) \prod_{n=1}^N p(\phi_n | \mathbf{z}_n, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{T}) \prod_{n=1}^N p(\mathbf{y}_n | \mathbf{z}_n, \Theta) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

In the EM algorithm, we iteratively apply the Expectation step (E Step) and Maximization Step (M Step) until convergence.

Expectation Step

In the E Step, we first compute the posterior distribution of the latent variable \mathbf{Z} , given the parameters $\{\mathbf{T}, \Theta\}$ and the observed variables $\{\Phi, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}\}$. The log posterior distribution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\log p(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{T}, \Theta, \Phi, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \left\{ \log p(\mathbf{z}_n) + \log p(\phi_n | \mathbf{z}_n, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{T}) + \log p(\mathbf{y}_n | \mathbf{z}_n, \Theta) \right\} + \text{const} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K z_{nk} \left\{ \sum_{d=1}^D \log g(x_{nd} - t_{kd}^-) + \log g(t_{kd}^+ - x_{nd}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \log \left(1 - \prod_{d=1}^D g(x_{nd} - t_{kd}^-) g(t_{kd}^+ - x_{nd}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_k| - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \Sigma_k^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k) \right\} + \text{const} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where const represents constants that are not functions of \mathbf{Z} and normalize this equation such that it defines a valid probability. By observing this Equation, we conclude that the posterior distribution for each \mathbf{z}_n with $n \in \{1 \dots N\}$ is conditional independent with each other, and is given as

$$\mathbf{z}_n | \mathbf{x}_n, \phi_n, \mathbf{y}_n, \mathbf{T}, \Theta \sim \text{Categorical}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_n) \quad (3)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\pi}_n$ is a K -dimensional vector, each of whose element

is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{nk} &\propto \exp \left\{ \sum_{d=1}^D \log g(x_{nd} - t_{kd}^-) + \log g(t_{kd}^+ - x_{nd}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \log \left(1 - \prod_{d=1}^D g(x_{nd} - t_{kd}^-) g(t_{kd}^+ - x_{nd}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_k| - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \Sigma_k^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and $\boldsymbol{\pi}_n$ is normalized such that $\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_{nk} = 1$. The expected value of z_{nk} with respect to the posterior distribution is given as $\mathbb{E}[z_{nk}] = \pi_{nk}$.

Given the posterior distribution of \mathbf{Z} , we are able to compute the expected value of the log joint distribution, denoted by $Q(\mathbf{T}, \Theta)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\mathbf{T}, \Theta) &= \mathbb{E}[\log p(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}, \Phi | \mathbf{X}, \Theta)] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_t \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{d=1}^D (t_{kd}^- - \mu_{t_{kd}}^-)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_t \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{d=1}^D (t_{kd}^+ - \mu_{t_{kd}}^+)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \beta_t \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{d=1}^D (t_{kd}^+ - t_{kd}^-)^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_{nk} \sum_{d=1}^D \left(\log g(x_{nd} - t_{kd}^-) + \log g(t_{kd}^+ - x_{nd}) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K (1 - \pi_{nk}) \log \left(1 - \prod_{d=1}^D g(x_{nd} - t_{kd}^-) g(t_{kd}^+ - x_{nd}) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_{nk} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_k| - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \Sigma_k^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

In the computation, we make use of the fact that $\mathbb{E}[z_{nk}] = \pi_{nk}$.

Maximization Step

After computing the expected value $Q(\mathbf{T}, \Theta)$ in Equation (5), we find the optimal \mathbf{T} and Θ that maximizes $Q(\mathbf{T}, \Theta)$ in the M step, such that

$$\hat{\mathbf{T}}, \hat{\Theta} = \arg \max_{\mathbf{T}, \Theta} Q(\mathbf{T}, \Theta) \quad (6)$$

By observing Equation (5), we conclude that we can maximize $\{\mathbf{t}_{kd}\}_{d=1}^D$ and $\{\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \Sigma_k\}$ independently for each $k \in \{1, \dots, K\}$.

The optimal $\{\mathbf{t}_{kd}\}_{d=1}^D$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{kd}\}_{d=1}^D \\ &= \arg \max_{\{\mathbf{t}_{kd}\}_{d=1}^D} -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_t \sum_{d=1}^D (t_{kd}^- - \mu_{t_{kd}}^-)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_t \sum_{d=1}^D (t_{kd}^+ - \mu_{t_{kd}}^+)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \beta_t \sum_{d=1}^D (t_{kd}^+ - t_{kd}^-)^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^N \pi_{nk} \sum_{d=1}^D \left(\log g(x_{nd} - t_{kd}^-) + \log g(t_{kd}^+ - x_{nd}) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - \pi_{nk}) \log \left(1 - \prod_{d=1}^D g(x_{nd} - t_{kd}^-) g(t_{kd}^+ - x_{nd}) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Algorithm 1 The EM Algorithm

repeat

E step:

for $n \leftarrow 1$ **to** N **do**

Update the posterior distributions of \mathbf{z}_n according to Equation (3) and (4).

end for

M step:

for $k \leftarrow 1$ **to** K **do**

Update $\{\mathbf{t}_{kd}\}_{d=1}^D$ according to Equation (7) using the BFGS algorithm.

Update $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k$ according to Equation (10).

end for

until Convergence

We solve this maximization problem with the Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno (BFGS) algorithm [2]. With this algorithm, we need to make use of the gradient of Equation (7). Therefore, we compute the partial derivatives of Equation (7) with respect to t_{kd}^+ and t_{kd}^- , respectively, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial Q(\mathbf{T}, \boldsymbol{\Theta})}{\partial t_{kd}^+} \\ &= -\alpha_t t_{kd}^+ + \alpha_t \mu_{t_{kd}^+} - \beta_t t_{kd}^+ + \beta_t t_{kd}^- + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{a \pi_{nk}}{1 + \exp(a(t_{kd}^+ - x_{nd}))} \\ & \quad - \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - \pi_{nk}) \frac{a \exp(-a(t_{kd}^+ - x_{nd}))}{\exp(-a(t_{kd}^+ - x_{nd})) + 1} \frac{\prod_{d=1}^D g(x_{nd} - t_{kd}^-) g(t_{kd}^+ - x_{nd})}{1 - \prod_{d=1}^D g(x_{nd} - t_{kd}^-) g(t_{kd}^+ - x_{nd})} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial Q(\mathbf{T}, \boldsymbol{\Theta})}{\partial t_{kd}^-} \\ &= -\alpha_t t_{kd}^- + \alpha_t \mu_{t_{kd}^-} - \beta_t t_{kd}^- + \beta_t t_{kd}^+ - \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{a \pi_{nk}}{1 + \exp(a(x_{nd} - t_{kd}^-))} \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - \pi_{nk}) \frac{a \exp(-a(x_{nd} - t_{kd}^-))}{\exp(-a(x_{nd} - t_{kd}^-)) + 1} \frac{\prod_{d=1}^D g(x_{nd} - t_{kd}^-) g(t_{kd}^+ - x_{nd})}{1 - \prod_{d=1}^D g(x_{nd} - t_{kd}^-) g(t_{kd}^+ - x_{nd})} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We compute $\{\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k\}$ in closed form as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mu}_k &= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \pi_{nk} \mathbf{y}_n}{\sum_{n=1}^N \pi_{nk}} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k &= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \pi_{nk} (\mathbf{y}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)(\mathbf{y}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T}{\sum_{n=1}^N \pi_{nk}} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We repeat the E step and M step until the objective function defined in Equation (1) converges. The EM algorithm is summarized in Algorithm 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. P. Dempster, N. M. Laird, and D. B. Rubin. Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the em algorithm. *Journal of the royal statistical society. Series B (methodological)*, pages 1–38, 1977.
- [2] J. Nocedal and S. J. Wright. *Numerical Optimization*, chapter 6 Quasi-Newton Methods, pages 135 – 162. Springer, New York, 2nd edition, 2006.